



# Government of West Bengal

# Appropriation Accounts 1963-64

.Superintendent, Government Printing Wast Bengal Government Press, Alipore, West Bengal 1965





# Government of West Bengal

# Appropriation Accounts 1963-64



# © Comptroller and Auditor-General of India 1965



42339

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

						Page.
Introductor	у	•••	••	••	••	1
Summary of	of Appropriation Account	nts	••	••	••	2—8
Appropriation	on Accounts-					
Grant No.	1-Taxes on Income oth	er than Co	poration Ta	•x	••	9
	2-Land Revenue	••	••	••	••	9—12
	3-State Excise Duties	•••	••	••	••	12
	4-Taxes on Vehicles	•	••	••	••	13
	5—Sales Tax	••	••	••	••	13
	6-Other Taxes and Dut	ties	••	••	••	14
	7—Stamps	••	••	••	••	1415
	8—Registration Fees	••	••	••	••	15—16
	9-Interest on Debt and	l other Obli	gations		••	17—18
	10—Appropriation for Re	duction or	Avoidance o	of Debt	••	18—19
	11—Parliament and Stat	e Legislatur	<b>es</b>	••	••	1921
	12-General Administrat	ion	••	••	••	22—23
	13—Administration of Ju	istice	••	••	••	2 <b>3</b> —27
	14-Jails		••	••	••	27—28
	15—Police	••	••	••	••	<b>28—29</b>
	16-Miscellaneous Depart	tmentsFi	e Services	••	••	2 <b>9—3</b> 0
	17-Miscellaneous Depar	tmentsEx	cluding Fir	e Services	••	30-34
	18—Scientific Departmen	its	••	•	••	35
	19—Education	••		••	••	3549
	20—Medical	••	••	••	••	4952
	21—Public Health	••	••	••	••	5258
	22—Agricultur <del>o</del> —Agricul	ture	••	••	••	<b>59—63</b>
	23—Agriculture—Fisheri	<b>es</b>	••	••	••	<b>64</b> —65
	24—Animal Husbandry	••	••	••	••	65—69
	25—Co-operation	••	••	••	••	70—71

					Page.
Grant No.	26IndustriesIndustries	••	••	••	72—76
	27Industries-Cottage Industries	••	•••	••	7 <b>6</b> —80
	28—Industries—Cinchona	••	••	••	80
	29-Community Development Project	cts	.:	••	8186
	30-Labour and Employment	••	••	••	86—89
	31—Miscellaneous Social and Develo Welfare of Scheduled Tribe Backward Classes.				90—93
	32-Miscellaneous Social and Devel Excluding Weifare of Schedu other Backward Classes.	lcpmental iled Tribes	Organisatio and Castes	ns and	93—9 <b>4</b>
	33—Irrigation	••	••	••	95106
	34—Public Works	••	••	••	107—113
	35—Ports and Pilotage	••	• •	••	113-114
	36Famine Relief	••	••	••	114-118
	37-Pensions and Other Retirement	Benefits	••	••	118—119
	38—Privy Purses and Allowances of	Indian R	ulers	••	119
	39—Stationery and Printing	••	••	••	119
	40—Forest	••	••	••	120
	41-Miscellaneous-Contributions	••	••	••	121
	42-Miscellaneous-Other Miscellane	eous Expe	nditure	••	121—129
	43-Miscellaneous-Expenditure on	Displaced	Persons	••	129-133
	45—Pre-partition Payments	••	••	••	133
	46—Expenditure connected with t 1962	he Nation	nal Emerge	ncy,	134—135
	47—Capital Outlay on Multipurpose dar Valley Project.	River S	chemes—Da	mo-	135—136
	48-Capital Outlay on Public Works	8	••	••	137—141
	49Capital Outlay on Road and Wa	ter Transp	ort Scheme	3	141—143
	50-Capital Outlay on Schemes of G	overnment	Trading	••	143—145
	51—Public Debt	••	••	••	146147
	52-Loans and Advances by State G	overnment		••	147—149
Appendix		••	••	••	150—15 <b>3</b>

## Appropriation Accounts of the Government of West Bengal for the year 1963-64

## INTRODUCTORY

This compilation containing the Appropriation Accounts of the Government of West Bengal for the year 1963-64, presents the accounts of sums expended in the year ended the 31st March, 1964, compared with the sums specified in the Schedules appended to the Appropriation Acts passed under Articles 204 and 205 of the Constitution of India.

In these Accounts-

- 'O' stands for original grant or appropriation.
- 'S' stands for supplementary grant or appropriation.
- "R' stands for reappropriations, withdrawals or surrenders sanctioned by a competent authority.

Charged appropriations and expenditure are shown in italics.

In preparing the demands for grants, Government had been following till 1962-63 the "Net System of Voting": under this system estimates were prepared for the net expenditure after taking credit for the anticipated receipts or recoveries which are taken in reduction of the expenditure for accounting purposes.

In the demands for 1963-64, Government have adopted the "Gross System of Voting" which is followed at the Centre and in other States, except in respect of one grant (No. 48). Under this system, estimates are prepared for the gross requirements without taking into account the anticipated receipts or recoveries in the grants/appropriations.

	Number and name of Grant or Appropriation	Grant or Appropriation	Expenditure	Expenditure of Grant or Ap	compared with propriatioin.
				Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted/ appropriated
	1	2	. 3	4	5
		Rs.	Re,	Rs.	Rs.
1.	Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax				
	Voted	6.63.000	6,51,666	11,334	• •
	Charged	1,000	694	306	
2.	Land Revenue				
	Voted	7,51,45,000	6,84.04,955	67,40,045	
	Charged	40,000	34.248	• 5,752	
3.	State Excise Duties-				
	Voted	53,39,000	52,84,542	5 <b>4,4</b> 58	
	Charged	51,441	49,578	1,866	
4.	Taxes on Vehicles		-		
	Charged .	4,50,000	4,50,000		
5.	Sales Tax				
	Voteri	. 31,14,000	29,99,900	1,14,100	
	Charged .	. <b>3,0</b> 00	•••	3,000	
6.	Other Taxes and Duties-	-			
	Voted	. 16,09,000	15,26,498	82,502	
7.	Stamps —				
	Voted	. 14,85,000	13,77,751	••	92,751
8.	Registration Fees -				
	Voted	. 30,85,000	31,72,472	2	87,472
9.	Interest on Debt an Other Obligations—	d			
	Voted	. <b>32,10.0</b> 00	21,78,220	) <b>10,31,78</b> 0	
	Charged .	. 13,23,50,000	11,18,55,510	5 2,01,94,484	
10.	Appropriation for Reduc tion or Avoidance o Debt—	f			
	Charged	. 4,10,00,000	4,10,00,000	U	

## Summary of Appropriation Accounts

	Number and name of Grant or Appropriation	Grant or Appropriation	Expon liturə	Exponditure co Grant or App	
				Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted / appropriated
	1	2	3	4	5
11.	Parliament and State Le- gislatures	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Voted	32,15,000	33,07,915		92,915
	Charged	76,000	74,280	1,720	
12.	General Administration—				
	Voted	. <b>3,68,12,00</b> 0	3,60,86,439	7,25,561	
	Charged	11,79,500	11,32,053	47,447	
13.	Administration of Jus tice				
	<b>Voted</b>	. 1,10,63,600	1,11,24,667	••	61,067
	Charged .	. 38,62,400	38,68,97 <b>4</b>	••	6,574
14.	Jails				
	Voted	. 1,25,77,000	1,24,93,455	83,545	
15.	Police				
	Voted	. 11,16,52,800	11,15,55,091	97,709	
	Charged .	. <b>1,200</b>	81	1,119	
16.	Miscellaneous Depart ments-Fire Services				
	Voted	. <b>49,75,0</b> 00	48,81,607	93,393	
17.	Miscellaneous Depart mentsExcluding Fire Services				
	Voted	. 1,81,72,000	1,84,40,040	••	2,68,040
	Charged	. 86,000	84,747	1,253	
18.	Scientific Departments				
	Voted	. 79,000	79,000		
19	Education				
	Voted	. 24,39,57,000	25,81,43,695	••	1,41,86,695
<b>2</b> 0.	Medical—				
	Voted `.	. 10,98,15,000	10,72,04,242	2 <b>6,</b> 10,758	
	Charged .	. 56,153	53,502	2,651	

## Summary of Appropriation Accounts-contd.

Number and name of Grant or Appropriation		G <b>rant</b> or Appropriation	Expenditure	Expenditure compared wit Grant or Appropriation	
				Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted/
	1	2	3	4	5
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
21.	Public Health-				
	Voted ·	4,03,76,000	4,24,61.894	••	20,85,894
	Charged	4,000	3,633	367	
<b>2</b> 2.	Agriculture—Agriculture-	-			
	Voted	. 9,70,68,000	7,20,42.072	2,50,25,928	
	Charged	11,000	••	11,000	
23.	Agriculture—Fisheries—				
	Voted	47,78,000	<b>26,98,6</b> 50	<b>20,79,3</b> 50	
24.	Animal Husbandry				
	Voted	4,92,44,000	3,51,84,176	1,40,59,824	
	Charged	4,636	4,636		
<b>2</b> 5.	Co-operation				
	Voted	1,06,81,000	97,03,369	9,77,431	
<b>2</b> 6.	Industries-Industries				
	Voted	3,72,41,001	2,37,98,367	1,34,42,634	
	Charged	. 3,000	2,604	396	
<b>2</b> 7.	Industries—Cottage Industries—				
	Voted	. 1,80,22,000	1,53,34,076	26,87,924	
	Charged	. 100	78	22	
28.	Industries-Cinchons				
	Voted	29,31,000	28,56,602	74,398	
29.	Community Developmen Projects	t			
	Voted	. ?,87,42,400	<b>3,6</b> 5 <b>,08,729</b>	22,33,67	1
	Oharyed	. 49,65,000	67 <b>,03</b> ,096	••	17,38,096
30.	Labour an i Employment-				
	Voted	. 1,41,03,200	1,43,77,180	••	2,7 <b>3,9</b> 80
	Cl.arged	. 60 <b>0</b>	••		

	Number and name of Grant or Appropriation	Grant or Appropriation	Expenditure	Expenditure c Grant or Ap	
				Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted/ appropriated
	1	2	3	4	5
81.	Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organi- sations—Welfare of Sche- duled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes—	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Voted	1,03,18,000	1,05,74,381	••	55,38 1
82.	Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organi- sations—Excluding Wel- fare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes—				
	Voted	1,38,99,000	1,27,61,505	11,37,495	
<b>8</b> 8.	Irrigation				
	Voted	8,99,68,000	9,42,33, <b>344</b>		42,65,344
34.	Public Works				
	Voted	13,00,99,000	15,63,74,352		2,62,75,352
	Charged	17,31,000	15,03,627	2,27,373	
<b>3</b> 5.	Ports and Pilotage-				
	Voted	15,26,000	11,80,986	3,45,014	
		10,20,000	11,00,000	0,10,011	
<b>36</b> .	Famine Relief—				
	Voted	5,73,56,000	6,20,45,343		46,89,343
87.	Pensions and other Retire- ment Benefits				
	Voted	1,96,25,000	1,89,54,949	6,70,051	
	Charged .	2,77,000	2,75,343	1,657	
38.	Privy Purses and Allow- ances of Indian Rulers				
	Voted	1,58, <b>00</b> 0	1,48,381	9,619	
39.	Stationery and Printing-				
	Voted	95,28,000	91,27, <b>009</b>	4,00,991	
<b>4</b> 0.	Forest				
	Voted	1,85,44,000	1,65,25,809	20,18,191	
	Charged	5,000	4.865	135	
	÷				

	Number and name of Grant or Appropriation	Grant or Appropriation	Expenditure	Grant or Ap	compared with opropriation
				Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted/ appropriated
	1	2	3	4	5
		<b>R</b> 8.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
41.	Miscellaneous—Contribu- tions—				
	Voted	1,99,00,000	1,93,91,853	5,08,147	
	Charged	7,98,000	7,09,187	88.813	
<b>4</b> 2.	Miscellaneous—Other Mis- cellaneous Expenditure—				
	Voted	8,95,81,000	7,38,34,231	1,57,46,769	
	Charged	5,93,000	48,791	5,44,209	
43.	Miscellaneous—Expendi- ture on Displaced Per- sons—				
	Voted	5,38,44,000	5,22, <b>03,37</b> 5	<b>16,40,62</b> 5	
	Charged	37,87,000	37,12,000	75,000	
<b>4</b> 5.	Pre-partition Payments				
	Voted	25,000	22,282	2,718	
<b>4</b> 6.	Expenditure connected with the National Emer- gency, 1962				
	Voted	1,66,02,000	1,00,64,070	65,37,930	
47.	Capital Outlay on Multi- purpose River Schemes— Damodar Valley Pro- ject—				
	<b>Vot</b> ed	16,95,06,000	9,34,02,560	7,61,03,440	
48.	Capital Outlay on Public Works—				
	Voted	9,00,81,000	7,92,23,280	1,08,57,720	
	Charged	42,300	40,545	1,755	
49.	Capital Outlay on Road and Water Transport Schemes				
	Voted	55,78 <b>,</b> 001	20,60,218	35,17,783	
	Charged	21,000	7,300	13,700	

	Number and name of Grant or Appropriation		Grant or Appropriation	Expenditure	Grant or Ap	
					Less than granted/ appropriated	More than granted/ appropriated
	1		2	3	4	5
			<b>R</b> 9.	Rs.	Rs.	RH.
50.	Capital Outlay on Schen of Government Tradin	nes g				
	Voted		29,05,34,000	25,89,56,964	3,15,77,036	
	Charged	••	23,000	24,850		` 1,850
51.	Public Debt—					
	Charged	••	16,67,7 ,000	14,47,31,352	2,20,41,648	
5 <b>2</b> .	Loans and Adv nces State Goverme t	bv				
	Voted		36,19.34,000	35,28,66,825	90,67,175	
	Totals-					
	Voted	••	2,40.79,62.002	2,22,80,29,187		
	Net saving	• •			17,99,	32,815
	Charged	••	35.81,98,333	31,63,78,580	4,35,66,273	17,46,520
	Net saying	••			4,18	,19,753
	Grand Total	•••	2,76,61,60,335	2,54,44,07,767	27,59,33,322	5,41,80.754
	Amount of excess-		_			
	Voted (see paragra	թհ	21 of the Audi	t Report)		5,24,34,234
	Charged (see parage	apl	h 22 of the Aud	it Report)		17,46,520

The expenditure shown above does not include an amount of Rs. 2,394 (Charged) met out of advances taken from the Contingency Fund which were not subsequently reimbursed to the Fund during the year by taking supplementary appropriations. The details of this expenditure are as follows :—

Major Head	Amount of advance	Date of sanction of advance

#### Rs.

124—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading (Charged). 2,394 26th December, 1963 and 27th February, 1964.

#### Summary of Appropriation Accounts—concld.

The excesses over the following grants require regularisation :

Name of the Grant	
-------------------	--

Number of Grant 7

Stamps.

- 9 Registration Fees.
- 11 Parliament and State Legislatures.
- 13 Administration of Justice.
- 17 Miscellaneous Departments-Excluding Fire Services.
- 19 Education.
- 21 Public Health.
- 30 Labour and Employment.
- 31 Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes.
- 33 Irrigation.
- 34 Public Works.
- 36 Famine Relief.

The excesses which occurred over the following charged appropriations also require regularisation :

Number of Name of Appropriation.

Appropriation

- 13 Administration of Justice.
- 29 Community Development Projects.
- 50 Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading.

As the grants and appropriations are for the gross amounts required for expenditure, the expenditure figures shown against them do not include recoveries which are adjusted in the accounts in reduction of expenditure The net expenditure figures are shown in the Finance Accounts.

The reconciliation between the total expenditure according to the Appropriation Accounts for 1963-64 and the Finance Accounts for this year is shown below :

	Voted.	Charged.
	Rs.	Rs.
Total expenditure according to the Appropriation Accounts.	2,22,80,29,187	31,63,78,580
Deduct-Total of recoveries shown in Appendix (page 153).	56,43,47,274	2,25,10,046
Net total expenditure as shown in Statement No. 10 of the Finance Accounts.	1,66, <b>3</b> 6,81,913	29,38,68,53 <b>4</b>

The details of the recoveries referred to are given in the Appendix (pages 150-153).

The Appropriation Accounts have been prepared and examined under my direction in accordance with the requirements of Article 149 of the Constitution of India read with paragraph 11(4) of the Government of India (Audit and Accounts) Order, 1936, as adapted under the India (Provisional Constitution) Order, 1947. On the basis of the information and explanations that my officers have obtained, I certify that these accounts are correct, subject to the observations in the Audit Report, 1965.

The

A. K. ROY, Comptroller and Auditor-General of India.

22 JUL 1965

NEW DELHI,

Grant No. 1—Taxes on	income other tha	n Corporation	Tax 9
	Total G <del>ra</del> nt or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "4—Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 6,63,000 Supplementary	6,63,000	6,51,666	
Supplementary	J		
Amount surrendered during the year	ear (March, 1964)		9,951
Charged			
Original 1.000 Supplementary	) } 1,000	69 <b>4</b>	- 306
Supplementary	5 1,000	001	-000
Amount surrendered during the year	r (March, 1964)		806

### Grant No. 2-Land Revenue

		Fotal Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
Major Heads "9—La and "92—Payment of to Landholders, etc., tion of the Zamindar	compensation on the aboli-	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Original	7,51,45,000	7,51,45,000	6,84,04,955	67,40,045
Supplementary	··	••	••	••
Amount surrendered year (March, 1964).	during the			36,03,030
Charged—				
Original	ן 10,000	40,000	<b>34,24</b> 8	5,752
Supplementary	10,000 30,000	40,000	••	••
Amount surrendered du	ring the year	••	••	Nil

#### Notes and Comments-

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving was Rs.  $67 \cdot 40$  lakhs, out of which only an amount of Rs.  $36 \cdot 03$  lakhs was surrendered and that too on the 31st March, 1964.

(ii) There were appreciable savings in this grant during the previous two years also, as indicated below :

Year	ar Total provision				Saving	Percentage of saving	Reasons for the saving
		(In lakhs of	rupees)				
1961-62	••	9,21 · 19	3,35.67	· 36·4	Mainly less payment of final compensation con- sequent on diversion of staff to General Election work.		
1962-63		8,55•44	2,14.51	25 · 1	Mainly non-payment of final compensation owing to (i) delay in obtaining verified statements from the <i>ex</i> -intermediaries and (ii) inadequacy of the number of disbursing officers.		

(iii) The saving during the year 1963-64 occurred mainly under the following group heads:

Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
Grant	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### 9-Land Revenue

#### C-SURVEY, SETTLEMENT AND RECORD OPERATIONS

C(c)—Major settlement operations in connection with Estate Acquisition scheme—

0.	••	ך 62⋅86			
		L L	50.81	50.66	-0.15
R.	••	-12.05	i		

The total saving of Rs.  $12 \cdot 20$  lakhs forming  $19 \cdot 4$  per cent of the original provision was stated to be mainly due to diversion of more staff to the 'Compensation set-up' following a post-budget decision.

E-EXPENI TION WI ESTATES		N CONNEC- AMINDARI			
E(b)-Outlay	on Improv	vements			
0.		<b>33∙3</b> 9	20.64	17.77	2.87
R.		—12·75 ∫	20.04	17.17	

#### Grant No. 2-Land Revenue-contd.

The total saving of Rs. 15.62 lakhs in the original provision (46.8 per cent.) was explained as mainly due to post-budget transfer of the work of 'Maintenance and repairs to *ex-Zamindari* Embankments' in Midnapore district to the control of the Irrigation and Waterways Department and consequential change in classification of the expenditure to Grant No. "33—Irrigation."

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant	Expenditure	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### "92—Payment of compensation to Landholders, etc., on the abolition of the Zamindari system."

#### G-COMPENSATION

G-2-Final compensation in lieu of acquired lands-

0.	••	2,00·00 ך			
		-60.00	1,40.00	1,02.69	37 · 31
R.	••	60·00 J			

۰

The total saving of Rs. 97.31 lakhs in the original provision (48.7 per cent.) was explained as mainly due to—

- less payment of final compensation (of petty nature up to Rs. 1,000 through local camp offices) owing to delay in formulating the procedure for the payment (Rs. 19.50 lakhs) and
- (2) non-payment of compensation owing to non-preferment of claims by the *ex*-intermediaries (Rs. 37.31 lakhs).

The reasons for the remaining saving of Rs. 40.50 lakes were not communicated by the controlling authority.

(iv) In the following group head, the excess remained uncovered and the additional fund provided by reappropriation as late as on the 31st March, 1964, proved largely inadequate:

#### 9-Land Revenue

E—EXPENDITURE IN CONNEC-TION WITH EX-ZAMINDARI ESTATES

E(c)—Temporary Establishment and other charges for payment of compensation—

0. '	••	ך 1,16∙98			
		L L	1,20.82	1,30.88	+10.06
R.	••	3⋅84 ∫	_,	_,	120 00

The reasons for the excess expenditure were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(v) The following is a case of excessive reappropriation of funds :---

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant	Expenditure	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### "9-Land Revenue"

E-EXPENDITURE IN CONNEC-TION WITH EX-ZAMINDARI ESTATES

E(a)-Collection of Revenue-

0.	••	[ 1,52⋅87			
		l	1,56.03	$1,53 \cdot 44$	-2.59
R.	••	3.16 ∫			

The augmentation of the provision made by reappropriation of Rs.  $3 \cdot 16$  lakks on the 31st March, 1964, proved excessive as it resulted in a final saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 59$ lakhs under this group head. The reasons for providing additional funds as also for the final saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

#### Grant No. 3-State Excise Duties

		tal Grant or propriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
Major Head—"10—State Du¦ies"	Excise	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— R	8.			
Original 53 Supplementary Amount surrendered dur		53,39,000	52,84,542	54,458 Nil
year.	0			
·Charged				
Original	1,000 50,444	51,444	49,578	· —1,866
Supplementary	50,444 )			-,
Amount surrendered du year (March, 1964).	ing the	••	••	1,865

			Total Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
Major Head "11-	Taxes	on Vehicles	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		Rs.	-		
Original	••	4,50,000	} 4,50,000	4,50,000	
<b>Sup</b> plementary	••	••	5 1,00,000	±,00,000	••
<b>Amount</b> surrende	red dur	ing the year	••	* •	Nil

#### Notes and comments-

The expenditure represents the compensation paid to the Corporation of Calcutta under the Bengal Motor Vehicles Tax Act, 1932.

#### Grant No. 5-Sales Tax

		Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
Major Head—"12—8a	les Tax"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Original Supplementary	31,14,000	31,14,000	29,99,900	1,14,100
Amount surrendered year (March, 1964).	during the	••	••	73,000
Charged				
Original Supplementary	<b>3,000</b>	} 3,000	••	-3,000
Amount surrendered year (March, 1964).		• ••	010	3,000

Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
} 16,09,000	15,26,498	
5		
		<b>77,30</b> 9
		Expenditure. Rs. Rs.

Grant No. 7 -Stan	nps (All voted)
-------------------	-----------------

	Total Grant	Actual Expen- diture	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "14—Stamps"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original 13,92,000	14,85,000	15,77,751	1 04 751
Supplementary 93,000	£ 1±,00,000	10,77,701	+-92,75 <b>1</b>
Amount surrendered during the			Na

year

#### Notes and comments-

(i) The excess of Rs. 92,751 requires to be regularised.

This grant was exceeded in the last year also by Rs. 1.02 lakhs against the total provision of Rs. 12.77 lakhs.

(In lakbs of rupees)

(a) The excess in 1963-64 occurred mainly under the following sub-head :

#### A-NON-JUDICIAL.

A-2-Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)--

0	••	6.50			
s	••		6 ·63	7 • <del>4</del> 0	+0.77
R	••	_0·08			

The excess was explained as due to increased payment of discount to stampvendors consequent on increase in the sale of stamps during the closing months of the year.

(b) There were also excesses of comparatively small amounts under the following sub-heads :---

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
A-NON-JUDICIAI.		(In lakhs of	rupees)
A-1Superintendence			
District Establishment			
Contract contingenices			
0 0.58 R0.09	0 -49	0 -56	40 07
B-JUDICIAL.			
B-J-Superintendeuce -			
Proportion transferred from Non- Judicial	() •56	0.59	+0 .03
B—2—Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)—			
O 0.67 R 0.10	0.77	0.84	+0.07

Grant No. 8-Registration Fees-(All voted)

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Seving —
Major Head "15—Registration Fees	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original 28,90,000	} 30,85,000	31,72,472	1 87 470
Supplementary 1,89,000		01, <i>12</i> ,4 <i>12</i>	+87,472
Amount surrendered during the year.	•		Nil

#### Notes and comments-

The expenditure exceeded the grant by Rs. 87,472 which requires to be regularised.

Grant No. 8-Registration Fees-(All voted)-concld.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the sub-head indicated below; this was attributed to entertainment of increased number of staff to cope with the rush of registrations and larger volume of work.

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
	B—DI	STRICT	CHARGES		(In lakhs	of rupees)
<b>B</b> 2-	-Pay of	f <del>esta</del> bli	shment			
0	••	••	[ 14 .48			
8	••	••	0.85	15.02	15.50	+0 •48
۲R	••	••	-0· <b>3</b> 1			

(b) There were excesses of comparatively small amounts under the following sub-heads :---

#### **B-DISTRICT CHARGES**

<b>B</b> 1	-Pay of (	Officers				
0.	·	••	[ 5.00			
8	••	•••	0· <b>3</b> 0 }	5 ·10	5.15	+0.02
R	••	•• -	0.20			
B3	Allowand	æs, honoraria	etc.—		•	
0	••	••	4·38 }	4.00	4.10	
R	••	•••	<b>_0</b> ·29	<b>4 ·09</b>	<b>4</b> ·18	+0.09
B4	Contract	contingencie	3			
0	••	••	1.90			
8	••	••	0.52	2.48	<b>2 ·</b> 59	+0.11
R	••	••	0.06			
B5	5—Other co	ntingencies-				
0	••	••	1.47	1	1 00	
R	••		0.30	1.77	1.86	+0•09
an	<b>B</b> —6—Cost for landlords' fee Establishment and for service of notice under section 26(c) of the B. T. Act as amended up to 1938.					
Pay	of establish	ment				
0	••	••	∫ 0.42			
$\mathbf{s}$	••	••	0.13	0 •92	0 •99	+0.07

0.37

R...

	••		
	Total Grant or Appropriations	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Head ''16—Interest on Debt and Other Obligations''.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs. Original 20,00,000 Supplementary 12,10,000 Amount surrendered during the year.		21,78,220	—10,31,780 Nil.
Charged Original 13,23,50,000 Supplementary Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964)		11,18,55,516 	2,04,94,484 1,59,81,127

#### Voted Grant

#### Notes and comments----

(i) The saving of Rs. 10.32 lakhs formed 32.1 per cent. of the total provision and 85.3 per cent. of the Supplementary provision (Rs. 12.10 lakhs) obtained on the **30th** March, 1964.

(ii) No portion of the saving was surrendered.

(iii) In view of the saving of Rs. 10.32 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 12.10 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964, for meeting anticipated increased expenditure on payment of interest on compensation to the *ex*-intermediaries under the Estates Acquisition Act, proved largely in excess of requirements.

The saving occurred under the following group head :---

A-INTE	REST ON ( DEBT			(In John of a	
	aised in Indi			(In lakhs of 1	upoes)
A(1)(3) Int	erest on other	obligations			
0	••	20.00	<b>3</b> 2 ·10	21 .78	-10.32
<b>ß</b>	••	12.10			

The reasons for the saving of Rs. 10.32 lakhs (32.1 per cent. of the total provision) were not furnished by the controlling authority.

In the preceding year also, a huge saving of Rs. 43  $\cdot$ 75 lakhs forming 87  $\cdot$ 5 per cent. of the provision, was contributed by this group head due to less payment of interest on compensation to the *ex*-intermediaries.

#### CHARGED APPROPRIATION

(i) The saving of Rs. 2,04.94 lakes in the Charged Appropriation formed 15.5 per cent. of the original appropriation of Rs. 13,23.50 lakes.

The provision remained unutilised to a substantial extent in the following group heads :---

Total	Actual	Excess +
Appropriation	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### A-INTEREST ON ORDINARY DEBT---

A-1-Debt raised in India-

A-1(d)-Interest on Other Loans--

The total saving of Rs. 4.57 lakhs in the original appropriation (19.4 per cent.) was mainly due to less payment of interest charges on 'Loans from Life Insurance Corporation' and 'Loans from the National Co-operative Development and Warehousing Board'.

The reasons for the less payment were not intimated by the Controlling Officer.

The total saving of Rs. 200.88 lakhs in the original appropriation (19.7 per cent.) was mainly due to less payment of interest on loans for Damodar Valley Project to the Central Government. This was attributed to less realisation of interest charges on capital advances made by the State Government as the Damodar Valley Corporation authorities withheld a portion of the interest charges (Rs. 1,31.41 lakhs) in partial adjustment of proportionate share of the estimated revenue deficit (Rs.2,62.70 lakhs) payable by the State Government in respect of Irrigation and Flood Control Works which had not been made available to the Corporation.

#### Appropriation for Reduction or Avoidance of Debt

(All Charged)

	Total Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Head—"17—Appropriation fo Reduction or Avoidance of Debt".		Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
<b>Ori</b> ginal 4,10,00,000	<b>4,10,00,000</b>	4,10,00,000	• •
Supplementary	J		
Amount surrendered during the ye	ar	••	Nil

#### Notes and comments---

The expenditure under this Appropriation represents contributions of Rs.  $3,23 \cdot 15$  lakhs to the Sinking Fund and Rs.  $86 \cdot 85$  lakhs to the Depreciation Fund for the amortisation of loans raised in the open market.

The balances in these Funds at the end of 1963-64 were as shown below :---

			(In lakhs of rupees)
Sinking Fund	••	••	13,94 •95
Depreciation Fund	••	••	3,86 .05
	Total	••	17,81 .00
			•

The accounts of the transactions of the Sinking Fund and Depreciation Fund are given in statement No. 19 at pages 147—148 of the Finance Accounts, 1963-64 of the Government of West Bengal.

#### Grant No. 11---Parliament and State Legislatures.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "18—Parliament and State Legislatures".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
▼oted	-		
Original 32,15,000	} 32,15,000	33,07,915	+92,915
Supplementary	J		
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	5,409
Charged			
Original 76,000	} 76,000	74,280	—1,720
Supplementary	J	-	
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	1,718

Notes and comments----

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The expenditure in the voted grant exceeded the provision by Rs. 92,915 which requires to be regularised.

In the preceding year also, the voted provision of this grant was exceeded by Rs. 4.08 lakhs (12.1 per cent. of the provision).

(ii) The excess occurred under the following sub-heads; this was partly counterbalanced by minor savings under several other sub-heads [see also Note (iii) below]:

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
				(In lakhs o	of rupees).
B-STAT	e legisla	TURE.			
B(1)—Legisla	tive Assemb	ly—			
B(1)1—Pay o	f officers	••	5 · <b>3</b> 0	5.32	<del>4</del> -0 -02
B(1)3-Allow	ances, honor	aria, etc.—			
0.	••	ך 00 <del>\</del>	F 00	0.10	
R.	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 4\cdot 00\\ 1\cdot 63\end{array}\right\}$	5 .63	<b>6 ·1</b> 2	+0-49
${f B}(2)$ —Legisla	tive Council				
B(2)1-Pay o	of officers-				
0.	••	[ 1.68	1 -60	1	
R.	•*•	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot68\\-0\cdot08\end{array}\right\}$	1.00	(· * ±	+1+15
B(2)3—Allow	ances, hono	raria, etc.—			
0	••	ן 1 -25	* **	1 20	
<b>R</b>	••	0.23	1 •48	1.58	+0.10

Grant No. 11-Parliament and S	ate Legislatures concld.
-------------------------------	--------------------------

·	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
C-ELECTIONS.		(In la	akhs of rupees)
O(2)-Other election charges			
<b>O(2)(a)</b> Preparation and printing of electoral rolls for the—			
(i) House of the people and State Legislative Assembly—			
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc} 0. \ \dots & 2.86 \\ \mathbf{B.} \ \dots & \mathbf{-1.36} \end{array}\right\}$	1.50	1 •67	+0.17
<b>B.</b> $-1.36 \int$	1.00	1 00	+• 11
°C(2)(b) Expenditure on elections to—			
(iv) House of the People and State Legislative Assembly (held simul- taneously)—			
$ \begin{array}{cccc} 0. \ \dots & & & & 6 \cdot 00 \\ \mathbf{R.} \ \dots & & & & -0 \cdot 70 \end{array} \right\} $	5.30	5.62	+0.32
<b>R.</b> $-0.70$	• • • •	0	1002
C(2)(d) Miscellaneous-			
$ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & & & 1 \cdot 50 \\ \mathbf{R} & \dots & & & & -0 \cdot 81 \end{array} $	0 -69	0•84	+0.15
<b>R</b> $-0.81 \int$	000	0.01	
(iii) In the following group head, the extent—	ne provision	was not utilised (	to a substantial

C.-ELECTIONS.

C(2) Other election charges-

# $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{C(2)(a) \ Preparation \ and \ printing} \\ \mathbf{of \ electoral \ rolls} \\ \hline \mathbf{O. \ .. \ 2 \cdot 92} \\ \mathbf{B. \ .. \ -1 \cdot 35} \end{array} \right\} \qquad 1 \cdot 57 \qquad 1 \cdot 72 \qquad \div 0 \cdot \mathbf{i5} \end{array}$

The net saving of Rs. 1.20 lakhs in the original provision (41.1 per cent.) was explained as due to not taking up of intensive revision of the Assembly electoral rolls; the reasons for this were not furnished by the controlling authority.

#### Grant No. 12-General Administration.

			otal Grant or opropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "19—Ger Administration".	oral				
Foted-	ls.				
Original 3,46	,80,000	Ĵ			
Original 3,46 Supplementary 21	<b>,32,00</b> 0	}	3.68,12,000	3,60,86,43	9 -7,25,561
Amount surrendered dur year (March, 1964).	ing the				3,51 <b>,216</b>
Okarged-					
Original 1	,63,000	J	** ~~ ***	11.00.00	
Original 1 Supplementary	16,500	ſ	11,79,500	11,32,00	53 – 47 <b>,44</b> 7
Amount surrendered dur year (March, 1964).	ing the		••	••	16,877

#### Notes and comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) In view of the saving of Rs.  $7 \cdot 26$  lakhs in the grant, the supplementary grant (Rs.  $21 \cdot 32$  lakhs) obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved to be in excess of requirements.

The supplementary grant proved excessive mainly under the following group heads :

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees).

#### E-DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION.

E(1)-The General Administration-

0	••	1,31 .67			
8	••	5.91	1,35 •39	1,32 .88	-2.51
R	••	<u>-2·19</u>			

The total saving of Rs. 4.70 lakhs formed 79.5 per cent. of the supplementary grant of Rs 5.91 lakhs under this group head.

Out of the above saving, a saving of Rs. 3.03 lakhs was attributed mainly to :

- (a) unfilled vacancies due to late appointment, non-acceptance of appointments by some W.B.C.S. recruits and drawing of pay by some Officers employed on Civil Defence work from Civil Defence Budget chargeable to Grant No. 46 (Rs. 1 .50 lakhs) and
- (b) less requirement of funds for payment of rewards to Chowkidars in some of the districts (Rs. 1.14 lakhs).

The reasons for the balance saving of Rs. 1.67 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

G-MISCELLANEOUS.

G(2)-Miscellaneous-

	••				
S	••	2.61	16 .75	16.07	-0.68
<b>R</b>	••	—0 · <b>7</b> 9			

The total saving of Rs. 1.47 lakhs forming 56.5 per cent. of the supplementary grant was attributed mainly to non-payment of the charges for cost of aircraft. (Morava) due to delay in presentation of claim by the party concerned (Rs. 2.20 lakhs). This was purchased for Official fours of Ministers and Government Officials.

#### Grant No. 13—Administration of Justice

	Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess + Saving —
Major Head "21—Administ Justice."	R8. ration of	Rs.	Rs.
Voted	Rs.		
Original 1,0 Supplementary l	0,43,000 $1,10,63,600$	1,11,24,667	+61,067
Amount surrendered du year (March, 1964).			600
Charged			
Original 3	3,91,000 4,71,400 } 38,62,400	38,68,97 <b>£</b>	+6,574
Supplementary	4,71,400		• •
Amount surrendered dur year.	ing the	••	Nil

#### Notes and comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The expenditure exceeded the grant by Rs. 0.61 lakh. In the previous year also, this grant was exceeded by Rs. 0.12 lakh.

The excess of Rs. 61,067 requires to be regularised.

(ii) (a) An excess of Rs. 1.22 lakhs under the following sub-head was attributed to non-provision of funds for the payment of arrear rent to the Corporation of Calcutta by the City Civil and Sessions Courts, Calcutta; the provision could not be made due to late receipt of information from the Land Acquisition Collector, Calcutta.

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### H. CIVIL AND SESSIONS COURTS.

- H4. City Civil and Sessions Courts—
- **H.4**(5) Other Contingencies .. 0.17 1.39 +1.22

(b) The sub-heads under which excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred, are indicated below :

#### **B.** OFFICIAL ASSIGNEE.

Bl. Pay of officers-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mathbf{O} & \dots & & & \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{10} \\ \mathbf{R} & & \dots & & \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{01} \end{array} \right\} \qquad \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{11} \qquad \mathbf{O} \cdot \mathbf{12} \qquad \mathbf{+0} \cdot \mathbf{01} \\ \end{array}$ 

#### C. OFFICIAL RECEIVER.

C5. Other Contingencies-

#### D. LAW OFFICERS.

D1. English Law Officers-

D1(4) Contingencies	0.01	0.04	+0 •03
---------------------	------	------	--------

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —		
D(2) Legal Remembrancer-			(In la	khs of rupees)		
D2(3) Allowances, honorari	a, etc.—					
0	<b>2</b> .01					
, s	0.25	2.11	2 •22	+0.11		
<b>B</b>	-0·15 J					
D3. Muffassal Establishment						
D3(2) Pay of Establishment-						
0	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 14 \\ 0 \cdot 07 \end{array} \right\}$	0 •21	0 • 26	+0.05		
<b>R</b>	0.07 ∫	0-21	0.20	+0.00		
G. PRESIDENCY MAGISTRATES' COURTS.						
G1. Presidency Magistrate	38					
G1(6) Grants-in-aid	••	••	0.01	+0·0 <b>L</b>		
G-2. Municipal Magistrate	8					
G.2(1) Pay of officers	••	0 •33	0.37	+0•04		
H. CIVIL AND SESS COURTS.	SIONS					
H-1-Civil and Sessions C	ourts					
H-1(1) Pay of Officers-						
0	[ 14.80					
S	1.65	<b>16</b> ·10	16 ·13	+0.03:		
R	0·35 J					
H-1(2) Pay of Establishment-						
0	24 · 20					
8	1.90	25 ·97	<b>26 ·16</b>	+0.19		
R	-0·13					

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	U U
		(In lakhs	of rupees)
H1(3) Allowances, honoraria, etc.—			
0 6.45			
8 1.05	7 - 27	7 • 29	+0-02
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			
H1(4) Contract Contingencies-			
0 3.65		<b>n r</b> 0	
$\left.\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3 •57	3 .59	+0 <b>•9</b> 2
H1(6) Grants - in-aid	••	0~11	+0·11
H2—Process Serving Establish- ment—			
H2(3) Allowances, honoraria, etc.	0 - 29	0.37	+0.08
H4-City Civil and Sessions Courte			
H4(1) Pay of Officers			
O 2.02	• • •	• • •	
$\left.\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & & & 2 \cdot 02 \\ \mathbf{R} & \dots & & & 0 \cdot 29 \end{array}\right\}$	2.31	2 • 32	+0 -01
J-CRIMINAL COURTS			
J-2(1)-Police Case Hospitals-			

Grant No. 13-Administration of Justice-contd.

J-2(1)(4)--Contingencies--

26

<b>'O</b>	••	0.13	0.14	0.24	+0.10
R	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}0\cdot13\\0\cdot01\end{array}\right\}$	0.14	0-24	

(c) The excesses were partly counterbalanced by savings under other sub-heads.

#### Charged Appropriation

The excess of Rs. 6,574 requires to be regularised. 'I'his was the result of excesses under the following five sub-heads partly counterbalanced by minor savings under several other sub-heads :

-		I	Total ppropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
			(1	n lakhs of rupees)	-
Α	HIGH COURT			•	
A2.—(	Driginal Side-Regi	strar			
<b>A2(1)</b> Pa	y of Officers-				
0	••	1.93			
8	••	0.10	2.05	2.07	+0.02
R	••	0.02 j			
<b>A2(2)</b> Pa	y of Establishment				
0	••	7·54 }			
3	••	0.61	- 8·11	8 · 16	+0.●5
R	• •	-0.04			
<b>A2(3)</b> Al	lowances, bonoraria	, etc.—			
0	••	1.72			
8	••	0·0 <b>4</b>	> 2.15	2 · 17	+ 0 • 02
ĸ	••	0.39	)		
<b>▲2(4)</b> Co	entract Contingencie	·f			
0	••	0.35	0.37	0.89	<b>↓0.0</b> 2
8	••	●·02 J			
A2(6) Gi	rants-in-aid	••	••	0 · 18	+0·18
		_			

#### Grant No. 14-Jails (All Voted)

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Hea	"22	2JAI18"			
		Rs.			
Original	••	1,17,65,000 8,12,000	- 1.25.77.000	1,24,93,455	
Supplementary	••	8,12,000 🕽			
Amount surrende (March, 1964).		uring the year	••	••	2,282

#### Notes and comments :

The following is a case of unnecessary supplementary provision :

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess 💠 Saving —
A A(3)—Central	JAILS Jails-			(In lakhs	of rupees)
0	••	<b>43</b> ·39 ]			
8	••	2.11	<b>42.6</b> 5	<b>42</b> · 87	+0-22
R	••	<u>-2.85</u>			

In view of the net saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 63$  lakhs in the total provision, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $2 \cdot 11$  lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved entirely unnecessary.

The saving was attributed mainly to less purchase of dietary articles due to poor response from the contractors as a result of uncertain market conditions and late decision to purchase rice from the Food Department on a bare-minimum monthly requirement basis (Rs. 2.83 lakhs).

#### Grant No. 15-Pelice

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving
Major Head—"23—Police"	Rs.	Bs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 10,71,27,000 Supplementary 45,25,800	} 11,16,52,800	11,15,55,091	97,709
Supplementary 45,25,800 Amount surrendered during the	-		<b>F 00 940</b>
year (March, 1964).	••	••	7,88 <b>,240</b>
Charged—			
Original 1,000 Supplementary 200	} 1,200	81	—1,11 <b>9</b>
Supplementary 200	J		
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).		••	9 <b>69</b>

#### Notes and comments .

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The surrender of Rs. 7.88 lakhs made on the 31st March, 1964, proved excessive as the actual saving in the grant came up to Rs. 0.98 lakh.

(ii) In the following group heads, the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved excessive :---

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
A-PRESI	DENCY P	OLICE		(In lakhs o	f rupees)
e) Port Poli	C <del>O</del>				
0	••	27.69 }			
8	••	6.53	$29 \cdot 58$	29.51	0.07
R	••	<u>4.64</u>			

The total saving of Rs.  $4 \cdot 71$  lakhs forming  $72 \cdot 1$  per cent of the supplementary provision was stated to be mainly due to :

- (i) vacancies in different ranks of the force; and
- (ii) non-receipt of bills from the Commissioners for the Port of Calcutta for replacement of two condemned Steam Launches for Port Police.

J-WORKS

(e)

0	••	3.90			
8	••	6 · 29	6.09	<b>6</b> ∙05	0.04
R	••	$-4 \cdot 10$			

The total saving of Rs.  $4 \cdot 14$  lakhs formed 65  $\cdot 8$  per cent of the supplementary provision; the reasons for the saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

#### Grant No. 16-Miscellaneous Departments-Fire Services (All Voted)

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —	
Major Head " Depai	26—M rtmenti		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
		Rs.				
Original	••	<b>45,76,000</b> ک	49,75,000	48,81,607	93,393	
Supplementary	••	3,99,000 ∫	49,10,000	40,01,007		
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964). 3		••		1,32,410		

#### 30 Grant No. 16 - Miscellaneous Departments-Fire Services-concld.

#### Notes and comments-

In the following group head, the supplementary provision obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved almost unnecessary :---

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	$\mathbf{Execss} + \mathbf{Saving} - $
				(In lakhs o	of rupees)
BPEACI	E TIME FIRI	E SERVICES-			
0	••	[ 5⋅00			
S	••	$2 \cdot 00 $	$5 \cdot 70$	5·0 <b>3</b>	0.67
R	••	<u>-1·30</u>			

The total saving of Rs. 1.97 lakhs (28.1 per cent. of the total provision) was stated to be due mainly to slow progress of work of "Supplying and erection of pumping machinery and subsequent pipe connection" owing to failure of the contractors.

Grant No. 17—Miscellaneous	Departments	Excluding Fire	Services
	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head ''26—Miscellaneous Departments''			
Rs.			
Original         1,77,28,000           Supplementary         4,44,000	} 1,81,72,000	1,84,40,040	+2,68,040
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	697
Charged			
Original 2,000 Supplementary 84,000	86,000	84,747	—1,253
Amount surrendered during the year.	••		Nil

Grant No. 17—Miscellaneous	Departments-Excluding	g Fire	Services.—contd.	31
----------------------------	-----------------------	--------	------------------	----

Notes and comments :---

#### Voted Grant

- (i) The excess of Rs. 2,68,040 requires to be regularised.
- (ii) Excesses occurred in the preceding three years also as indicated below:

. .

Year					Total provision	Excess
					(In lakhs o	f rupees)
1960-61	••	••	••	••	56.92	9.80
1961-62	••	••		••	60 · 38	11.76
1962-63	••	••	••	••	189.17	0.12

(iii) The excesses in 1963-64 occurred under the following sub-heads :---

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —	
A. Inspector o	f Steam Boil	Are		(In lakhs of rupee		
•						
(a) Inspector of S	team Doners-	-				
Allowances, hor	noraria, etc.—					
0	0	·87 ]	0.88	0.9 <del>1</del>		
R	0	.01	0.99	0.94	+0.06	
D—ADMINISTR INDIAN PART 1						
Pay of Establishm	nent	••	0.11	0.13	+0.02	
F-MISCEI	LLANEOUS					
(g) Preservation dence of the We						
Pay of establis	hment	••	0.12	0.14	+0.02	
Contingencies		••	••	0.02	+0.02	
(h) Preparation of District Gazetteers-						
Contingencies-	-					
0	0	·15 ]	0.14	0.00		
R	0	.01	0.14	0.20	+0.08	

			Total Grant	Actual Exponditure	Excess + Saving —
F-MISC	ELLANEO	OUS		(In lakhs o	f rupees)
(i)	Food				
(c) Calcutta (incl Rationing.	luding Indu	ustrial Area)			
Pay of establis	hment	••	<b>29 · 00</b>	<b>29 · 3</b> 0	+0.30
Allowances, ho	onoraria, et	c.—			
0	••	3·00 J	<b>3.3</b> 5	3.41	10.00
R	••	3·00 ] 0·35 ∫	9.90	9.41	+0.06
(d) District Dist	ribution—				
Pay of establis	shment				
0	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}29\cdot 50\\16\cdot 07\end{array}\right\}$	<b>4</b> 5 • 57	46.05	10.49
R	••	16.07	40.01	40,00	+0.48
Allowances, ho	onoraria, et	tc.—			
0	••	3·00 <b>]</b>	6.50	6.56	10.08
R	••	3.50 ∫	0.30	0.90	+0.06
Contingencies					
0	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}3\cdot60\\2\cdot70\end{array}\right\}$	6·30	<b>6</b> ∙66	10.96
R	••	2.70	0.90	0.00	+0.36
(e) Directorate	of Transpo	ortation			
Pay of establi	ishment—				
0	••	[ 13∙50	14.01	14 40	
R	••	0.71	14.21	14.49	+0.28
Allowances, h	ionoraria, e	etc.—			
0	••	[ 2⋅25			
R	••	_0·07 }	2.18	2.30	+0.12
Contingencie					
0	••	5.30 }			
R	••	0.45	5.75	5.77	+0.02

# 32 Grant No. 17-Miscellaneous Departments-Excluding Fire Services.-contd.

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —	
				(In lakhs	of rupees)	
(f) Directora	te of Storag	e—				
Pay of esta	blishment					
0	••	ړ 7⋅00	0.00	0.10	10.10	
R	••	1.00	8.00	8.12	+0.12	
Allowances	s, honoraria,	etc.—				
0		ړ 1.00	0.91	0.93	10.09	
R	••	0·09 }	0.91	0.82	+0.02	
Contingen	cies					
0	••	1.80	2.00	0.11	10.11	
R	••	0·20 ∫	2.00	2.11	+0.11	
	Distribution, spection Bra	Procurement nch—				
Pay of offi	00rs	•				
0	••	\	0.62	0.63	+0.01	
R	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ 0.62 \end{array} \right\}$	0.02	0.00	-0.0 <b>1</b>	
Pay of esta	ablishment—	-				
0	••	]	8.15	<b>8</b> ∙23	10.09	
R	••	8.15 ∫	8.10	8*23	+0.08	
F	(k)—SUPPL	IES				
(A) Directorate of Consumers' Goods-						
Pay of est	ablishment	_				
0	••	ړ 7⋅00				
8	••	0.68	8.07	<b>8</b> ∙09	+0.03	
R	••	0.39				

# Grant No. 17-Miscellaneous Departments-Excluding Fire Services.-contd. 33

34 Grant No. 1	/ MISCEIIAA	eous L	epartmen	(SEXCIUC	ling Fire Services	.—concia.
				Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
(B) Directorate o	f Textiles—				(In lakhs	of rupees)
Pay of establish						
0		9.00	<b>٦</b>			
	•:•	2.00	ł			
S	••	0·30	}	<b>2</b> ·13	2.14	+0.01
R		0 • 17	J			
Contingencies-	-				•	
0	••	0.01	ו			
S	••	2·23	}	2·2 <b>4</b>	2.26	+0.02
f(m) Housing Di	rectorate					
Pay of officers				1.70	1.98	+0.28
I by or onders	••	••		1.70	L 90	T0-70
Allowances, hor	no <b>raria, e</b> tc.			0.99	$1 \cdot 02$	+0.03
Contingencies	••	••		0.55	0.72	+0.12
Tools and Pla	nt					
0	• •	0.50	٦			
R	••	0.03	}	0.53	0.69	+0.16
G-CONTROLI						
		010				
Pay of establis	hment—					
0	••	$2 \cdot 50$	1	2.56	2.64	+0.08
R	••	0.06	5	2.00	2°0¥	70.00
Contingencie 3-	-					
0	••	1 • 10	]			
S		0.10	}	1·2 <b>3</b>	1•25	-0.02
R	••	0.03	Ĵ			

# 34 Grant No. 17—Miscellaneous Departments—Excluding Fire Services.—concld.

	Dopartment	00		
		Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Exces: $+$ Saving —
Major Head "27—8cientific ments"	Depart-	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
manf2.	Rs.			
Original	77,000	79,000	79,000	
Supplementary	2,000 ∫	13,000	19,000	••
Amount surrendered during (March, 1964).	the year	••		5,000

## Grant No. 19-Education (All Voted)

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —	
• Major Head ''28—Education''	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Rs.				
Original         21,24,65,000           Supplementary         3,14,92,000	24.39.57.000	25.81.43.695	+1.41.86.695	
Supplementary 3,14,92,000		<b>MO</b> ,0 <b>1,10</b> ,000	1-1,11,00,000	
Amount surrendered during the year	••	••	Nil	

# Notes and comments-

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 1,41.87 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 3,14.92 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved largely inadequate.

(ii) The provision under the grant was exceeded in the preceding four years also as indicated below:

Year.		Total provision.	Excess.	
		(In lakhs of rupees)		
1959-60	••	14,35.50	1,59•37	
1960-61	••	15,79.96	96.05	
1961-62	••	20,22 · 22	1,08 • 17	
1962-63	••	21,67 · 23	1,68.74	

In all the years, the excesses were stated to be mainly due to more expenditure on Development Schemes.

(iii) The excess of Rs. 1,41,86,695 over the grant requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 2,13.05 lakhs over the provision of Rs. 20,42.06 lakhs made under 77 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by savings amounting to Rs. 71.18 lakhs in the provision of Rs. 3,58.81 lakhs made under 83 sub-heads.

(iii) (a) The excess occurred mainly under the following sub-heads :---

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees.)

W-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

W(i)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	5,57.90			
S	••	3,00.56	8,65 • 54	9,40 • 76	$+75 \cdot 22$
R	••	7.08			

The excess of Rs.  $75 \cdot 22$  lakhs was stated to be due to increased expenditure on "Improvement of the condition of service of teachers etc". under Secondary Education (Rs.  $81 \cdot 73$  lakhs), partly set off by less expenditure on other heads.

In view of the excess, the supplementary grant of Rs. 3,00.56 lakhs taken on the 30th March, 1964 and the additional fund of Rs. 7.08 lakhs provided by reappropriation as late as on the 31st March, 1964, proved largely inadequate. In the preceding year also, the provision under this head was exceeded by Rs. 1,52.89 lakhs.

W(iii)-Second Five-Year Plan-

(Committed expenditure) ..  $5,58 \cdot 39$   $6,20 \cdot 43$   $+ 62 \cdot 04$ 

The excess forming  $11 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the provision was stated to be mainly due to increased expenditure on—

- (a) Improvement of the condition of service of teachers of Primary Schools (Rs. 10.22 lakhs) and Multipurpose Schools (Rs. 36.32 lakhs),
- (b) Improvement of non-Government Colleges, etc. (Rs. 10.73 lakhs) and
- (c) Financial assistance for free elementary education for girls up to the age of 14, etc. (Rs. 8.01 lakhs), partly set off by less expenditure on other heads.

(iii) (b) The sub-heads under which other excesses of comparatively large amounts occurred are indicated below:---

B(i)-Government Arts Colleges for men—

B(i)6-Lump provision for research grant to Government Colleges-

0.	••	0.22			
		(	0.68	1.81	+1.13
R.	••	0.13			•

			Total	Grant.		ual diture.		xcess + ving —
C(i)-Grants to Arts Colleges		ıment			(In	lakhs	of	rupees)
Non-recurring-	-Other Grants							
0.	••	6.80	)			11.05		
R.	••	0.90	}	7.70		11.27		+3.57
J-DIRECT GRANTS TO NON- GOVERNMENT PRIMARY SCHOOLS								
J(i)-Direct grants to non-Govern- ment Primary Schools for boys and girls—								
Recurring-								
0.	••	6.00	Ĵ	6.08		10.36		+4.28
R.	••	0.08	Ĵ	000		10 00		1 1 20

The reasons for the final excesses in these cases ranging from  $22 \cdot 2$  to  $166 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the total provisions were not furnished by the controlling authority.

# G-DIRECT GRANTS TO NON-GOVERNMENT SECONDARY SCHOOLS

G(i)(a)-Direct Grants to non-Government Secondary Schools for boys—

Non-recurring-

Other grants-

0.	••	56∙00 ]	E1 E1	~1.00	19.00
R.	••	<b>-4</b> ⋅49	51.51	5 <b>4</b> • 90	+3.39

The reasons for the final excess were not furnished by the controlling authority.

In view of the final excess, the reduction of the original provision made by reappropriations in March, 1964 proved largely excessive.

H-GRANTS TO LOCAL BODIES FOR SECONDARY EDUCA- TION.			
Grants to the Board of Secondary Education—			
Recurring—			
Ordinary Grants	<b>30 · 00</b>	51 • 18	+21.18

## Grant No. 19—Education—contd.

	Total	Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
			(In lakhs	of rupees.)	
J-DIRECT GRANTS TO NON- GOVERNMENT PRIMARY SCHOOLS.					
J(i)-Direct Grants to non-Govern- ment Primary Schools for boys and girls—					
Non-recurring-					
Other Grants		10.00	16.61	+6.61	
T-MISCELLANEOUS-					
T(f)-Expansion of education and welfare services to relieve edu- cated unemployed.		7.00	19· <b>39</b>	+12.39	

The reasons for the excesses ranging from  $66 \cdot 1$  to  $177 \cdot 0$  per cent. of the provisions under the above three sub-heads were not furnished by the controlling authority.

T(e)-National Cadet Corps-

T(e)-4-Other Contingencies-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} O. & .. & 5 \cdot 45 \\ R. & .. & 0 \cdot 65 \end{array} \right\} \qquad 6 \cdot 10 \qquad 8 \cdot 40 \qquad +2 \cdot 30 \\ \end{array}$ 

The excess which formed 37.7 per cent. of the total provision was stated to be mainly due to increase in the rates of travelling and refreshment allowances to cadets and holding of refresher courses for larger number of N. C. C. Officers.

# W-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

W(iv)—Centrally-sponsored schemes (Committed Expenditure)—

0.	••	20.09	28.86	<b>3</b> 5 · 27	+6.41
R.	••	8.77 ∫			• • - •

The excess which formed  $22 \cdot 2$  per cent. of the total provision was stated to be mainly due to increased expenditure on

- (a) Expansion of teachers training facilities at primary stage for providing universal free and compulsory primary education (Rs. 2.74 lakhs),
- (b) Development of Bengal Engineering College (Rs. 2.40 lakhs) and
- (c) Engineering College at Durgapur (Rs. 0.85 lakh).

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
W-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
W(ii)—First Five-Year Plan (Com- mitted Expenditure).	<b>3</b> ,52 · 45	<b>3</b> ,58 · 98	+6.53
The excess was stated to be ma	inly due to inc	reased expendit	ure on

(a) Introduction and expansion of Basic education (Rs. 2.97 lakhs) and

(b) Emoluments of primary school teachers (Rs. 3.20 lakhs).

(iii)-(c) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads :---

# B-GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGES.

## B(i)—Government Arts Colleges for men—

B(i)2-Pay of establishment-

0.	••	3.49			
		<u>}</u>	3.86	3.88	+0.02
R.	••	0.37			

B(i)3—Allowances, honoraria, etc.—

0.	••	1.59	2.75	$2 \cdot 82$	10.07
R.	••	1·16 <b>∫</b>	2.13	2.02	+0.07
B(i)4-Con	tract contin	ngencies—			
0.	••	( 0.77	0.00	0.04	10.19
R.	••	0.05	0.82	0.94	+0.12

2.82

0.78

3.05

0.85

+0.23

+0.07

B(i)5—Other contingencies—

0. .. R. ..

B(ii)—Government Arts Colleges for women—B(ii)2—Pay of establishment..

2.76

0.06

# Grant No. 19-Education-contd.

			Total Grant.	Acta Expend		Excess + Saving -
CGRANTS TO MENT ART	O NON-GOVI IS COLLEGE			(In	lakhs	of rupees.)
C(ii)—Grants to Arts Colleges	o non-Govern for women—	ment				
0.		0.66	} 1.45		1·49	+0.04
R.	••	<u>0</u> .79	5 1.40		1.49	40.0 <del>4</del>
D-GOVERNM SIONAL	ENT PRO COLLEGES.	FES-				
D(b)—Training chers—	Colleges for	tea-				
D(b)(1)-Pay	of officers-					
0.	••	$1 \cdot 26$ $0 \cdot 02$	] 1.28		1.31	+0.03
R.	••	0.02	5		1 01	
D(b)2- Pay 6	of establishme	nt	0.23		0·24	+0.01
D(b)5—Other	contingencies					
0.	••	0·31 -0·02	} 0.29		0.31	+0.02
R.		-0.02	5 0.23		0.91	70.02
D(c)—Governme and Crafts—	ent College of	Arts				
D(c)2-Pay o	of establishme	nt				
0.	••	0.32	$\left. \right\} \qquad 0.29$		0.31	+0.02
R.		-0.03	5 0.29		0.91	70.02
D(c)4Contra	act contingend	eies—				
0.	••	0.14	} 0.12		0.14	+0.02
R.		-0.02	5 0.12		0.14	70.02
D(d)—Goenka C and Business	College of Com Administrati	merce on—				
D(d)4Contr	act continge	ncies—	-			
0.	••	0.06			0.00	+0.01
R.	••	0.02	} 0.08		0.09	-+-11-171

	Total	Grant	Actua Expenditure	∡cess + Saving —	
E—GRANTS TO NON-GOVERN- MENT PROFESSIONAL COLLEGES.			(In lakh:	s of rupees)	
Other Grants—					
0 0.17	j	0.10			
R 0.01	}	0.18	0.6	+0.45	
FGOVERNMENT SECONDAR SCHOOLS.	Y				
F(i)(a)—Government Sccondary Schools for boys—	,				
F(i)(a)2—Pay of Establishment-	-				
0 1.42	, j	1 44			
R 0.02	: }	1.44	1.5	1 + 0.07	
F(i)(a)3—Allowances, honoraria etc.—	,				
Ο θ·56	۶Į	0.88	1.0	9	
R 0·32	ر 2	0.90	1.0	2 +0.14	
F(i)(a)4—Contract contingen- cies—	-				
0 0.85	۲ ک	0.92	1.0	5 +0.13	
R 0.08	5 5	0 01	I C		
F(i)(a)5—Other contingencies—	-				
$0. \dots 1.59$	•}	1.74	1.8	4 +0.10	
R 0.14	5 J			- 1010	
F(i)(b)—Government Secondary Schools for girls—					
F(i)(b)4—Contract contingen cies—	•				
0 0.3	3 ]	0.38			
<b>R.</b> 0.0	5 ∫	0.99	0.3	<b>19</b> +0·01	

# Grant No. 19-Education-contd.

	Total G		Actual penditure	Excess + Saving -
G—DIRECT GRANTS TO NON- GOVERNMENT SECON- DARY SCHOOLS.		(	In lakhs	of rupees)
G(i)(a)—Direct grants to non- Government Secondary Schools for girls—				
Recurring-				
Ordinary grant	••		$0 \cdot 25$	+0.25
G(ii)—Direct grants to non-Govern- ment Secondary Schools for boys and girls (Anglo Indian)—				
Non-recurring—				
Other grants—				
0 0.88	),		1 00	
R 0.12		1∙00	1.03	+0.03
K—GRANTS TO LOCAL BODIES FOR PRIMARY EDUCA- TION FOR BOYS AND GIRLS.				
K(1)—Expenditure in connection with the maintenance of com- pleted C. D. P. and N. E. S. blocks—				
Non-recurring				
Other grants	46	• 30	<b>47</b> · 02	+0.72
L—GOVERNMENT SPECIAL SCHOOLS				
L(a)(i)—Training Schools for mas- ters—				
L(a)(i)1—Pay of officers—				
0 $0.06$ R $-0.01$	} o	·05	0.06	- +0.01
L(a)(i)4—Contract contingencies—	••		0.01	+0.01
L(a)(i)5—Other contingencies	0	· 10	0.13	+0.03
	·			10.00

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
L(b)—Guru Training Schools—		(In lakhs	of rupees)
L(b)1—Pay of Officers .	. 0.56	0.73	+0.17
L(b)5Other contingencies			·
0 2.1	8 ]		
R 0.0	$3$ $2 \cdot 21$	$2 \cdot 86$	+0.65
L(c)-Madrassa-			
L(c)2-Pay of Establishment-			
$0. \dots 0.1$	$\left.\begin{array}{c}4\\2\end{array}\right\} \qquad 0.16$	0.90	
<b>R</b> $0 \cdot 0$	2 $0.16$	0.20	+0.04
L(c)3—Allowances, honoraria etc.—			
0 0.0 R 0.1	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}6\\\end{array}\right\} \qquad 0.17$	0.18	+0.01
R 0·1	1 5	0.19	+0.01
L(e)—Government Tols—			
L(e)3—Allowances, honoraria	ŀ,		
R 0.0	1 0.01	0.03	+0.02
M—DIRECT GRANTS TO NON GOVERNMENT SPECIAL SCHOOLS.			
M(i)—Direct grants to non-Goverr ment Special Schools for boys an masters—	ı- d		
Recurring—			
Training Schools	•••	0.02	+0.02
Sanskrit Tols	. 1.46	2.27	+0.81
Other Miscellaneous Schools-	-		
0 0.1	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}5\\0\cdot18\end{array}\right\}$	0.40	
· R 0·0	3 5 0.13	0.40	+0.22
Non-recurring-			
Building, furniture and equij ment grants—	)-		
0 0.1	5	A 69	
R 0·0	$0 \cdot 16$	0.22	+0.06

# Grant No. 19-Education-contd.

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
M(ii)—Direct Grants to non-Go- vernment special schools for girls and mistresses—		(In lakhs	of rupees)
Non-recurring-			
Building, furniture and equip- ment grants.		0.01	+0.01
N-DIRECTION.			
N.1—Pay of officers	1.71	1.73	+0.05
N.2—Pay of Establishment—			
0 2.54	} 2.64	2.66	+0.02
O.          2.54           R.          0.10	} 2.04	2.00	<b>T</b> 0*02
<b>O</b> —INSPECTION			
O(i)(a)-Inspection-Mens' Branch			
O(i)(a)—Pay of officers—			
O 6·20 R 0·16	<b>6</b> ·36	6.91	+0.55
R 0.16	5 0.30	0.91	<b>T</b> 0.20
O(i)(a)2—Pay of Establishment	5.40	5.46	+0.06
O(i)(a)3—Allowances, honoraria, etc.—			
$0. \dots 1.45$	] 1.51	1.62	+0.11
R 0.06	1	1 02	10
O(i)(a)4—Contract contingen- cies—			
0 0.48	} 0.49	0.52	+0.03
R 0.01	5	0.01	
O(i)(b)—Inspection-—Womens' Branch—			
O(i)(b)1—Pay of officers	0.52	0•55	+0.03
O(i)(b)4—Contract contingencies	0.11	0.13	+0.02

	'Total Grant	Actual Expondituro	Excess + Saving -
P-SCHOLARSHIPS		(In lakhs	of rupees)
In Arts Colleges	0.80	0.87	+0.07
In Secondary Schools	0.76	0.94	+0.18
In Primary Schools	0.02	<b>0</b> .03	, +0·01
General Stipenda-			
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc} 0. & \dots & 2 \cdot 33 \\ R. & \dots & -0 \cdot 07 \end{array}\right\}$	2.26	2.31	+0.02
<b>R</b> —EXPENDITURE FOR PRO- MOTION OF EDUCATION AMONGST EDUCATION- ALLY BACKWARD CLAS- SES—			
R.1—Pay of Establishment	0.02	0.03	+0.01
R.2-Allowances, honoraria, etc	0.03	0.09	+0.06
R.5-Other contingencies	0.12	0·2 <b>0</b>	+0.02
<b>R.6</b> —Grants-in-aid, contribution, etc.	5.50	5.75	+0.25
<b>R.7</b> —Stipends and scholarships	5·54	5.57	+0.03
T-MISCELLANEOUS			
T(a)—Youth Welfare Works under Physical Director—			
T(a)1—Pay of Establishment—			
$\left. \begin{array}{ccc} 0. & & 0.26 \\ R. & & -0.03 \end{array} \right\}$	0.23	0.25	+0.02
T(a)5-Other contingencies	0.27	0.28	+0.01
T(a)6—Grants-in-aid, contribu- tion, etc.	0·94	• 1.53	+0.29
T(a)7—Grants in aid, grants to local bodies in lieu of rates and taxes. 4	••	0.01	+0.01

# Grant No-19. Education-contd.

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
		(In lakhs	of rupees)
T(c)—Vangiya Sanskrita Assoc tion—	ia=		- /
T(c)5—Other contingencies—			
0 0.	05	0.09	+0.01
<b>R</b> 0.	03 0.08	0.00	40.01
T(e)-National Cadet Corps-			
T(e)3—Allowances, honorar etc.—	ia,		
0 0.	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 35\\ 05\end{array}\right\} \qquad 0\cdot40$	0.43	+0.08
R 0.	05	0*40	+9708
T(g)—Establishment of Day S dents' Homes—	tu-		
Grants-in-aid, contribution, e	otc. 1.75	1 • 93	+0.18
T(h)—Establishment of Multip pose schools—	ur-		
Grants-in-aid, contribution, et	0		
O 0	·60 }	0.05	10.05
0 0 R0	.60 }	0.05	+0.02
T(j)-Other Charges			
Examination charges-			
0 5	·· <b>30</b> } 5·70	6.13	+0.43
R 0	•40 5		10.10
Grants for the encouragemen literature.	t of 0.25	0 · <b>3</b> 7	+0.15
Grants for the encouragemen historical research.	t of	0.06	+0·06
T(k)—Anglo-Indian Educa- tion.			
T(k)5Other contingencies	•••	0.01	+0.01

(iv) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :—

Seri No	1	Total pro- vision.	Saving and its percentage to the pro- vision.	Reasons for the saving and romarks.
3	2	3	4	5
В	GOVERNMENT AI COLLEGES	RTS	(In lakhs	of rupees)
1.	B(ii)—Government Colleges for women.	Arts 11+18	1 · 22 (10 · 9%)	
<b>D</b> -	-GOVERNMENT PRO SIONAL COLLEGES			
2.	D(i)—Bongal Engine College.	ering 6·32	$(42 \cdot 4\%)$	
Q	DIRECT GRANTS TO S GOVERNMENT SE DARY SCHOOLS.	NON- CON-		
3.	G(ii)—Direct Grants to Government Secon Schools for Girls.	non- 9·98 ndary	3 4·98 (49·9%)	Reasons for the savings
4.			2·29 (33·1%)	were not furnished by the controlling autho- rity.
L	-GOVERNMENT SPE SCHOOLS.	CIAL		
5.	L(d)—Reformatory scl	hools 1·54	i 1∙54 (100%)	
М—	DIRECT GRANTS TO GOVERNMENT SPE SCHOOLS.			
6.	M(i)—Direct Grants to Government S Schools for boys masters.	o non-6.87 pecial and	7 1·15 (16·7%)	J

Seria No	-	Total pro- vision.	Saving and its percentage to the pro- vision.	
1	2	3	4	5
			(In lakhs	of rupecs)
<b>W</b> ]	DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES	s		
7.	W(v)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—	33.83	4·05 (12%)	The saving was stated to be due to staggering of expenditure on the schemes under this head to accommodate the immediate requirements for increased expendi- ture on several other schemes of urgent na- ture on priority consi- deration. Savings of Rs. 23.99 lakhs (99.6 per cent. of the provi- sion) in 1961-62 and Rs. 22.25 lakhs (76.9 per cent. of the provi- sion) in 1962-63 were also attributed to simi- lar reasons.

(v) In the following group head, the additional fund obtained by supplementary grant on the 30th March, 1964, proved wholly unnecessary. The reasons for the total saving (27.9 per cent. of the provision) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

					Total Grant	Actual Expendi- ture	Saving and its percen- tage to the provision
					(In	lakhs of	rupees)
T-MISCEI	LLANI	EOUS					
T(x)—Ot	her ch	arges—					
0		••	••	ړ 18∙78			
S	••	••	••	6.60	23.06	18 ·33	-4·73
R	••	••	••	-2.32			(20 •5%)

(vi) Reserve Funds—Fund for promotion of education amongst educationally backward classes.

The expenditure in the grant includes transactions pertaining to the above Fund which is intended for advancement of education of members of backward classes and is financed by contributions from the State Government. The expenditure incurred for the purpose is, in the first instance, booked under this grant and finally charged to the Fund to the extent of the amount available therein.

The expenditure incurred during the year amounted to Rs. 11.65 lakhs. The amount charged to the Fund was Rs. 11.24 lakhs.

A sum of Rs. 11.24 lakes was contributed to the fund by debit against the provision made in this grant.

There was no balance at credit of the Fund on the 31st March, 1964.

An account of the Fund appears in the Statement at page 101 of Part II of the Finance Accounts of the State Government for 1963-64.

Grant	No.	20	M	odica	L
-------	-----	----	---	-------	---

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "29—Medical"			
Voted— Rs. Original 10,98,15,000	٦		
Supplementary	<b>}10,98,15,000</b>	10,72,04,242	-26,10,758
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	52,60, <b>300</b>
Charged—			
Original Supplementary 56,153	} 56,153	53,502	-2,651
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	2,65 <b>0</b>

## Notes and comments:-

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The surrender of Rs. 52.60 lakhs made on the 31st March, 1964 in the voted provision proved excessive as the actual saving in the grant came up to only Rs. 26.11 lakhs.

(ii) In the following group-head, the provision remained unutilised mainly due to a post budget change in classification.

			Total Grant	Ac Expend	tual liture	Excess + Saving -
K-EMPLOY ANCE	SCHEME	E INSUR-		(In	lakhs	of rupe <del>es</del> )
0	••	55·60				
R	••	<i>—</i> 55 ·60 ∫	••	•	•	••

Prior to 1963-64, the expenditure on "Employees' State Insurance Scheme" was classified under this Grant. In 1963-64 it was decided to change the classification of the expenditure to Major Head "38-Labour and Employment" (Crant No. 30), instead of under the Major Head "29-Medical" as followed hitherto. The provision was however wiped off from this group head by reappropriation and surrender only on the 31st March, 1964.

(iii) In the following group head, the provision remained untilised to a substantial extent—

#### **M**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

(i) Third Five-Year Plan-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 2,23 \cdot 05 \\ R & \dots & -1,29 \cdot 49 \end{array} \right\} \quad 93 \cdot 56 \qquad 91 \cdot 53 \qquad -2 \cdot 03 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 1,31.52 lakhs in the original provision (59.0 per cent.) was attributed mainly to—

- (i) change in classification in respect of the "Employees' State Insurence Scheme" from Major Head "29-Medical" to Major Head "38-Labour and Employment" (under a separate Grant) from the year 1963-64 (Rs. 1,12.00 lakhs),
- (ii) partial implementation of the scheme for "Improvement and establishment of hospitals other than Sadar and subdivisional hospitals" (Rs. 9.49 lakhs),
- (iii) late implementation of the scheme for "Domiciliary Medical Scheme" (Rs. 3 ·04 lakhs),
- (iv)(a) non-opening of new nurses-training centres, and
  - (b) non-expansion of existing training centres due to non-completion of constructional works under the scheme "Training of Nurses including Post-Graduate Nurses" (Rs. 4.75 lakhs) and
- (v) lesser payment of Grants to the Ayurvedic Institutions under the scheme for "Ayurvedic Education" (Rs. 1 .68 lakhs).

There was a saving of Rs.  $44 \cdot 08$  lakhs under this group head during the preceding year also, which formed  $47 \cdot 8$  per cent. of the provision.

The names of the major schemes which remained unimplemented or were partially i mplemented during the year are given below :

				Provision	Amount of saving	
M-DEV	ELOPMENT SCHEMES-			(In lakhs	of rupees)	
(a) T	hird Five-Year Plan—					
1.	Training of Pharmacists			1.20	1 •19	
2.	Training of Sanitary Inspectors		••	1.00	1.00	
3.	Ayurvedic Education	••	••	3 .50	1 .68	
4.	Establishment of Paediatric Child guidance Clinic and Orth		with entres.	1 .00	1.00	
5.	Drug Control	••	••	1.00	1.00	

(iv) In the following group heads, the additional funds provided by re-appropriations as late as on the 31st March, 1964 proved largely inadequate----

					Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
•	1-Presid	lency Ho	spitals and	Dispensaries-	-	(In lakhs of	f rupees)
	0	••	••	ړ 1,18 ⋅25	1.07 04	1.94.07	17.09
	R	••		$\left.\begin{array}{c}1,18\cdot25\\8\cdot79\end{array}\right\}$	1,27 .04	1,34 .87	+7.83

The excess of Rs. 7.83 lakhs was explained to be mainly due to more expenditure in the hospitals and dispensaries as a result of (i) increase in the number of patients and (ii) increase in the price of materials.

B3-Mufussil Hospitals and Dispensaries-

0 R

B.

 $\left.\begin{array}{c} 19 \cdot 65 \\ 6 \cdot 61 \end{array}\right\} \qquad 26 \cdot 26 \qquad 29 \cdot 65 \qquad +3 \cdot 39$ 

H—PROVINCIALISATION OF SADAR AND SUBDIVISIONAL HOSPITALS—

0	••	••	ך 55 א 55			
			7	79 •83	85 • 59	+5•76
R	••	••	24.∙03 J			

The expenses under the foregoing 2 sub-heads were explained as mainly due to (i) more adjustments of arrear bills of Central Medical Stores, (ii) more expenditure on account of increase in the price of materials and (iii) increase in the rate of diet supplied to the patients.

As adjustments of the arrear bills for Stores supplied during the period from 1957-58 to 1962-63 were made after due acceptance thereof by the Departmental officers and on the basis of specific request in each case, the non-provision of adequate additional funds therefor indicates defective control. In the proceeding year also, there were excesses of Rs. 4.73 lakhs under the grouphead "B3-Mufassil Hospitals and Dispensaries" and Rs. 19.80 lakhs under the group head—"H-Provincialisation of Sadar and Sub divisional Hospitals."

(v) Suspense.—The expenditure in the voted grant includes an amount of Rs. 1,69-45 lakhs booked under the group head "L-Suspense" which accommodates interim transactions for the purphase of medical stores by the Assistant Director of Health Services (Equipment and Stores) and supply thereof to different Institutions.

The transactions under the group head during the year were as follows :

				(In la	khs of rupe <b>es)</b>
Opening balance	• •	••	••	••	<b>2,01 ·16</b>
Gross charges	••	••	••	••	1,69 •45
Deduct-Issues	to other	Departments,	Institutio	ons, etc.	-1,50 .94
Closing balance	••	••	••	••	2,19•67

## Grant No. 21—Public Health

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving
Major Head "30—Public Health"	Rs	• Rs	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 3,44,46,000 Supplementary 59,30,000	4,03,76,000	4,24,61,894	+20,85,894
Amount surrendered during the ye (March, 1964).	ar	••	72,35,400
Charged—			
Original Supplementary 4,000	} 4,000	3,633	- 367
Supplementary 4,000			
Amount surrendered during the ye	ar	••	Nil

#### Notes and Comments---

## **Voted Grant**

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 20.86 lakhs in the grant, the supplementary provision of Rs. 59.30 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved largely in-adequate.

(ii) The surrender of Rs. 72.35 lakhs made on the 31st March, !964 was not justified as the expenditure exceeded the grant by Rs. 20.86 lakhs and no amount was actually available for surrender.

(iii) The excess of Rs. 20,85,894 over the grant requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 96.41 lakhs over the provision of Rs. 2,62.18 lakhs made under 24 sub-heads, partly counter balanced by savings amounting to Rs. 3.20 lakhs under other sub-heads and surrender to the extent of Rs. 72.35 lakhs.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the following sub-heads :--

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure.	Saying -

(In lakhs of rupees)

## I-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.

(a) Third Five-Year Plan—Control of Diseases.

Malaria Eradication Scheme-

0	••	••	80 ·00 ]			
8	••	••	10.00	85 ·19	1,12.95	+27.76
R	••	••	<u>4 ·81</u>		1	

The excess was mainly due to non-provision of funds for the cost of materials and equipments received from the Government of India in connection with the Malaria Eradication Scheme in the State.

No provision was made under this head on the grounds that the State Government had sought the approval of the Government of India for treating the cost of materials and equipments as expenditure outside the State Plan [Group head I(e)]. No provision was made outside the State Plan also. The adjustment made as usual under this head thus resulted in the excess.

J-SUSPENSE-STOCK-

Gross Charges-

0	••	••	[ 10.00			
8	••	• •	20 • 40 }	<b>34 ·</b> 00	91 •53	+57.53
R		••	3.60			

The excess was attributed to more purphase of equipment and other materials we Water Supply and Sanitation and other schemes towards the close of the year.

In view of the excess, the supplementary provision of Rs.20.40 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 and the funds provided by reappropriation on the 31st March, 1964 proved largely inadequate.

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant	Expenditure	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupces.)

## I-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

(a) Third Five-Year Plan-

Control of Diseases-

1. Chest Clinic-cum-Domiciliary Units-

0	••	••	ך 8.70			
			}	2.16	3 .55	+1 •39
R	••		$\left.\begin{array}{c} 8\cdot 70\\ -6\cdot 54\end{array}\right\}$			
		•				

The excess was explained as due to employment of staff and adjustment of the cost of clinical equipment supplied to the Chest Clinics towards the close of the year.

2. Rural Water Supply and Sanitation-

0	••	••	27.00			
8	••	••	14.71	25 · <b>4</b> 7	$29 \cdot 35$	+3 •88
R		••	-16·24			

The excess was attributed to unanticipated adjustment of departmental bills for material cost of tube-wells received from different divisions towards the close of the year.

(c) Second Five-Year Plan-

(Committed Expenditure)-

			52 •74 ]			
s	••	••	0.29	54 •61	<b>56 ·08</b>	+1 -47
R	••	••	1.58			

The excess was stated to be due mainly to unanticipated adjustment of bills for the cost of surgical and clinical equipment supplied to the various clinics.

(b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads :

A-PUBLIO HEALTH ESTABLISHMENT.

(a) Director of Health Services.

A.5-Other Contingencies-

				Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -		
(b)Public	Health En	rincering			(In lakhs	of rupees.)		
	of Officers	-	•					
			ړ 1.۰70					
R			$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot70\\-0\cdot30\end{array}\right\}$	1 •40	1 •48	+0.08		
	•• •r Continge							
0	-		ر 32·0					
R			$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0.32\\ -0.09\end{array}\right\}$	0 •23	0.49	+0`-26		
		••	ر ۵۰ ټ					
(d) District	-	nomin	oto					
A.3Allo O	wances, ho							
-		••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot14\\0\cdot09\end{array}\right\}$	1 •23	1 •29	+0.06		
	••	••	ر 90∙0					
	er Conting							
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0.69\\ 0.11\end{array}\right\}$	0.80	0.94	+0 <b>·14</b>		
R	••		-					
(e) Expense			-					
	er Conting			0.06,	0.17	+0·11		
(h) Reserve								
A.2—Pay	of Establ	ishment–						
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot00\\0\cdot46\end{array}\right\}$	1.46	1.52	+0.06		
R	••	••	-			•		
A.3—Allo	wances, ho	onoraria,	etc.—					
0	••	••	0 ·24	0.20	0.25	+0.05		
R	••	••	<b>_0</b> .04 ∫	0 20	0 20	1000		
	B-GRANTS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH FURPOSES.							
B.7-Other S	chemes-							
0			0.71 ∫	1.54	1.58	<b>∔0•4</b>		
R			0.83					
	C-EXPENSES IN CONNECTION WITH EPIDEMIC DISEASES.							
C.3—Other	Epidemic	Charges	••	9 <b>·</b> 28	9 •63	+0.35		

				Total Grant	Actual Expenditure		Excess + Saving -		
					(In	lakhs	of	rupees)	
D-BACT	ERIOLOG	ICAL LABO	DRATORIES	5.					
<b>D.5</b> Ot	her Contin	gencies—							
0	•• .	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 22\\0\cdot 57\end{array}\right\}$	1.79		2-0	7	0-28	
R	••	•• •	∫ 0.57 €	1 10		- 0	•	-, · 20	
	F-LEPROSY.								
(a) Pilot	Project fo Schen	or Leprosy C nes.	Control						
F-4	Other Cont	ingencies	•••	0 • 21		0.2	9	+0.08	
G—WORKS.									
G.2-Re	pairs.	• •	••	1 .00		1.5	6	+0.56	
<b>G.3</b> —To	ols and Pl	ant							
0	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.04 \end{array} \right\}$	0.12		0 •1	A	+0.02	
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	0.04 ∫	0.12		01	T	+0 02	
mainte Develo	onance of comment P	n connectior completed Co rojects and ce Blocks—	mmunity						
0	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 18 \\ 0 \cdot 03 \end{array} \right\}$	0.21		0.4	7	+0 •2 <b>6</b>	
R	••	••	0.03 ∫	•					
I—DE	EVELOPM:	ENT SCHE	MES.						
(a) Thire	d Five-Yea Disea	r PlanCon ses.	trol of						
<b>B.</b> C. G. V	accine Can	npaign—							
0	••	••	<b>4</b> ∙00	4.11		4 •4	5	+0.34	
${f R}$	••	••	0 ∙11 ∫	1 11				1	
Small Pox	: Eradicati	on Scheme-	-						
0	••	••	22.50	19.34	1	19 · 9	91	+0.57	
$\mathbf R$	••	••	-3.16					•	
	Anti-Cholera Programme-								
0	••	• •	[ 20.00 ] 	17 •21		17 -6	38	+0.47	
${f R}$	••		ر 2.70-						

				Total Grant		Actual penditure	Excess + Saving -
Laboratory Statistics-		ces-Research	and		(In	lakhs of	rupees)
0	••	••	0.50	⊳ 0.08		0.43	+0.35
R	••	••	-0·42	۶		0 10	70.00

(iv) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent ·

I-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES :---

I(d) Centrally-sponsored Schemes (Committed expenditure)---

 $\left. \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & & 18 \cdot 46 \\ R & \dots & & -14 \cdot 05 \end{array} \right\} \quad 4 \cdot 41 \quad 4 \cdot 41 \quad \dots \\$ 

The saving of Rs. 14.05 lakhs forming 76.1 per cent. of the original provision was stated to be due to non-payment of grants to several local bodies owing to their failure to fulfil the requisite conditions for such grants under the Sanitary Project Rules.

In the previous year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 13.56 lakhs (67.8 per cent. of the provision), which was attributed to same reason.

I(e) Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

0	••	••	<b>4</b> ∙59			
8	••	••	13 .90	3 •25	1.53	-1.72
R	••	••	-15.24			

The total saving of Rs. 16.96 lakhs forming 91.7 per cent. of the total provision was stated to be mainly due to :

- (a) non-implementation of the scheme 'Maternity, Child Welfare and Family Planning Centre' (Rs. 13.90 lakhs) and
- (b) non-purchase of required number of jeeps for Rural Water Supply Investigation Division (Rs. 1.34 lakhs).

The reasons for the non-implementation of the scheme were not furnished by the controlling officer, while the non-purchase of jeep was attributed to imposition of restriction by the Government of India.

The supplementary provision of Rs. 13.90 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 for meeting the additional expenditure under the scheme "Maternity, Child Welfare and Family Planning Centre" proved entirely unnecessary.

In the previous year also, there was a saving of Rs. 28.98 lakhs (93.5 per cent. of the provision) under this group head.

(v) In the following group head the reduction of provision by reappropriation/ surrender as late as on the 30th and 31st March, 1964 respectively proved largely excessive : 11-4-1 . . .

				Grant	Actual Expenditure			Excess $+$ Saving $-$	
					(In	lakhs	of	rupees)	
I-DEVE	LOPMENT	SCHEME	S—						
I(a) Thire	d Five-Year	Plan							
0	••	••	[ 1,88 ∙70						
8	••		24.71	• 1, <b>6</b> 0 • 20		1, <b>94</b> ·8	7	+34 .6	
R	••	••	-53 ·21						

+34 .67

A supplementary grant of Rs. 24.71 lakhs was obtained on the 30th March. 1964 for meeting additional requirements for various schemes. On the next day, however, a sum of Rs. 53 21 lakhs was surrendered as surplus to requirement because of non-implementation of certain schemes.

The final excess was attributed to unanticipated adjustment of bills for the cost of insecticides. tube-wells, etc.

(vi) Suspense.-The expenditure in the grant includes an amount of Rs. 91.53 lakhs booked under the group head 'J-Suspense' which accommodates interim transactions for the purchase and supply of equipment and other materials for "Water Supply and Sanitation" and other schemes of the Public Health Department.

The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at Pages 104-105 in Note (vii) below grant No. 33.-Irrigation.

An account of the transactions during the year is given below :

Major Head and Detailed Units.	Opening balance.	Debits during the year	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.					
		•	v	(In lakhs of rupees.)						
30 Public Health										
Purchase	-1,37 ·51	17 .47	37 .93	-20 ·46						
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances	30 ·13	4.83	<b>4</b> ·86	0 ·03	<b>30 ·10</b>					
Stock	-11.12	<b>45 ∙03</b>	<b>36 ·3</b> 2	8.71	2 ·41					
Total	-1,18.50	67 ·33	<b>79 ·11</b>	-11 ·78	-1,30 ·28					

58

# Grant No. 22-Agriculture-Agriculture

	Total Grant or Appropriation		
	Rs.	<b>Rs</b> .	Rs.
Major Head "31-Agriculture" and "95-Capital Outlay on schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Re- search".			
Rø.			
Voted			
Original 8,72,33,00	0 ]		
Original 8,72,33,00 Supplementary 98,35,00	0 } 9,70,68,000	7,20,42,072	2,50,25,928
Amount surrendered during t year (March, 1964)			1,68.76,691
Charged-			
Original Supplementary 11,00		n	11 000
Supplementary 11,00	00 } 11,000		
Amount surrendered during the year	he • • • •		Nil

## Notes and comments---

## **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving of Rs. 2,50.26 lakhs in the voted grant formed 25.8 per cent. of the total provision. Appreciable savings also occurred under this grant mainly due to non-implementation of a number of development schemes, in the preceding six years as indicated below :

Year		Total Provision	Saving	Percentage of the saving to the total provision.
		(In lakh	s of rup <del>oos</del> )	1
1957-58	••	6,53 ·44	1,61 •52	24 •7
1958-59	••	4,81 •74	75 <b>•4</b> 8	15.7
<b>1959-6</b> 0	••	5,10 .81	1,16 •17	22.7
1960-61	••	<b>4,86 ·2</b> 3	<b>43 · 1</b> 4	8.9
1961-62	••	9 <b>,4</b> 8 •76	4,14 •59	43 •7
1962-63	••	<b>9,55 ·3</b> 6	<b>3,</b> 55 •87	37 •2

(ii) In view of the saving of Rs. 2,50.26 lakes in the voted grant, the supplementary provision of Rs. 98.35 lakes obtained on the 30th March, 1964 under the following group heads proved wholly unnecessary.

Total Actual Excess + Grant Expenditure Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees)

(A) 31-Agriculture---

L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

L(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0		••				
8	••	••	••	63 .60 } 3,10	0·75 2,76·23	-34 ·52
R	••	••	••	<b>_37 ⋅98</b>		

I. The total saving of Rs.  $72 \cdot 50$  lakes formed  $20 \cdot 8$  per cent of the total provision of Rs.  $3,48 \cdot 73$  lakes.

In the previous year also, the saving under this group head was Rs.  $1,88 \cdot 74$  lakes (52.4 per cent. of the provision).

The saving was explained as due to :--

- (a) non-execution of 35 schemes owing to non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 17.53 lakhs);
- (b) non-implementation of a number of 'Small Irrigation Schemes' (Rs. 20.73 lakhs);
- (c) non-filling of posts and late appointments for want of suitable personnel and non-finalisation of recruitment rules (Rs. 9.13 lakhs);
- (d) lifting of less quantity of superphosphate and bone-meal by the distributors and non-settlement of discrepancies in distributors' claims (Rs. 10-39 lakhs);
- (e) non-availability in the market of the materials necessary for the implementation of some schemes (Rs.  $6 \cdot 16$  lakhs) and
- (f) partial implementation of the scheme for 'Lift Irrigation from rivers and beels' owing to non-availability of pumps of required specification (Rs. 6.04 lakhs).

II. The names of the major schemes which remained unimplemented during the year for non-completion of preliminaries are indicated below :----

Schemes	Provision
	(In lakhs of rupees)
(1) Strengthening of the Seed Testing Organisations	1.21
(2) Establishment of a Statistical Unit	1.88
(3) Improved agricultural implements for V.L.W. Block Headquarters and Farm Advisory Headquarters.	1.00
(4) Setting up of a State-owned Cold Storage	2.50

These schemes have remained unimplemented since 1961-62 for the same reasons.

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant	Expenditure	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### (B) 95—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Research

#### S-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

S(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

The total saving of  $R_{5}$  52.06 lakh<sub>5</sub> formed 29.7 per cent. of the total provision of Rs. 1.75.52 lakhs. In the previous year also, the saving under this group head was  $R_{4}$ . 87.48 lakhs (48 per cent, of the provision).

The saving was attributed to slow progress of work in respect of the schemes (1) 'Lift Irrigation from rivers and beels' and (2) 'Deep Tube-well Irrigation— Electricity Power' due to :

- (a) non-availability of pumps of required specification (Rs. 14.30 lakhs) and
- (b) non-energisation and non-completion of the target number of deep tubewells owing to failure of the executing agency, viz.. 'State Electricity Board' to execute the job (Rs. 37.00 lakhs).

(iv) In the following group heads also under the voted grant. the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :—

#### 31—Agriculture

D(2)-Other charges-

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O & & & & 3 \cdot 80 \\ R & & & & -0 \cdot 98 \end{array} \right\} \qquad 2 \cdot 82 \qquad 2 \cdot 66 \qquad -0 \cdot 16 \\ \end{array}$$

The total saving of Rs.  $1 \cdot 14$  lakhs forming 30 per cent, of the original provision was stated to be mainly due to:

- (a) non-filling up of certain posts in the workshop under "Training-cum-Development Projects" (Rs. 0.49 lakh);
- (b) non-organising the systematic programme for training camps of non-official members of the Block Development Committees (Rs 0.34 lakh).

**B**-SUPERINTENDENCE-

0	••	38·76 <b>}</b>			
_			35.07	<b>34</b> · 84	-0.23
R	••	-3·69 }			

The total saving of Rs. 3.92 lakes was stated to be due to transfer of staff to the Development Department, under Grant No. 29—Community Development **Pr**ojects.

Total Actual Excess + Grant Expenditure Saving --

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### I-AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT-

0	••	3.86 ]			
		l	0.90	0.90	••
R	• •	-2·96 ∫			

The saving of Rs. 2.96 lakhs forming 76.7 per cent. of the original provision was stated to be mainly due to post-budget transfer of a scheme to the Plan-Budget [Group head L(a)].

#### L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

L(e) Schemes outside the State Plan

Intensive Food Production Schemes-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 3,12 \cdot 65 \\ R & \dots & -1,24 \cdot 20 \end{array} \right\} \quad 1,88 \cdot 45 \quad 1,91 \cdot 76 \quad +3 \cdot 31 \\ \end{array}$ 

The net saving of Rs.1,20.89 lakhs formed 38.7 per cent. of the original provision. In the previous year also the saving under this group head was 73.38 lakhs (26.9 per cent. of the provision).

The saving was explained as mainly due to :--

- (a) lifting of less quantity of fertilizers by the distributors owing to difficulty in getting adequate supply of wagons (Rs. 1,11.14 lakhs) and
- (b) non-payment of claims of the distributors from 1953-54 pending receipt of the Arbitrator's award and also non-settlement of disputes over certain dues (Rs. 9.70 lakhs).

#### \$5—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Research

T-OTHER SCHEMES-

The reasons for the net saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 26$  lakhs which formed  $57 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the original provision were not furnished by the controlling authority. In the previous year also the saving under this group head was Rs.  $2 \cdot 51$  lakhs ( $64 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the provision).

(v) In the following group head, the excess remained uncovered and the additional funds obtained by reappropriation on the 31st March. 1964, proved largely inadequate :--

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure	Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees)

# 31—Agriculture

L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

L(b) First Five-Year Plan

L(B)(i)—Intensive Food Production Scheme—

0	• •	30·19 ]			
			30.50	$34 \cdot 57$	+4.07
R	••	0.31			1

The total excess of Rs. 4.38 lakhs occurred mainly under 'Schemes for distribution of disease-free potato seeds (Rangbull and Bhanjang Farms) and 'Preservation and distribution of improved seed potatoes in Brooklyn Ice Plants'. The reasons for the excess were not received from the controlling authority.

(vi) Subsidies.—The expenditure under the grant includes subsidies amounting to  $R_3$ . 0.28 lakh paid to Companies, Corporation. etc.. to compensate them for the loss sustained in selling the fertilisers at a rate below the cost of production fixed by Government.

(vii) Deposit account of grant made by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research.—The expenditure under the grant includes an amount of Rs. 1.56 lakhs met from the deposit account of the grant received from the Indian Council of Agricultural Rosearch for furtherance of agricultural schemes and other allied objects.

The grants received from the Council towards the cost of research schemes undertaken at their instance are credited to a deposit account. The expenditure incurred on the schemes is booked against provision made under this grant. At the end of the year an amount equivalent to the share of expenditure to be met from the grants made by the Council is transferred to the deposit account.

The balance at the credit of the deposit account on the 31st March, 1964, was Rs. 5.82 lakhs.

An account of the transactions in the deposit account during 1963-64 is given in Statement No. 16 at page 106 of the Finance Accounts of the Government of West Bengal.

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
Major Head, "31—Agriculture"	Rs.	Rs.	$\mathbf{R}_{8}.$
R <sub>5</sub> .			
Original 34,87,000 Supplementary 12,91,000	47,78,000	26,98,650	
Supplementary 12,91,000 🖌	11,10,000		20,10,000
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	17,23,172

#### Notes and comments-

(i) The saving of  $\mathbb{R}_4$  20.79 lakhs formed 43.5 per cent. of the total provision. There were large savings under this grant during the previous three years also, as indicated below :—

Year				Total Provision.	Saving	Percentage to the total provision.
				(In	lakhs of rup	
1960-61	••	••	••	36 • 95	14.23	38.5
1961-62	••	••	••	33.77	$7 \cdot 58$	$22 \cdot 4$
1962-63	••	••	••	48·27	21 · 40	44.3

In all the years, the saving was attributed to less expenditure on Development Schemes mainly on account of non-completion of preliminaries.

(ii) In view of the saving of Rs. 20.79 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 12.91 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved entirely unnecessary.

(iii) The saving occurred mainly under the following group head :---

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure in lakhs of rupees	Excess + Saving —
C(i)—Thi	rd Five-Year	Plan—		in taking of rupees,	)
0		[ 20∙95			
8	••	10.77	15 • 93	12.90	-3.03
R		—15·79 J			

The actual expenditure under the group head did not come up even to the original provision. The total saving of Rs.  $18 \cdot 82$  lakhs in the total provision (59.3 per cent.) was explained as mainly due to partial implementation of several schemes owing to non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 10.48 lakhs) and non-completion of construction work by the contractors (Rs. 4.08 lakhs).

The names of the major schemes which were partially implemented during the year are as follows :—

Names of Schemes		Provision	Saving
Third	Five-Year Plan—	(In lakhs	of rupees)
1.	Development of derelict fisheries in the State of West Bengal.	7•42	3.71
2.	Experimental Fish Farm at Kalyani (Spill- over).	3.26	1•69
3.	Establishment of seed farms for production of quality seeds through artificial breeding of Indian Major Carps by hormonic treat- ment.	3.30	<b>1</b> ∙21
4.	Pilot Scheme for reorganisation of Calcutta fish markets on Co-operative basis	7.00	<b>6 · 6</b> 0
5.	Setting up producers' Co-operatives for culture and capture of fisheries sectors for improving the present supply and produc- tion of fish in the State.	6 • 49	<b>4</b> ∙07

# Grant No. 24-Animal Husbandry

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
Major Heads ''33—Animal Husbandr and ''124—Capital Outlay of Schemes of Government Trading	n	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 4,92,44,000 Supplementary	} 4,92,44,000	3,51,84,176	1,40,59,824
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).			1,27,18,853
Charged—			
Original Supplementary 4,636	4,636	4,636	
Supplementary 4,636	<u>۶</u> ,000	<b>T</b> 1000	
Amount surrendered during the year.	3		Nil

#### Notes and comments-

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving of Rs. 1,40.60 lakks formed 28.6 per cent. of the voted grant. There were substantial savings in this Grant in the last three years also, as indicated below :—

Year			Provision	Saving	Percentage of saving to the provision	
				(In lakhs of rupees)		
1960-61	••		1,47 •38	65 ·17	44 ·2	
1961-62	••	••	1,87 •40	1,47 •92	<b>79</b> •0	
1962-63	••		2,06 .92	62 ·34	30 • 1	

(ii) Of the saving of Rs. 1,40 ·60 lakhs in 1963-64 a saving of Rs. 1,30 ·43 lakhs occurred in the provision for Greater Calcutta Milk Supply Scheme (Group head K).

Large savings occurred under this Scheme during the preceding three years also. This was explained as due to less procurement of raw milk from the suppliers owing to fluctuation in the market rates as also due to natural calamities.

(iii) In the following group heads also, the provision in the voted grant during 1963-64 remained unutilised to a substantial extent:

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### Major Head "33-Animal Husbandry"

J-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

J(a) Third Five-Year Plan-

(A) J(a)(i)—Disease Control and Rinderpest Eradication—

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 1 \cdot 98 \\ R & \dots & -1 \cdot 27 \end{array} \right\} \quad 0 \cdot 71 \quad 0 \cdot 68 \quad -0 \cdot 03 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 1.30 lakhs in the original provision (65.7 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-implementation of the Schemes 'Field Campaign' and 'Extension of Field Campaign' for want of sanction (Rs. 1.00 lakh).

	(iii)—Aid .ics—	Centres and			
0	••	4 .92	1 •36	1 •31	0.05
R		<b>—3</b> •56 ∫	1.90	16, 1	0-00

The total saving of Rs. 3.61 lakhs in the original provision (73.4 per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the Schemes for 'Establishment of New Veterinary Aid Centres' (Rs. 3.32 lakhs).

In the preceding year also, the saving under this group head was Rs 2.74 lakhs (86.2 per cent, of the provision) and was resulted due mainly to partial implementation of two Schemes (2.73 lakhs).

As in the previous year, the reasons for the partial implementation of the Schemes were not furnished by the controlling officer this year also.

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
(C) J(a)(vi)—Cattle Development Schemes—			(In lakhs of rupees)		
0		∫ 5.71 ]	4.76	9 69	1 19
R		0 ·95 ∫	4 • 70	3 .63	1 ·13

The total saving of Rs. 2.08 lakhs in the original provision (36.4 per cent.) was explained as mainly due to partial implementation of a number of schemes owing to delay in appointment of technical staff for want of suitable candidates.

In the preceding year also, the saving under this group head was Rs 2.73 lakhs (49.5 per cent. of the provision).

D I(a)(vii) Poultry Development-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 6 \cdot 94 \\ R & \dots & -0 \cdot 96 \end{array} \right\} \qquad 5 \cdot 98 \qquad 5 \cdot 66 \qquad -0 \cdot 32 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 1-28 lakhs in the original provision (18.4 per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the Schemes for -

- (1) 'Duck Extension Centre' as a result of delay in appointment of staff (Rs. 0.13 lakh) and excessive provision (Rs. 0.46 lakh). and
- (2) 'Establishment of a State Poultry Farm at Durgapur' as a result of nonavailability of suitable land (Rs. 0.16 lakh) and non-completion of construction of a 'Farm House' (Rs. 0.47 lakh).

In the preceding year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 3.28 lakhs (57.2 per cent. of the original provision).

- E I(a)(k)—Training, Research and Statistics—
  - $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 1 \cdot 25 \\ \mathbf{R} & \dots & -0 \cdot 82 \end{array} \right\} \qquad 0.43 \qquad 0.23 \qquad -0.20$

The total saving of Rs. 1.02 lakhs in the original provision (81.6 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to suspension of the scheme for 'Development of Veterinary Research Organisation (Spill-over)' during the year owing to a post-budget decision to implement the scheme in the next year (1964-65). In the preceding year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 1.13 lakhs (93.4 per cent. of the original provision).

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
			(	In lakhs of rupees	3)
F I(d)C Scher	entrally spo nes	nsored			
0		11·76 Ž	6.87	5.08	-1.79
R	••	<b>4</b> ·89 ∫	0.01	0.00	-1.19

The total saving of Rs. 6.68 lakhs in the original provision (56.8 per cent.) was mainly due to partial implementation of the schemes for—

- (I) 'Pig Breeding Station-cum-Bacon Factory at Kalyani' owing to non-availability of selected Boars and non-commissioning of the Bacon Factory (3.82 lakhs), and
- (II) 'Establishment of Immune Belt on the Bordering Districts' (1.62 lakhs).

Considerable savings occurred under this group head during the preceding three years also as detailed below :

Year		ł	Provision	Saving	Percentage of saving com- pared to the provision
			(In lakh	s of rupees)	
1960-61	••	••	12.00	12.00	100 ·0
1961-62		••	7 -28	5 ·27	72 ·4
1962-63	•••	• •	8 • 40	5 - 19	61.8

#### Major Head "124—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading".

The total saving of Rs.  $94 \cdot 27$  lakhs in the original provision (30 ·4 per cent.) was attributed mainly to less collection of raw milk from the licentiate suppliers (Rs.  $86 \cdot 87$  lakhs) owing to the indirect effect of higher market price and setback in admission of milk cattle from the city Khatals to Milk Colony due to some legal impediment in enforcing the West Bengal Cattle Licensing Act.

#### Grant No. 24-Animal Husbandry-concld.

a1	H'YOORU	1

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupecs).

#### (H) B-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Third Five-Year Plan-

0 ··· 85.00 } 48.29<del>48 •84</del> +0.55R

The not saving of Rs. 36.16 lakhs in the original provision (42.5 per cent.) was attributed mainly to-

(a) Non-finalisation of the following schemes due to non-com pletion of preliminaries-

(i) Intograted Scheme for Extension Survey and Stat	tistics	<b>4</b> ·00
(ii) Milk Product factory and Cheese factory		<b>4</b> .00
(iii) New Milk Supply Scheme	•••	<b>4</b> .00
(iv) Expansion of Dairy Scheme and Spill-over expense	diture	10·0 <b>2</b>
(b) Delay in finalising acquisition of land at Haringhata	••	11 · <b>5</b> 0
(c) Non-adjustment of charges by Assistant Director. Sh	inning in	2.94

(c) Non-adjustment of charges by Assistant Director. Shipping, in respect of imported equipments.

(iv) Deposit Account of (trants made by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research .- The expenditure under this grant includes an amount of Rs. 1.11 lakha to be met from the deposit account of grant received from the Indian Council of Agricultural Research for furtherance of the Animal Husbandry Schemes and other allied objects.

The grants received from the Council towards the cost of research schemes undertaken at their instance are credited to a deposit account The expenditure incurred on the schemes is booked against provision made under this grant.

At the end of the year an amount equivalent to the share of expenditure to be met from the grants made by the Council is transferred to the Deposit Account.

The requisite transfer to the Deposit Account could not be made during this year owing to dealy in receipt of the contribution from the Council.

The balance at the credit of the deposit account on the 31st March, 1964 was Rs. 5.82 lakhs.

The account of the transactions of the deposit account during 1963-64 is given in statement No. 16 at page 106 of the Finance Accounts of the Government of West Bengal.

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Heads ''34— Co-operation''. and ''95-A Capital Outlay on Consumers' Co-operatives''.,	Rs.	<b>К</b> н.	Rs.
<b>R</b> 8.			
Original          85.56,000           Supplementary         21.25.000	} 1 06,81,000	97,03 569	-9.77.431
Supplementary 21.25.000	5		,
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).			10,11,242

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) This grant includes expenditure on development of Consumers' Co-operatives; such expenditure was hitherto provided for under Grant No. '26—Industries— Industries'. This change has been made consequent on the introduction from 1963-64 of a separate major head "95—A Capital Outlay on Consumers' Co-operatives''; the expenditure was previously accounted for under the major head "96—Capital Outlay on Industrial Development''.

(ii) The saving of Rs. 9.77 lakhs formed 46.0 per cent. of the supplementary provision. In view of the saving, the supplementary grant obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1964, was largely in excess of requirements.

(iii) There were substantial savings in this grant during the previous three years also, as indicated below :

Year.			Total provision.	Saving.	Percentage to the total provision.		
				(In lakhs of rupees).		Pro Miloni	
<b>196</b> 0-61	••		••	65 · 58	<b>23 · 3</b> 0	35.5	
1961-62	••		••	$67 \cdot 62$	15.24	$22 \cdot 5$	
19 <b>62</b> -63				73·24	16.19	$22 \cdot 1$	

As in 1963-64 the savings under the grant in all the above years, were attributed mainly to less expenditure on 'Development Schemes'.

(iv) The saving in 1963-64 occurred mainly under the following group heads :

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major H	lead ''34	Co-operation"		(Ter tetels)	- <b>f</b> )
D-DEVELO	OPNENT	SCHEMES-		(In lakins	of rupees).
(a) Third Fiv	ve-Year Pla	n			
0	••	ך 38·72	27.75	27.62	-0·13
<b>R</b>	••	رُ 10.97 _	21.10	21.02	

The total saving of Rs.  $11 \cdot 10$  lakes in the original provision (28.7 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to :

- (i) less expenditure on some Development Schemes owing to non-payment of Managerial Subsidy to Primary Credit Societies due to their failure to start functioning during the year. (Rs. 8.53 lakhs), and
- (ii) less expenditure on the scheme "Creation of Administrative, Supervisory and Office Personnel" owing to non-appointment of a number of staff (Rs. 2.88 lakhs).

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

D(c)-Centrally-sponsored Scheme-

0		16·65 )			
		λ	$7 \cdot 47$	$7 \cdot 49$	+0.02
R	••	—9·18 j			·

The net saving of Rs. 9.16 lakhs in the provision (55.0 per cent.) was attributed mainly to—

- (i) post-budget decision to include the expenditure on staff engaged on 'Development of the Consumers' Co-operative Societies' in the State Third Five Year Plan [group head D(a)] at the instance of the Government of India (Rs. 3.51 lakhs), and
- (ii) non payment of managerial and rent subsidy to the Co-operative Societies due to their failure to fulfil the requisite conditions (Rs. 5.69 lakhs).

(vii) Subsidy.—The expenditure in the grant includes a sum of Rs.  $27 \cdot 31$  lakhs paid as subsidies during the year to Co-operative Banks and Societics in connection with different Development schemes under the Third Five-Year Plan.

The details of subsidies are as follows :---

Amount. (In lakhs of rupees) (i) Subsidies to Co-operative Banks and Societies on account 6.37of managerial costs. (ii) Subsidies to Co-operative Land Mortgage Banks and 3.58Central Co-operative Banks for general purposes. (iii) Subsidies to Central Co-operative Banks and Primary Co-5.00operative Societies for Special Bad Debt Reserve Fund. 1.63 (iv) Subsidies to Agricultural Marketing Societies . . (v) Subsidies to Co-operative Institutions in connection with 0.13Study Tour. (vi) Subsidies to various types of Co-operative Institutions 10.60 for miscellaneous purposes.

Major Heads ''35—Industries'' and ''96—Capital Outlay on Industrial Development''.	Total Grant or Appropriation. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. Rs.	Excess + Saving - Rs.
Voted—			
Rs. Original . 3.72,41,000 Supplementary 1	} <b>3,72,41,001</b>	2,37,98,367	-1. <b>34,42,634</b>
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	1,03,62,722
Charged			
Or <b>i</b> ginal Supplementary 3,000	} <i>3,000</i>	2,604	<i>—396</i>
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	•••	395
Notes and comments-			

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving of Rs. 1,34.43 lakhs formed 36.1 per cent. of the total provision.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under the grant were Rs.  $34 \cdot 31$  lakhs ( $12 \cdot 6$  per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $34 \cdot 27$  lakhs ( $15 \cdot 5$  per cent. of the provision).

(ii) The saving under the grant occurred mainly under the group heads indicated below :

					Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
Ma	•		ndustries" TRIES.			(ln lakhs of	rupees)
A-1.	Direction	<b>I</b>					
0.	••	••	$5 \cdot 66$	}	3.93	3 · 92	-0.01
R.	••	••	-1·73	j		•	

The total saving of Rs. 1.74 lakhs in the original provision (30.7 per cent.) was stated to be due to a post-budget decision to draw pay and allowances of the staff attached to "Iron and Steel Cell" from Grant No. "27—Industries—Cottage Industries" instead of from this grant.

A-2. Industrial Education-

0	••	ך 5.91			
		}	6·64	5.46	-1.18
R	••	0·73 J			

The final saving of Rs. 1.18 lakhs was due to adjustment of "Grants to Technical and Industrial Schools "under Grant No. 27—Industries—Cottage Industries due to misclassification by the drawing officers and non-finalisation of formalities for grants in a few other cases.

#### Grant No. 26-Industries-Industries-conu.

	Total	Actual	Excess +
	Grant	Expenditure.	Saving —
		(In lakhs	of rupees)
Training Scheme—			
14.57			

 $15 \cdot 26$ 

12.59

A-4. Technical Training Scheme-

O. . . B. . .

The final saving of Rs. 2.67 lakhs was stated to be mainly due to :---

0.69

- (a) less number of trainees,
- (b) non-payment of rent for the office buildings owing to non-receipt of bills,
- (c) non-payment of stipend to the trainees as they could not be put for Inplant Training, and
- (d) some trainees having left the training course without completing it.

#### F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F-1-Third Five-Year Plan-

The total saving of Rs.  $27 \cdot 50$  lakks in the original provision ( $62 \cdot 0$  per cent), was stated to be mainly due to—

- (a) non-purchase of (i) drilling machine and accessories owing to foreign exchange difficulties (Rs. 2.99 lakhs), (ii) laboratory equipment and work-shop machines owing to non-completion of school building within the year (Rs. 1.44 lakhs) and (iii) machinery for various technical colleges due to late receipt of Government sanction (Rs. 4.42 lakhs).
- (b) large number of posts, mostly technical, remaining vacant due mainly to non-availability of suitable candidates (Rs. 2.60 lakhs), and
- (c) less adjustment of State's share of expenditure on Centrally-sponsored Schemes owing discontinuation of the Scheme Accelarated Training Programme' at the instance of the Government of India (Rs. 14.21 lakhs).

The names of the schemes which accounted for the bulk of the saving are given below :

Name of the Scheme.	Provision.	Amount of saving.
	(In lakha	s of rupees)
(1) Re-organisation of the College of Textile Technology, Berhampore.	1.60	1.21
(2) Re-organisation of the College of Textile Technology, Serampore.	1.35	1.14
(3) Re-organisation of the College of Leather Technology, Calcutta.	3.53	3.37
(4) Training in Mining	1.74	3.66
(5) Establishment of the Geological Pros- pecting Branch of the State's Mines and Minerals Directorate.	<b>4</b> · 15	<b>3.7</b> 5
(6) Expansion of Craftsman Training	22.53	13.97

-2.67

In the preceding two years also, the savings under this group head were Rs. 19.33 lakhs (62.9 per cent. of the original provision) and Rs. 16.27 lakhs (84.5 per cent. of the original provision).

	•		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Excess} \ + \\ \mathbf{Saving} \ - \end{array}$
F-5-Centr	ally-sponsore	ed Schemes		(In lakhs	of rupees)
0	••	61.91 ک	$32 \cdot 09$	23 · 89	8.20
<b>R</b>	••	رً 29·82 ∫			

The total saving of Rs.  $38 \cdot 02$  lakhs in original provision (61.4 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (a) discontinuance of the Scheme 'Accelerated Training Programme' by Government of India (Rs.  $29 \cdot 82$  lakhs) and (b) failure of the supplying firms to fulfil contracts for purchase of tools and equipment (Rs.  $7 \cdot 09$  lakhs).

#### Major Head ''96—Capital Outlay on Industrial Development''.

- G. INVESTMENT IN COM-MERCIAL UNDERTAKINGS.
- G-3—Acquisition of premises of Art and United Pottaries at Belghoria for a training-cumproduction centre.—

The non-utilisation of the provision was attributed to withholding the payment of compensation under Court's Order.

..

• •

. .

#### I-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

I(a) Third Five-Year Plan —

I(a)-1—Establishment of an undertaking for a 25,000 spindle Cotton Mill for spinning yarn.—

The non-utilisation of provision was stated to be due to a decision to treat the expenditure on share capital in this case, as 'Loans and Advances' debitable to Grant No. 52.

I(a) 2—Coal Gover	Mining mment.—	by	State		
0			ן 3∙70	0.25	0.25
R	••		-3.45	0.25	0.20

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant	Expenditure	Saving	

..

••

. .

(In lakhs of rupees)

Investment in Government Commercial Undertakings.

I(a)-3—Coal Mining by State Government—

Investment in Share Capital-

0.	••	ן 17·44 (
R.	••	} ر 17∙44_

The non-utilisation of funds under the foregoing two group heads was attributed as non-receipt of approval from the Government of India to open the collieries by the State Government.

. .

In the previous year also the entire provision under the latter group head remained unutilised for the same reason.

Investment in other Commercial Undertakings—

I(a)-4—Manufacture of X'ray machines—Investment in Share Capital of Electro-Medical and Allied Industries Ltd.—

As in the previous year, the entire provision under this group head remained unutilised due to non-payment of share capital to Messrs. Electro-Medical Allied Industries Ltd., as the company did not present the necessary claim for payment.

• •

I(a)-5-Warehousing Corporation-

The scheme envisages establishment of warehouses and cold storages in the State under the auspices of the Corporation and is financed by contribution of the State Government and the Central Warehousing Corporation on 50:50 basis.

It has been explained that due to non-receipt of any contribution from the Central Warehousing Corporation to the State Warehousing Corporation no contribution was made by the State Government ; the entire provision thus remained unutilised.

			Total - Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
	Development eratives.—	of Taxi		(In lakhs o	of rupees)
0 P	••	$3 \cdot 52$ $-2 \cdot 91$	► 0·61	0.61	••
<b>R</b>	•• •	-2.91	)		

The saving of Rs 2.91 lakhs in the original provision (82.7 per cent.) occurred as several societies did not qualify for the share contributions.

I(b)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

 1(b)-1—Development of Consumers' Co-operative Societies—

 0. ...
 31.00

The non-utilisation of the provision under this head was due to a post-budget decision to adjust the share contributions to Consumers' Co-operative against a new Major Head under a separate Grant (Viz., Grant No. 25—Co-operation).

• •

. .

#### Grant No. 27-Industries-Cottage Industries.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Heads ''35—Industries'' and ''96—Capital Outlay on Industrial Development''.	<b>R</b> в.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original 1,80,22,000	ſ		
	} } 1,80.22,000	1,5 <b>3,34</b> ,076	-26,87.924
Supplementary	J		
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	29,12,700
Charged—			
Original	} 100	78	-22
Original Supplementary 100	5	10	22
Amount surrendered during the year.	••		Nil

#### Notes and comments-

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving of Rs.26.88 lakhs formed 14.9 per cent. of the original provision.
 (ii) There were large savings under this grant during the preceding five years also, as indicated below :

					Total provision.	Amount of saving.
					(In lak	hs of rupees)
1958-59	••	••	••	••	1,13.72	37.55
1959-60	••	••	••	••	1, <b>34</b> ·15	28.67
1960-61	••	••	••	••	1,99.05	<b>68 · 80</b>
1961-62	••	••	••	••	<b>2,90·3</b> 8	99 · <b>46</b>
1962-63	••		••	••	<b>2,36</b> ·95	88·51

The saving in all these years as well as in 1963-64 were attributed mainly to non-implementation of a number of development schemes.

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

Major Head "35-Industries"

**D**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

1-D-1-Third Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	ך <del>4</del> 6⋅60			
		}	$32 \cdot 00$	$27 \cdot 91$	-4.09
R.	••	—14·60 J			

The total saving of Rs. 18.69 lakhs in the original provision (40.1 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to:

- (a) non-receipt of approval from All India Handloom Board for payment of rebate on sales of cloth (Rs. 1.40 lakhs);
- (b) economy in the expenditure on schemes (Rs.  $2 \cdot 47$  lakhs);
- (c) abandonment of the scheme for "Training-cum-production Centre for Powerloom at Howrah" (Rs. 1.32 lakhs);
- (d) non-receipt of Government sanction for implementation of a number of schemes (Rs. 4.49 lakhs);
- (e) non-purchase of machinery owing to non-issue of import licence by Government of India (Rs. 3.07 lakhs);

- (f) non-implementation of the following schemes pending finalisation of technical details (Rs. 2.32 lakhs)—
  - (1) Subsidy for Power Consumption for Small Industries,
  - (2) Expansion of Peddic Silk Reeling Institute (Malda),
  - (3) Scheme for stabilisation of Raw Silk; and
- (g) partial implementation of the schemes "Subsidy for implementation of the scheme for concessional rate of interest" and "Financial assistance for establishment of godowns and sales depot" owing to less demands and the schemes for "Development of Coir Industries" and "Development of Lac Industry" as the schemes were still under consideration of Government (Rs. 1.41 lakhs).

In the preceding two years also the savings under this group head were Rs.  $50 \cdot 62$ lakhs ( $62 \cdot 6$  per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $73 \cdot 58$  lakhs ( $72 \cdot 7$  per cent. of the provision).

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
				(In lakhs	of rupees)
2-D-4-Cent Schemes-		pred			
0	••	ړ 15∙29 <u>(</u>	6.36	5.67	-0.69
R.	••	<u>–8∙93</u> ∫	0.90	5.01	-0.08

The total saving of Rs. 9.62 lakhs in the original provision (62.9 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to partial implementation of the new scheme for 'Project for intensive development of Small Industries in rural areas' owing to delay in completion of preliminaries such as recruitment of staff, acquiring of accommodations and final decision on the pattern of the individual schemes.

#### Major Head "96—Capital Outlay on Industrial Development".

#### E-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.-

E(a)-Third Five-Year Plan

3-E(a)-3-Establishment of a 25,000 spindle cotton mill on co-operative basis for Spinning Yarn.--

0	••	<u>4</u> ∙00 ך			
		› ··			
<b>R.</b>	••	— <b>4</b> ·00 J			

The non-utilisation of the entire provision was explained as due to delay in selection of site.

. .

. .

During the last year also, the entire provision (Rs. 90.00 lakhs) under this group head remained unutilised.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
				In lakhs	of rapees)
4-E(a)-4-Ea new Estat		nt of two			
0	••	ר <del>4</del> ∙55 נ			
R	٠.	4·55	••	••	••
5-E(a)-5Es Estate for	tablishmen hides and				
0	••	ן 3⋅95			
R.	••	3·95 } } —3·95 ∫	••	••	••

The savings under the above two heads were attributed to non-implementation of the schemes owing to non-receipt of Government sanction.

The schemes have remained unimplemented since 1961-62; the provision made in all these years remained unutilised.

6-E(a)-10-Investment in the share Capital of the West Bengal Small Industries Corporation-

5.00 } } −2.00 } 0. .. 3.00 **3**∙00 .. R. • •

The saving of Rs. 2.00 lakhs in the provision (40 per cent.) was stated to be due to curtailment of the Plan budget necessitated by the resources position of the State.

7-E(a)-11-Assistance for strengthening the Share Capital base of Industrial Co-operative-·· 2·00 } } ·· −1·58 J 0. . . 0.42-0.020.40 R. ...

The total saving of Rs. 1.60 lakhs in the original provision (80 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-availability of adequate number of cases eligible for the assistance.

#### Grant No. 27-Industries-Cottage Industries-concld.

(iv) The reduction of provision by reappropriation as late as on the 31st March, 1964, in the following group head did not prove justified ;

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head ''35- A-COTTAGE IN					(In lakhs o	f rupees).
A-3—Development Industries—	of	Cottage				
0	•	<b>20·77</b>	) J	19• <b>2</b> 7	22.09	+2.82
R		-1.50	j			1

The reduction of Rs. 1.50 lakhs in the provision was explained as due to winding up of the Sales Emporia at Chitta Ranjan Avenue; the reasons for the final excess have not been furnished by the controlling officer.

(v) In the following group head the additional funds provided by reappropriation on the 31st March, 1964, proved largely inadequate and the expenditure exceeded the provision.

#### **D**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

D-3-Second Five-Year Plan-

0	••	ך 58.09			
		}	67·96	73 · 46	+5.20
R	••	9·87 J		_	

The reasons for the final excess of Rs.  $5 \cdot 50$  lakes were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(vi) Subsidies.—The expenditure in the grant includes a sum of Rs. 0.67 lakh paid as managerial subsidies to Co-operative Societies in connection with the schemes for various Industrial Co-operative Societies, Development of Handicrafts Cooperatives and Running of Coir Co-operatives.

#### Grant No. 28—Industries—Cinchona—(All Voted)

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major_Head '	435—Ind	ustries"			
Original	••	Rs. 28,85,000	) } 29,31,000 J	28,56,60 <b>2</b>	
Supplementary	••	46,000	J		
Amount surrende	red durir	ng the year			Nil.

Major Heads "37—Community De- velopment Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works", "16—In- terest on Debt and other Obliga- tions", "109—Capital Outlay on Other Works", "Debt raised in India" and "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."		al Grant or ropriation. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. Rs.	Excess + Saving — Rs.
Voted—				
Rs. Original 2,94,19,000	۲			
Original         2,94,19,000           Supplementary         93,23,400	; { ر	3,87,42,400	3,65,08,729	—22,3 <b>3,</b> 671
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).		••	••	22,03,400
Charged				
<b>Original</b> 49,65,000	j	10 65 000		1 10 20 000
Original 49,65,000 Supplementary	۲ ر	49,00,000	07,03,090	+17,38,090
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).		••	••	87,800

#### **Notes and Comments :**

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) In view of the saving of Rs.  $22 \cdot 34$  lakhs in the grant, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $93 \cdot 23$  lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved excessive.

(ii) In the following group heads the provision remained unutilised to the extent indicated below:

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
velopm Extens	d ''37—Com ent Projects, ion Service a elopment Wo	National nd Local		(In lakhs	of rupees)
I-Commu	nity Develop	ment Projects.			
B-PROJE	CT/BLOCK TERS—	HEADQUAR-			
0	••	68∙ <b>45</b>	53.6	6 <b>53</b> ·27	0· <b>3</b> 9
R	••	<u> </u>	00.0	0 00.21	0.39

The total saving of Rs. 15.18 lakhs in the original provision (22.2 per cent.) was due to non-utilisation of provision for additional staff owing to non-promotion f a large number of "Pre-extension Blocks" to "Stage I Blocks". Grant No. 29—Gommunity Development Projects—contd.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under this group head were Rs. 11.70 lakhs (17.5 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 15.50 lakhs (26.3 per cent. of the provision).

-	·		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
III—Local Outsic	l Developmen de the State I	nt Works Plan.		(In lakhs o	of rup <del>cos</del> )
M-CENT	RALLY-SPO SCHEMES				
0	••	ך 30⋅00	<b>2</b> 0.00	19.58	0.42
R.	••	_10·00 ∫	20.00	19.98	

The total saving of Rs. 10.42 lakhs in the original provision (34.7 per cent. was due mainly to partial execution of the following schemes for want of the requisite local contribution.

#### Name of the Schemes.

- 1. Water Supply.
- 2. Road and Building including small Bridges and Culverts.
- 3. Rural Sanitation.
- 4. Improvement of Agriculture.

In the preceding two years also, the savings were Rs. 17.46 lakhs (58.2 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 17.69 lakhs (59.0 per cent. of the provision) under the same schemes for the same reasons.

(iii) In the following group heads, the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved unnecessary/excessive:

#### Major Head "37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works."

I-Community Development Projects.

(a) C.—AN AND A( SION—	NIMAL HU GRICULTUR	SBANDRY E EXTEN.			
0.	••	ר 24.70			
S.	••	3.58 }	<b>26 · 12</b>	22 . 40	-3.72
R.	••	-2·16			

The total saving amounted to Rs. 5.88 lakhs. This was due mainly to (i) nonutilisation of the provision under the scheme for 'Miscellaneous Agricultural Schemes' in full owing to delay in receipt of sanction and owing to engagement of Block Staff in Panchayat Election (Rs. 4.57 lakhs) and (ii) failure by the supplying firms to present their bills in time (Rs. 1.65 lakhs).

Grant No.	29—Community	Development	Projects-contd.
-----------	--------------	-------------	-----------------

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
(b) H—RU AND II	JRAL ARTS	5, CRAFTS 		(In lakhs o	of rupees.)
0.	••	<b>2.00</b>	j		
<b>S</b> .	••	14.17	 } 15·73	11.05	<b>4</b> · 68
R.	••	-0.44	)		

The total saving of Rs.  $5 \cdot 12$  lakks formed  $36 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the supplementary grant. This was attributed to non-starting of some training centres under the scheme for "Training-cum-Production Centre" owing to non-completion of preliminaries.

	al Extension the State Pla				
TURE TAINE	D ON NSION SER	EXPENDI- ONNEL RE- NATIONAL VICE PAT-			
0.	••	ן 1,10∙68 <u>1</u>			
8.	••	8.48 }	1,16.76	1,14 · 15	-2.61
R.	••	-2·40			

The reasons for the total saving of Rs.  $5 \cdot 01$  lakks forming  $59 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the supplementary grant were not furnished by the controlling officer.

#### Major Head "109—Capital Outlay on Other Works."

Development Schemes—Third Five-Year Plan.

O-COMMUNITY DEVELOP-MENT PROJECTS

(d) 0.1-Irrigation-

0.	••	ר 6∙70			
<b>S</b> .	••	8·70 } 15·4	5	13.44	-2.01
R.	••	0·05 J			

The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 1.96 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling officer.

Grant No. 29-Community Development Projects-contd.

(iv) In the following group heads, the excesses remained uncovered and the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved largely inadequate; the reasons for the final excess under each group head were not furnished by the controlling officers :---

Total Grant.	Actual	Excess +		
	Expenditure.	Saving —		

(In lakhs of rupees.)

#### Major Head "37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works."

I-Community Development Projects.

(a) D—HEA SANITA	ALTH ANI TION—	D RURAL			
0.	••	ך <b>10·3</b> 0			
S.	••	5.00 ≻	14.79	<b>23</b> .06	+8.27
R.	••	0·51			

#### Major Head "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."

Loans Under Development Schemes.

- Q-LOANS AND ADVANCES FROM THE UNION GOVERNMENT-LOANS AND ADVANCES UN-DER COMMUNITY DEVELOP-MENT PROJECTS.
  - (b) Q-1—Reclamation of Waste Lands—
    - 0. ..  $6 \cdot 00$ 8. ..  $4 \cdot 00$   $3 \cdot 57$   $10 \cdot 84$   $+1 \cdot 27$ R. ..  $-0 \cdot 43$  3
  - (c) Q-3—Productive Scheme for Promotion of Agriculture— 0. .. 3.00 } S. .. 4.22 ↓ 7.22 8.24 +1.02

#### Grant No. 29—Community Development Projects—contd.

 $(\mathbf{v})$  A case where additional provision of funds made by re-appropriation on the **31st** March, 1964, proved entirely unnecessary, is indicated below:

Total Grant.	Actual	Excess	+
	Expenditure.	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupees.)

Major Head "37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development [] Works".

I-Community Development Projects.

E-EDUCATION-

0.	••	ר 0.20			
<b>S.</b>	••	5·50 <b>}</b>	8.00	<b>5</b> · 99	$-2 \cdot 01$
R.	••	2·00 J			

The reasons for the final saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(vi) Suspense.—The group head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction of roads, buildings and other works relating to the Community Development Projects. The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at pages 104-105 in Note (vii) below Grant No. 33—Irrigation.

The transactions under each unit of Suspense are exhibited below :

Major Head and Detailed units.	Opening balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6
			(In lak)	hs of rupee	s.)
<b>37</b> —Community Develop- ment Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works—					
Purchase	-36·03	1.21	$5 \cdot 62$	-4·41	-40·44
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	2.08			••	2.08
Total	- <b>33</b> ·95	1.21	5.62	-4· <b>4</b> ]	

#### Grant No. 29—Community Development Projects—conold.

#### CHARGED APPROPRIATION

The excess of Rs. 17,38,096 in the charged appropriation requires to be regularised. This occurred mainly under the sub-head indicated below :---

			Total Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major He	ad ''Debt raised i	in India."		(In lakhs of	rupees.)
	ANS FROM CE GOVERNMEN				
	r Community rojects—	Develop-			
0.	••	<b>34</b> ·77	)	E0 87	110 90
R.	••	-0· <b>3</b> 6	34·41 J	52.67	+18.26

The excess represents repayment to the Government of India of the overpayment of loans made to the State Government as central assistance for State Plan Schemes during 1961-62.

#### Grant No. 30-Labour and Employment

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head ''38—Labour and Employment.''	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 37,77,000	) } 1,41,03,200	1, <b>43,77,1</b> 80	+2,73,980
Supplementary 1,03,26,200		1, <b>10</b> ,77,100	72,10,300
Amount surrendered during the the year (March, 1964.)	••	••	42,000
Charged—			
Original	)		600
Original Supplementary 600	} 600 J	••	000
Amount surrendered during the year.		••	Nil.

#### Notes and Comments----

#### Voted Grant.

(i) This grant includes expenditure on "Employees' State Insurance Scheme"; such expenditure was originally provided for under Grant No. '29—Medical'. Consequent on a post-budget change in classification, a supplementary grant was obtained under this grant.

(ii) The grant was exceeded by Rs. 2,73,980; the excess requires regularisation.

This was the net result of excesses totalling Rs.  $24 \cdot 20$  lakhs over provision of Rs.  $51 \cdot 91$  lakhs made under 12 sub-heads and savings amounting to Rs.  $21 \cdot 04$  lakhs under several other sub-heads and surrender of Rs.  $0 \cdot 42$  lakh.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the following sub-head; the reasons for which were not furnished by the controlling officer.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
AND LA	E SCHEM	STATE IN IE—LABOUR WELFARE-— T SCHEME—	-	(In lakhs of	rupees.)
Contingencie	8				
0.	••	• •	]		1 00 60
R.	••	51·08	} 51∙08 J	3 74.74	+23.66

The expenditure was estimated to be Rs. 61.73 lakhs in the revised estimates prepared in February, 1964. Had this been properly considered at the time of preparation of the supplementary estimate, the excess could have been appreciably reduced and the excess over the grant as a whole (Rs. 2.74 lakhs) could have been avoided altogether.

(b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads :

A-LABOUR.

A.(a)—Labour Commissioner—

Contingencies--

0.	••	0.49	j	0 57	0.60	10.09
R.	••	0.08	} j	0.57	0.60	+0.03
A.(c)—Main Welfare C		f Labour				
Allowance	s, honoraria	, etc.—				
0.	••	0.31	j		2.01	<b>A 1</b>
R.	••	-0.01	r J	<b>D·3</b> 0	0.31	+0.0

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —	
Contingend	bies			(In lakhs of	rupees.)	
0.		ן 0⋅67				
R.	·	∖ ر 0.07	0·74	0.96	+0.22	
		10				
	SCELLANEOU Tration of the Act					
Pay of Off	icers					
0.	••	ן 1•30		1 10		
R.	••	{ ر 0·18 ز	1.12	1.13	+0.01	
	ETTLEMENT MPLOYMENI					
Pay of Estab	olishment—					
0.	֥	ړ 3⋅60	<b>3</b> ∙66	3.68	+0.02	
R.	•••	0·06 Ĵ	<b>9</b> ,00	9.00	70.02	
E-DEVEL	OPMENT SCH	IEMES.				
(a) Third Fi and Labor	ve-Year Plan– ır Welfare—	-Labour				
	bour Welfare iday Home—	Centre				
0.	••	ר 0.50	0.00	0.00	10.00	
R.	••	0·32 j	0.82	0.88	+0.06-	
	YEES' STAT					
(a) Labour and Labour Welfare Medical Benefit Scheme						
Pay of Es	tablishment—					
0.	••	į	0.38	0.42	+0.04	
<b>S.</b>	••	0·38 j	0.90	V-22	4. A <b>B</b>	

					•••
			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
				(In lakhs o	f rupees.)
Contract C	ontingencie	8		· ·	
0.	••	]			
8.	••	 0·10 —0·01	} 0.09	0·12	+0.03
R.	••	-0.01	}		
(b) Supervise Hospital persons—	ory Organ Planning	isation for for insured			
Pay of Off	ioers—				
0.	••	·· 0·03	0.03	0.10	+0.07
8.	••	0.03	5 0.03	0.10	
Pay of Est	ablishment	<b>;</b>			
0.	••	•• ••10	} 0.10	0·1 <b>3</b>	+0.03
8.	••	0.10	5 0.10	0.10	-0.0 <b>9</b>
Allowance	s, honorari				
0.	••	 0·02	ך }0∙02	0·0 <del>4</del>	+0.02
8.	••	0.02	j 0.02	0.03	

Grant No. 30-Labour and Employment-concld.

(iii) In the following group head, the supplementary provision obtained on the **30th March**, 1964, proved largely excessive :---

#### **E**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.

(a) Third Five-Year Plan-

(i) Labour and Labour Welfare-

0.	• •	ך 5 <b>·3</b> 9			
8.	••	<b>49</b> ∙29 }	55·56	<b>35 · 93</b>	-19·6 <b>3</b>
R.	••	0.88			

The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 18.75 lakhs forming 38 per cent. of the supplementary provision were not furnished by the controlling officer.

**5**The saving occurred mainly under the scheme 'Extension of Medical Benefit to the Families of Insured Persons of Calcutta and Howrah', for which the entire supplementary provision was obtained.

## 90 Grant No. 31—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes (All Voted).

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Major Head ''39—N Social and Developm nisations.''	liscellaneous ental Orga-				
magrons.	Rs.				
Original	9 <b>3,25,</b> 000	} ],05,19,000	1.05 54.003		
Supplementary	11,94,000	۶ 1,00,19,000 ا	1,05,74,381	+55 <b>,3</b> 81	
Amount surrendered year.	during the	••	••	Nil	

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) The grant was exceeded by Rs. 55,381; the excess requires regularisation. The excess was the net result of excesses totalling Rs. 1.62 lakhs under the following 19 sub-heads and savings totalling Rs. 1.07 lakhs under 9 other sub-heads:

A. WELFARE OF SCHEDULED TRIBES AND CASTES AND OTHER BACKWARD CLASSES		(In lakhs of rupe	es).		
A(a)-Headquarter Establishment-	-				
A-(a)-1—Pay of Officers	0.16	0.17	+0.01		
A-(a)-3—Allowances, honoraria, etc.—					
0 0.10 R 0.02		0.13	+0.01		
A(b)—District Establishment—					
A(b)-1-Pay of Officers-					
0 $0.30$ $R$ $$ $-0.06$	} 0.24	0.27	÷0·03		
A(b)-3—Allowances, honoraria, etc.	0.55	0.56	+0·01		

# Grant No. 31—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and Other Backward Classes—contd. 91 Total Grant. Actual Excess + Expenditure. Saving (In lakhs of rupees)

**B**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.

B(ii)-4-Co-operation

B(i)—Third Fiv	ve-Year	Plan			
B(i)(a)—Welfar Tribes.	e of	Scheduled			
B(i)(a)-2-Econ	omic Uj	plift.—			
0	••	3·40 (	1.85	1.86	10.01
R	••	_1.55 ک	1 00	1.00	+0.01
B(i)(a)-3—Heal other Scheme		using and			
0	••	ړ 2۰10	1.50	1.61	
R	••	—0·60 ∫	1.90	1.61	+0.11
B(i)(b)Welfar	re of Sch	eduled Castes—			
B(i)(b)-1-Educ	cation—				
0	••	4·40 ] ↓			
S	••	5·15 }	10.90	11.07	+0.17
R	••	1·35 ່j			
B(i)(b)-2-Ecor	nomie U	plift	1.10	1.12	+0.02
B(i)(b)-3Heal Schemes	th, Hou	sing and other			
0	••	1·00 J	0.98	1.18	10 <b>0</b> 5
R.	••	-0·07 j	0.99	1.18	+0.25
B(ii)—First Fiv	7e-Year	Plan			
B(ii)-2—Public	Health				
0	••	0·48 ገ ኑ	0.36	0.39	+0.03
R	••	ر 0·12 ر			

0.09

..

0.10

+0.01

### 92 Grant No. 31—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and Other Backward Glasses—contd.

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
B(iii)—Second Five-Year Plan—Wel- fare of Backward classes, Sche- duled Tribes and Development of Scheduled areas—		(In lakhs of	гиреев)
B[iii)(a)—Scheduled Tribes—Economic Uplift—			
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1.60	1.86	+0.26
B(iii)(b)—Scheduled Castes—			
B(iii)(b)-1—Education	0.01	0.02	+0.01
B(iv)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes (Committed Expenditure)—Wel- fare of Backward Classes—			
B(iv)(a)-Scheduled Tribes			
B(iv)(a)-1—Economic Uplift—			
0 1·56 j	1.07	1.07	
R $-0.31$	1.25	1 · 37	+0.12
B(iv)(a)-2—Health, Housing and other Schemes—			
0 0·45 ) }	0· <b>44</b>	0.47	+0.03
$R0.01 \int$			1
B(iv)(b)-Scheduled Castes-			
B(iv)(b)-1—Economic Uplift	1.47	1.53	+0.06
B(v)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes			
B(v)(a)—Scheduled Tribes—			
B(v)(a)3—Health, Housing and other Schemes—			
0 2.40 ס	0 70	0.00	10.00
R $-1.70$	0.70	0.92	+0.22
B(v)(b)—Scheduled Castes—			
B(v)(b)-1-Education-			-
0 33·00 L	40.00	40.47	
Fv 7.00	<b>40</b> ∙00	<b>40</b> •07	$\div 0.07$

#### Grant No. 31—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Welfare 93 of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and Other Backward Classes—concld.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
B(v)(b)2H other Schem		Housing and		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
0.	••	ך <b>7</b> .00	<b>4</b> • 10	<b>4</b> · <b>2</b> 9	+0.19
R.		_2·90 ∫		1 40	F0 10

(ii) In the following group head, the provision remained unutilised to a substantial extent :---

#### **B**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

B(e)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

B(e)-1-Scheduled Tribes-

0.		ן 13⋅07			
-		Š	10.11	10·14	+0.03
R.	••	—2·96 j			•

The net saving of Rs 2.93 lakhs in the original provision (22.4 per cent.) was attributed to

- (a) slow progress of work on the schemes "Institute for Research, Planning, Evaluation and Cultural Development" and "Social Workers' Training Institute" owing to non-finalisation of certain proposals (Rs. 1.70 lakhs), and
- (b) curtailment of provision for 'economic uplift' of the scheduled tribes by the Government of Indua (Rs. 1.20 lakhs).

# Grant No. 32—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Excluding welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes (All Voted).

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —	
Major Head "39—Misce Social and Developmen		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
ganisations".	Rs.				
Original 1,3	<u>ح 3,21,000</u>	1 99 00 000	1 97 81 505	11.05 405	
Supplementary	5,78,000 J	1,38,99,000	1,27,61,505		
Amount surrendered du year (March, 1964).	ring the			3,62,826	
7					

# 94 Grant No. 32—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations—Excluding welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes—concld.

#### Notes and Comments—

(i) In view of the saving of Rs. 11.37 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 5.78 lakhs taken on the 30th March, 1964, proved unjustified.

(ii) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
				(In lakhs of rupees.)		
1.	D-SUSPENSE	••	8.05	••	-8.05	

The reasons for the saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

- 2. F(a)-1—Publicity for Third Five-Year Plan—

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. 3.61 lakhs in the original provision (60.8 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

- 3. F(c)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—Adoption of Metric System of Weights and Measures—

The total saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 10$  lakes in the original provision ( $22 \cdot 6$  per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to :

- (a) non-replacement of existing weights and measures by metric system of weights and measures owing to non-finalisation of proposals, inability of the tenderer to supply materials, non-receipt of specific proposal for items of expenditure from some departments, and non-availability of stores (Rs. 1.74 lakhs),
- (b) non-receipt of departmental bills for supply of 'measures' from the Mint (Rs. 0.48 lakh), and
- (c) unfilled vacancies (Rs. 0.31 lakh).

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Jajor Heads "42—Multipurpose River Schemes", "43—Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Commercial)", "44—Irrigation, Navigation, Em- bankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial)", "98—Capital outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes", "99—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, Navigation, Embank- ment and Drainage Works (Commercial)" and "100—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial)."	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original         7,87,14,000           Supplementary         1,12,54,000	8 99 68 000	9 42 33 344	+42,65,344
Supplementary 1,12,54,000	5 0,00,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<b>⊤-</b> ±4,00, <b>0</b> ₩

Amount surrendered during the • • .. year (March, 1964).

#### Notes and comments----

Major

(i) The excess of Rs. 42,65,344 over the grant requires to be regularised.

In view of the excess, the supplementary grant of Rs. 1,12.54 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved inadequate.

The surrender of Rs. 12.82 lakhs in the grant did not also prove justified.

(ii) The excess was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 1,33.67 lakhs under 12 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by savings amounting to Rs. 78 20 lakhs under other sub-heads and surrender of Rs. 12.82 lakhs.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the following sub-heads; the reasons for the excesses were not furnished.

(In lakhs of rupees.)

Major Head "44—Irr gation, Embankme age Works (Comm	nt-and Drain-		••	
B—NAVIGATION, MENT AND WORKS—	EMBANK- DRAINAGE			
(i) Works—				
(g) Suspense—				
R. 5.66	<b></b>	5 ·66	<b>44</b> ·66	+- <b>3</b> 9 ·00

12,81,781

	Total Grant. F	Actual Expenditure.	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
Major Head "98—Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes".		(In lakhs of	rupees)
2. Kangsabati Reservoir Project			
(d) Suspense—			
0 2.62	<b>A</b> 00		
$\left.\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{O}. & . & 2 \cdot 62 \\ \mathbf{R}. & . & 4 \cdot 26 \end{array}\right\}$	6 •88	87 ·12	+80.24
(b) The other sub-heads also under below :	which excess	ses occurred	are indicated
Major Head ''42—Multipurpose River Schemes.''			
B-INTEREST.			
1. Mayurakshi Reservoir Pro- ject—			
0 66.98	66 ·39	70 • 16	
R $-0.59 \int$	00.39	10.10	+3.77
2. Kangsabati Reservoir Pro- ject.—			
$0. \qquad \dots \qquad 42 \cdot 26 \ \Big]$	<b>4</b> 3 ·39	44 ·78	11.00
R $1.13 \int$	40 07	44.10	+1.39
C—OTHER REVENUE EX- PENDITURE.			
Mayurakshi Reservoir Project.			
2. Establishment—			
(ii) General Establishment—			
0 8.40			
<b>R.</b> $-1.07$	7 •33	8 •73	+1 ·40
C. OTHER REVENUE EXPENDITURE.			
Mayurakshi Reservoir Project—			
6. Suspense	0.10 .	0.22	+0.12

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
			(In lakhs of	rupees.)
Major Head ''43—I gation, Embankn age Works (Com	nent and Drain-			
A—IRRIGATIO	ON WORKS.			
(b) Unproductive V	Vorks—			
(i) Working Expense	3 <del>0</del> 8			
Revenue Establis	hment			
0	{ 4.75 }	4 71	4 50	10.05
R	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 4.75\\ -0.04\end{array}\right\}$	4 •71	<b>4</b> ·76	+0.02
(ii) Interest—				
0	ړ 10 ∙05	10 ·99	11 60	10.01
R	$\left.\begin{array}{c}10.05\\0.94\end{array}\right\}$	10.99	11 -60	+0.61
B—NAVIGATION MENT AND WORKS—	, EMBANK- DRAINAGE			
(b) Unproductive V	Vorks			
(ii) Interest—				
0	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 14 \cdot 49 \\ -1 \cdot 36 \end{array}\right\}$	10 10	19 75	10.69
<b>R</b>	-1.36	<b>13 ·13</b>	13 .75	+0.62
Major Head ''98—C Multipurpose Riv				
A. DEVELOPMEN THIRD FIVE-Y				
1. Mayurakshi Res	ærvoir Project.			
(a) Dam and R Mayurakshi Da				
(ii) Suspense.—	-			
0	10.00		0.98	<b>39-0</b>

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
(b) B	arrage and	l Irrigation.			(In lakhs	s of rupees.)
(i <b>v</b> ) 8	Suspense					
	R.	••	0 .69	0.69	5 • 41	+4.72
2.	Kangsabat	ti Reservoir I	Project.			
(b)	Establish	ment				
	0.	••	ן 14 -91	19 00	14 77	
	R.	••	<u>−1 ·03</u>	13.88	14 .77	+0.89

(iii) The provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent in the following group heads :

#### Major Head "44—Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial)."

A-IRRIGATION.

(ii) Miscellaneous Expenditure.---

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0. & . & 53 \cdot 57 \\ R. & . & -18 \cdot 54 \end{array} \right\} \quad 35 \cdot 03 \quad 34 \cdot 87 \quad -0 \cdot 16 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 18.70 lakhs in the original provision (34.9 per cent.) was attributed mainly to excess provision of funds (Rs. 40.00 lakhs) for payment of charges on bulk purchase of water from the Damodar Valley Corporation as against the sum of Rs. 20.00 lakhs provisionally decided for payment by the State Government during the year.

A(iii) Development Schemes-

(a) Third Five-Year Plan-

<b>O</b> .	••	ך 9.72			
			4 • 03	2.46	-1·57
R.	••	<b>−5 ·69</b> ∫			

The total saving of Rs 7.26 lakhs in the original provision (74.7 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to non-execution/partial execution of certain schemes owing to :

- (a) non-finalisation of tenders (Rs. 2.29 lakhs), and
- (b) non-receipt of administrative approval and Government sanction (Rs. 2.71 lakhs).

The names of the schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below :

		Provision.	Saving.
		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
1.	Minor Irrigation Schemes	3 .03	2.51
2.	Survey and investigation for preparation of Irrigation schemes.	1 .00	0.88
<b>3</b> .	Residential quarters for Engineers and staff in Sundarbans.	2.00	2.00

In the last year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 12.79 lakks (75.2 per cent. of the provision).

	Total	Grant	Grant Actua Expendi			
B-NAVIGATION, EMBAN MENT AND DRAINAG WORKS-			(In	lakhs	of	rupees)
(i) Works—						
(a) New Works and Works Progress—	in					
0 3	·57 ]				•	0 50
R2	·26 }	1 •31		<b>0 ·7</b> ∶	Z	-0·59

The total saving of Rs. 2.85 lakhs in the original provision (79.8 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to

- (a) partial utilisation of the provision for the scheme and "Construction of restshed at Sundarban" owing to non-receipt of sanction (Rs. 1.55 lakhs) and
- (b) holding up of the scheme "Reconstruction of bridge over the Usti Nainan Khal at Usti" for want of steel materials (Rs. 0.51 lakh).

#### (iii) Development Schemes-

(a) Third Five-Year Plan.---

The total saving of Rs. 8.09 lakes in the original provision (42.9 per cent.) was due to the following reasons :

- (i) partial execution of a number of schemes owing to-
  - (a) non-receipt of Government sanction (Rs. 1.10 lakhs);
  - (b) non-receipt of administrative approval (Rs. 1.00 lakh);
  - (c) non-acceptance of tender (Rs. 0.90 lakh) and
  - (d) non-preparation of designs and revision of design (Rs. 3.70 lakhs); and
- (ii) Non-payment of land charges (Rs. 1.58 lakhs).

The names of the schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below: . .

1. Minor Irrigation—	Provision	Saving
1. million million and	(In lakhs	of rupees)
Minor Drainage Schemes	10 .74	4·86 ·
2. Soil Conservation Scheme in Keleghai and other areas.	2 •72	1 .86
3. Investigation and model experiment for pre- paration of scheme improving navigation in the Rupnarayan.	2 .50	1 •02
4. Improvement of navigation in Hizli Tidal Canal in Midnapur District	1.00	1.00

During the last year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 16 .65 lakhs (51.2 per cent. of the provision).

.

-0.21

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Ma	ijor Head ' Multipurpos	'98—Cap Se River	ital Outlay on Schemes''		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
<b>A</b> -	-DEVELOI	PMENT	SCHEMES			
Tł	HIRD FIVE	C-YEAR	PLAN			
2.	Kangsabat	ti Reserv	oir Project—			
	(c) Tools	and Pla	nt			
	0.	••	[ 13 ·0 <b>4</b>	1 50	1 50	
	R.	••	—11 ·25 J	• 1.79	1.58	-0·21

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. [1.46 lakhs in the original provision (87.9 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

Major Head "99—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, Navigation, Em/ bankment and Drainage Works (Commercial)".

#### A-IRRIGATION WORKS-

**Development Schemes—Third Five-**Year Plan-Irrigation and Power-Irrigation- $\left.\begin{array}{c}12\cdot30\\-1\cdot85\end{array}\right\}$ **O**. .. 10 •45 10.24 R. • •

The total saving of Rs. 2.06 lakhs in the original provision (16.7 per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the scheme 'Sharajore Irrigation Scheme' owing to non-receipt of blasting licence (Rs. 1.55 lakhs).

Total Grant

Actual Excess + Expenditure Saving ---

(In lakhs of rupees.)

• •

#### **B**—**NAVIGATION**. EMBANK-MENT AND DRAINAGE WORKS-

Development Schemes-Third Five-Year Plan-

2. Agricultural Programmes-

Agricultural Production—Land Development-

Northen Salt Lake Polder Scheme-

 $\left. \begin{array}{c} 10.00 \\ -10.00 \end{array} \right\}$ 0. . . R. . .

The non-utilisation of the provision was ascribed to non-receipt of administrative approval for the work.

• •

## Major Head "100-Capital Outlay on Irrigation, Navigation, Em-bankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial)".

**B**-NAVIGATION, EMBANK-MENT AND DRAINAGE WORKS

Development Schemes-Third Five-Year Plan-

Flood Control Schemes-

0.	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 52 \cdot 37 \\ -8 \cdot 78 \end{array} \right\}$	43 -59	<b>4</b> 2 ·25	- <u>1</u> ·34
R.	••	-8.78 ∫	40,02	24 20	-1-04

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. 10.12 lakes in the original provision (19.3) per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(iv) The additional funds provided by reappropriation on the 30th March, 1964, proved excessive/unnecessary under the following group heads ; the reasons for the savings were not furnished by the controlling officer :

	"44—Irriga nbankment : (Non-Comm	and Drain-			
B-NAVIO	ATION, H DRAINAG	EMBANK- E WORKS			
(Survey	laneous E works and I ramme)s—				
0.	••	2 .38	3.66	2.47	-1.19
R.	••	1 ⋅28 ∫	0.00	a	

Total Grant Actual Excess + Expenditure Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees.)

#### Major Head "98—-Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes"

#### A—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES— THIRD FIVE-YEAR PLAN

1. Mayurakshi Reservoir Project (a) Works-

(a) Dam and Reservoir under Mayurakshi Dam Circle—

0.	••	0.30			
<b>S</b> .	••	1,12.54	1,38 .66	1,22 .39	-16.27
R.	••	25 .82			

- 2. Kangsabati Reservoir Project-
  - (a) Works-

0.	••	1,69.62	1,90 ·77	1,50 .13	- <b>4</b> 0 • <b>64</b>
R.	••	21 •15 ∫	1,90 . 11	1,00 13	

(v) Pro-rata distribution.—In an Irrigation Division, works of different classes chargeable to Capital and Revenue and other heads of account are executed. The same establishment of the Division supervises the construction and maintenance of all such works. It is not possible to calculate with any degree of accuracy the time spent by such establishment for the supervision of each class of works and apportion their pay, leave salary, etc., amongst the different heads of account according to the time spent. To arrive at the best approximation the general principles for regulating establishment charges are—

- (a) that the entire charges of a division are, in the first instance, booked under a single major head of account, and
- (b) that before closing the accounts of the year, the Audit Office apportions the net charges after deducting therefrom the percentage recoveries made for works done for other Governments, Departments, Local bodies, etc., in each branch of the Irrigation and Waterways Department amongst the major heads to which the cost of the works is chargeable in proportion to the works outlay, excluding outlay on works executed by the special establishments.

Similarly, Tools and Plant are purchased for the common use of all works and their cost is initially brought to account under one major head of account and then distributed *mutatis mutandis* like the establishment charges at the close of each year. This allocation is technically termed as 'pro rata distribution'. No provision for these charges is, however, made in the budget separately under the different major heads as the expenditure varies in proportion to the works outlay under the system of pro rata distribution and consequently no effective control is possible.

The gross charges on account of both "Establishment" and "Tools and Plant" of the Irrigation and Waterways Department are initially booked under the Major Head "44—Irrigation, Navigation Embankment and Drainage Works (Non-Commercial".

The following is the pro rata distribution of charges for the year 1963-64.

Major Head	Establishment charges	Tools and Plant
	(In lakhs	of rupees.)
43—Irrigation, etc. (Commercial)	5.87	0 • 70
44—Irrigation, etc. (non-commercial)	19.10	2.90
99—Capital Outlay on Irrigation etc. (Commercial)	<b>4</b> ·65	0 •67
100—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, etc. (Non-Com- mercial).	9.00	1 •17
42-Multipurpose River Schemes	0 • <b>4</b> 5	•••
98—Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes	0.44	
Total	39.51	5 • <del>44</del>

(vi) Review of the Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Irrigation and Waterways Department.—The gross charges on account of establishment and Tools and Plant of the Department of Irrigation and Waterways during the year 1963-64, excluding those incurred on special establishment entertained for River Research (the Mayurakshi Reservoir Project and Kangsabati Reservoir Project) as well for collection of Revenue, amounted to Rs. 52.04 lakhs and Rs. 5.39 lakhs respectively, which formed 20.5 per cent. and 2.1 per cent. respectively of the total works outlay on Rs. 2,54.22 lakhs.

An aggregate sum of Rs. 0.88 lakh was recovered during the year on account of Establishment charges for work done on behalf of private bodies, etc. The net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges thus amounted to Rs.  $51\cdot16$  lakhs and Rs.  $5\cdot39$  lakhs respectively and were  $20\cdot1$  per cent. and  $2\cdot1$  per cent. of the total works outlay.

The percentages of net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges to works outlay for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64 are indicated in the following table:

			Works outlay	Establish- ment charges	Percentage to works outlay	Tools and Plant charges	Percentage to works outlay
43-	-Irrigati	on			(1	In lakhs o	f rupees.)
1961-62	••	••	17.47	<b>9</b> ·73	55.7	0.48	2.7
1962-63	••	••	<b>23 · 15</b>	5.34	23 · 1	0 <b>•6</b> 6	2.9
1963-64	••	••	$24 \cdot 55$	<b>5 · 87</b>	23.9	0.70	2.8
44-	—Irrigat	ion					
1961-62	••	••	1,72.62	<b>3</b> 0 · 76	17.8	3.88	$2 \cdot 2$
1962-63	••	••	1,90.72	36 · 29	19.0	2.57	1.3
1963-64		••	$1,54 \cdot 25$	$31 \cdot 22$	$20 \cdot 2$	$2 \cdot 85$	1 · 9
99—Cap	ital Out	lay, etc.					
1961-62	••	••	5·98	1 · 22	<b>20 · 4</b>	0.12	2.0
1962-63	••	••	20·97	2.93	14.0	0.47	$2 \cdot 2$
1963-64	••	••	<b>33</b> ·18	5.07	15· <b>3</b>	0.67	2.0
100—Capital Outlay, etc.							
1961-62	••	••	60·98	11.20	18.4	1.43	2.3
1962-63	••	••	56·22	10.57	18.8	1 · 36	2.4
1963-64	••	••	<b>42</b> · 24	9.00	21 · 3	1.17	2.8

(vii) Suspense.—The minor Head 'Suspense' accommodates interim transactions in respect of which further operations (generally of payment or adjustment of value) are necessary before the transactions can be considered complete and finally accounted for. The operations in 1963-64 under this minor head were under the detailed heads: (1) Purchases, (2) Stock, (3) Miscellaneous Public Works Advances and (4) Workshop Suspense. The transactions under each of these detailed heads are explained below:

(1) **Purchases.**—When materials are received from a supplier or from another division or department for a specific work or for stock, their value is credited to "Purchases" so that *per contra*, the cost may be included at once in the accounts of the work or stock When payment is made, the head "Purchases" is debited. The head "Purchases", therefore, shows a negative (credit) balance which represents the value of stores received but not paid for.

(2) **Stock**.—The head is charged with all expenditure connected with the acquisition of stock of materials and with all manufacture operations. It is credited with the value of materials issued to works or sold or otherwise disposed of and the balance of the accounts represents the book value of the materials in stock plus the unadjusted charges, etc., connected with manufacture.

## (3) Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.-These are of four kinds-

- (a) Sales on credit,
- (b) Expenditure incurred on deposit works in excess of deposits received,
- (c) Losses, retrenchments, errors, etc.,
- (d) Other items.

Broadly speaking, the head is debited with all the sums which are eventually to be recovered. The balance under this head represents recoverable amounts.

(4) Workshop Suspense.—All charges in respect of jobs executed or other operations in the departmental workshops are initially debited to this head pending recovery or adjustment.

The transactions under each unit of Suspense for the year 1963-64 are exhibited below :

Major head and detailed units	Opening balance	Debits during the year	Credits during the year	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
1 -	2	3	4	5	6
42—Multipurpose River Schemes—			(In lakh	s of rup <del>e</del>	ев)
C-Other Revenue Ex- penditure.					
Purchase	2.81	0.99	1.02	-0.03	2.78
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	-0·39	••	••	••	-0·39
Stock	-0.10	1.38	1.12	0.26	0.16
Total	2.32	2.37	2.14	0.23	2.55
44—Irrigation, Naviga- tion, Embankment, Drainage Works (Non- Commercial).					
Purchase	-19·33	27.72	28.43	-0.71	-20.04
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	<b>7 · 3</b> 5	2.73	$2 \cdot 66$	0.07	$7 \cdot 42$
Stock	10.14	15.60	<b>9·84</b>	5.76	15.90
Total	<u>-1·84</u>	<b>46</b> .05	40.93	5.12	3.28

Major head and units		Opening balance	Debits during the year	Credits during the year	Net actuals	Closing balance
1		2	3	4	5	6
98—Capital O Multipurpose Schemes—Ma Reservoir Pro	River yurakshi			(In lakt	as of rupe	es)
Dam and Re	eservoir.					
Purchase	••	-7·48	0.31	0.66	-0.35	-7·83
Miscellaneous Works Advar	Public nces.	1,66.19	0.06	1,21.88	-1,21.82	<b>4</b> 4 • 37
Stock	••	0.45	0.48	0.44	0.04	0.49
	Total	1,59.16	0.85	1,22.98	-1,22·13	37·03
Barrage and	Irrigation					
Purchase		-13.91	$2 \cdot 25$	2.41	-0·16	14.07
Miscellaneous Works Advar	Public nces.	9·54	0.11	0.14	-0·03	<b>9</b> ·51
Stock	••	2.34	<b>3</b> .06	2.39	0.67	<b>3</b> .01
	Total	-2.03	5.42	4.94	0.48	<u> </u>
Kangsabati Proje	Reservoir ct.					
Purchase		-78·67	<b>3</b> 2 · 65	35·54	-2·89	-81·56
Miscellaneous Works Adva	Public nces.	5.99	0.76	$2 \cdot 55$	<u>-1·79</u>	<b>4 · 2</b> 0
Stock	••	71·30	53.72	50·01	3.71	75.01
	Total	-1·38	87.13	<b>88</b> •10	-0.97	-2.35
			_			

## Grant No. 34-Public Works

			Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess + Saving -
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "	50Pı	ıblic Works"			
Voted—		Rs.			
0. S.	••	10,78,47,000 2,22,52,000	<b>}</b> 13,00,99,000	15.63,74,352	+2.62,75,352
Amount the ye		dered during	••	••	Nil
Charged—					
. <sup>0.</sup> s.	••	14,89,000 2,42,000	}	15, <b>03,6</b> 27	-2,27,373
		dered during	••	••	Nil

the year.

### Notes and Comments--

### Voted Grant.

(i) The excess of Rs. 2,62,75,352 over the grant requires to be regularised.

(ii) In view of the excess, the supplementary provision of Rs. 2,22.52 lakhs obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1964, proved inadequate.

(iii) The excess was the net result of excesses totalling Rs. 3,04.18 lakhs under 16 sub-heads partly counter balanced by savings amounting to Rs. 41-43 lakhs under 26 sub-heads.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the following sub-head :--

Total	Actual	Excess	+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving	

(In lakhs of rupees.)

H-Suspense-

0.	••	<b>4,</b> 79∙74			
		}	$7,02 \cdot 26$	<b>9,67 · 9</b> 0	$+2,65 \cdot 64$
<b>S</b> .	••	2,22·52 J			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

The excess was attributed to unanticipated purchase of materials which could not be utilised in work during the year.

(b) Excesses of considerably large amounts also occurred under the following sub-heads :---

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excoss + Saving -
D-Repairs-				(In lakhs of	rupees.)
0. R.	•••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1,98\cdot89\\-7\cdot31\end{array}\right\}$	1,91.58	2,11.92	+20.34

The excess occurred due to execution of some special repair works not contemplated beforehand.

In view of the excess, the withdrawal of funds made mostly on the 31st March, 1964, for enforcing curtailment of expenditure on maintenance of buildings did not prove justified.

J-Development Schemes-

J(i)-First Five-Year Plan (Committed expenditure).

J(i)7-Public Works-

0.	••	80.40	94·95	1,08.38	+13.43
R.	••	14.55	04.90	1,00.00	+13.43

The excess was due to adjustment of expenditure on maintenance of roads constructed during the Second Plan period under this sub-head instead of under sub-head J(iii).7—Public Works, owing to misclassification by a Division.

J(iv)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes (Committed Expenditure).

J(iv).3—Public Works ...  $4 \cdot 00$   $5 \cdot 68$   $+1 \cdot 68$ 

The excess was explained as due to additional expenditure on some heavily damaged roads.

(c) In the following sub-heads, the excesses were comparatively small :---

A-ORIGINAL WORKS-BUIL-DINGS-

A.6-Administration of Justice.

0.	••	0.84	)		
R.	••	-0.15		1.03	+0.34

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
			(In lakhs of	rupees.)
A.8—Police—				
0	ل 1.77 ک	1.79	0.00	
R	0.02 5	1.19	<b>2</b> ·20	+0.41
A.12—Agriculture			••	
0	ך 0.10			
R	_0·08 }	0.02	0.03	+0.01
A.13-—Animal Hu	ısbandry—			
0	ך 0.12			
R	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 12\\ -0\cdot 02\end{array}\right\}$	0.10	0.11	+0.01
A.16—Public Wor	ks			
0	0.44 )			
<b>R</b>	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 44\\ -0\cdot 19\end{array}\right\}$	0.25	0.36	+0.11
A.18—Miscellaneo ments	ous Depart-			
0	∫ 0⋅37	0.09	<b>A</b>	
R	$\left. \begin{smallmatrix} 0\cdot 37\\ -0\cdot 28 \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$	0.08	0.47	+0.38
C-ORIGINAL W LANEOUS-	ORKS-MISCEL-			
0	∫ 0.07	0.10	0.01	
R	0.06	0.13	0.31	+0.18
F-TOOLS AND PI	LANT			
0	$15 \cdot 89$	16.12	16.56	+0.44
R	0.23			70 11
G-GRANTS-IN-AI	D-			
0	28.90	29.56	30.08	+0.52
R	0.66	_0 00		

## Grant No. 34-Public Works-contd.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
				(In lakhs of	rupees.)
J-DEVELOR	PMENT SCHI	emes—			
J(i)—First l ed Expen	Five-Year Pla diture).	n (Committe	•		
J(i).1—E	ducation—				
0.	<b>6</b> 129	$\left.\begin{array}{c}2\cdot45\\-0\cdot60\end{array}\right\}$	1.85	2.35	10.50
R.	-	<b>_0.6</b> 0 ∫	1.00	(÷ن • ۲	+0.20
(Committ	rally-sponsore ed Expenditu				
<b>J</b> (i <b>⊽</b> ).3—Ed					
0.	eni	$\left.\begin{array}{c}0\cdot15\\-0\cdot03\end{array}\right\}$	0.12	0.13	<b>+0.0</b> 1
<b>R</b> .	•••	-0.03			·
J(v)Centa (Centre's	ally-sponsored share).	Scheme			
nomic or	n of State Ro inter-state : r expenditure)	importance			
0.	••	0· <b>3</b> 4 ]	0.69	0.97	10.10
R.		0.35	0.08	0.87	+0.18

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :—

# B-ORIGINAL WORKS-COM-

MUNICATIONS-

0.	••	1,15.57	1,08.42	1,02.69	-5.73
R.	••	-7·15	1,00.42	1,02,09	-0.13

The total saving of Rs. 12.88 lakhs in the original provision was due to curtailment of Central Road Fund Works.

## J-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

J(i)—First Five-year Plan (Committed Expenditure).

J(i).2-Medical-

0.	••	ך 16.02			
		(	13.00	11.7	1.24
$\mathbf{R}$ .	••	<b>−</b> 3·02 ∫			

The total saving of Rs.  $4 \cdot 26$  lakhs in the original provision ( $26 \cdot 6$  per cent.) was attributed to the following reasons :—

Name of Work.	Provision.	Saving.	Reasons.
	(In lakhs of	rupees.).	
(1) Increase in the number of rural dispensaries and establish- ment of Public Health Units (maintenance of completed Health centres).	9.28	3.40	Low tender rates.
(2) Establishment of T. B. Hospi- tal at Kanchrapara and Establishment of T. B. Sana- torium at Digri.	2.58	0·84 (	Curtailment of works programme due to National Emer- gency.
	Total Grant.	Actua Expenditu	
J(iii). Second Five-Year Plan- (Committed Expenditure)		(In l	lakhs of rupecs.)
J(iii).7—Public Works	<b>36 · 00</b>	:	3·93 — 32·07

The saving of Rs. 32.07 lakhs in the original provision (89.1 per cent.) was attributed to (i) wrong booking of expenditure by the divisions under the subhead J(i).7—First Five-Year Plan (Rs. 13.43 Lakhs) and (ii) slow progress of work for shortage of road-rollers (Rs. 18.64 lakhs).

### CHARGED APPROPRIATION

(i) The saving occurred mainly under the following group head and was stated to be due to non-payment of decretal cost owing to non-presentation of claims by the parties and also for curtailment of expenditure :—

**D**-REPAIRS

0.		<b>€</b> 6·73			
8.	••	1.39	8.29	6·21	-2.08
R.	•••	0.17			

(ii) Review of the Establishment and Tools and Plant Charges of the Public Works Department.—The gross Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Public Works Department during the year 1963-64 amounted to Rs.  $85 \cdot 74$  lakhs and Rs.  $16 \cdot 57$  lakhs respectively against the total works outlay of Rs.  $8,81 \cdot 72$  lakhs, i.e.  $9 \cdot 7$  and  $1 \cdot 9$  per cent. respectively of the total works outlay.

Amounts of Rs. 48.31 lakhs and Rs. 10.03 lakhs were recovered on account of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges respectively for works done on behalf of private bodies and other Departments and Governments.

The percentages of net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges to works outlay for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64 are compared below :---

Year.		Works Establish- outlay. ment charges.	Percentage to works outlay.	o Tools and Plant charges.	Percentage to works outlay.		
						(In lakhs	of rupees.)
<b>19</b> 61-62	••	••	<b>8,56 · 19</b>	$58 \cdot 51$	6.8	15.88	1.9
<b>19</b> 62-63	••	••	8,88.01	56·38	6.3	13.10	1.5
<b>19</b> 3 <b>3</b> -64	••	••	8,81·72	37 • 43	<b>4 · 2</b>	6.54	0·74

(iii) Subvention from Central Road Fund.—The additional revenue realised from the increase in excise duties on motor spirit is credited to a Fund constituted by the Central Government. From this Fund, Subventions are made to States for expenditure on schemes of Road Development approved by the Central Government.

The amount so received by the State Government as subvention is credited directly to a deposit account viz. Subvention from Central Road Fund.

The actual expenditure incurred on the road development schemes is initially booked against the provision under this grant and subsequently transferred to the deposit account ("Subvention from Central Road Fund").

The expenditure under this grant (Grant No. 34—Public Works) includes an amount of Rs. 64.41 lakhs booked under the group head "B—Original Works— Communications" which was met from the deposit account.

An amount of Rs. 70.83 lakhs was received during the year as subvention from the Central Government against which the expenditure incurred worked up to Rs. 64.41 lakhs.

The balance at the credit of the Fund on the 31st March, 1964, was Rs. 9.03 lakhs.

An account of the transactions pertaining to the Fund for the year 1963-64 is given in Statement No. 16 of the Finance Accounts, 1963-64.

(iv) Suspense.—The group head "H—Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction and maintenance work of roads and buildings under Public Works Department. The nature and accounting procedure of transactions under this head have been explained at pages 104—105 in Note (vii) below Grant No. 33—Irrigation. A sum of Rs. 9,68.95 lakhs was adjusted during the year against the original provision of Rs. 4,79.87 lakhs which was subsequently augmented to Rs. 7,03.42 lakhs by obtaining a supplementary provision of Rs. 2,23.55 lakhs.

The transactions under each unit of suspense are exhibited below: --

Major Head and detailed Units.	Opening Balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing Balance.
1	2	3	4	5	6
_			(In lak	ths of rupe	es.)
50—PUBLIC WORKS					
Voted					
Purchase	-5,23.92	<b>4,48.6</b> 8	6,65·29	-2,16.61	-7,40.53
Stock	1,52 · 15	<b>4,44</b> · 26	<b>4,48 · 36</b>	-4·10	1,48.05
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	1,59 • 15	<b>74</b> • 96	<b>49</b> •93	25.03	1,84 · 18
	-2,12.62	9,67.90	11,63.58	-1,95·68	4,08·30
Charged—		·			<del>_</del>
Purchase	-0·27	0.73	<b>0</b> •80	-0·07	-0·34
Stock	0·13	0.24	0 · 17	0.07	0 · 2 <b>0</b>
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	0.32	0·08	0.06	0.02	0.34
	0.18	1.05	1.03	0.02	0.20

## Grant No. 35-Ports and Pilotage (All Voted).

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head " {	53-Ports	and Pilotage."	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}$ .			
Original	••	15,26,000	} 15,26,000	11,80,986	-3,45,014
Supplement	ary	••	5 10,20,000	11,00,900	-0,40,014
Amount sur (March, 1		l during the	year		3,81,524.

## Notes and Comments-

(i) The saving of Rs. 3.45 lakes in the grant formed 22.6 per cent. of the provision.

During the previous year also, the saving in this grant was  $R_{8.4.61}$  lakhs (23.4 per cent. of the total provision). This year the saving occurred mainly under the group heads mentioned below :—

•			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
A-CHAR L	GES FOR AUNCHES			(In lakhs of	f rupees).
0.	•:	8.47	6.03	6.24	+0.21
R.	••	_2·44 ∫	0.03	0.24	40.51

The net saying of Rs.  $2 \cdot 23$  lakhs in the original provision (26.3 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-commissioning of one vessel on account of mass resignation of crews and non-carrying out of repair works of several launches.

### F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.

Third Five-Year Plan-

## Contribution to the Ganga Brahmaputra Water Transport Board-

0.	••	2 200 €
R.	••	<b>_2</b> ·00

The non-utilisation of the provision was attributed to non-payment of the contribution to the Board due to procedural delays.

• •

• •

. .

In the preceding year also, the provision of Rs. 4.00 lakes made under this group-head remained unutilised.

## Grant No. 36—Famine Relief (All Voted).

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "64-Fam	ine Relief."	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Original Supplementary	Rs. 2,76,79,000 2,96,77,000 }	5,73,56,000	6,20,45,343	+46,89 <b>,343</b>
Amount surrendered year.	during the	••	••	Nił

## Notes and comments-

(i) The excess of Rs. 46,89,343 requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $62 \cdot 65$  lakhs under 5 sub-heads partly counter balanced by savings amounting to Rs.  $15 \cdot 76$  lakhs under 11 sub-heads.

(a) The excess occurred mainly under the following three sub-heads :

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
<b>▲</b> —FA	MINE R	elief			(In lakhs of ru	ipees.)
<b>A.</b> 1	Salarie	s and Estab	lishment			
		ted Workhesf operation				
	0.	••	23.64			
1	<b>S</b> .	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 23 \cdot 64 \\ 11 \cdot 75 \\ 3 \cdot 47 \end{array}\right\}$	38 <b>·86</b>	<b>46 -</b> 55	+7·69
	R.	••	3.47			
<b>▲</b> . 2-	Gratuit	ous Relief—	-			
<b>A</b> . 2(	(3)—At tl	ne houses of	the people-			
I	0.	••	90.00 170.66	9 60.66	9 09.65	1 21 - 00
ł	<b>S.</b>	••	170.66	4,00°90	2,92.65	+31•99
<b>A.</b> 3-	-Misceļla		cellaneous-			
	0.	-	ך 10.00			
ł	S.	-	93 · 47	<b>1,24</b> · 10	1,46 • 56	+22 <b>·46</b>
	R.	-	20.63			
The reasons for the excesses under the above three sub-heads were not furnished by the controlling officer.						
(b)	The othe	r sub-heads	under which	excesses also	occurred are indi	cated below:

A. 4-Rehabilitation Programme-

A. 4(b)-Orphanages-

0.	••	18·82 ]			
S.	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 18 \cdot 82 \\ 2 \cdot 24 \end{array} \right\}$	21.47	21.88	+0•41
R.	••	0.41			

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
<b>A</b> . 5—W	70rks			(In lakhs of	rupees.)
A-5 (iii)—.	Rehabilitation	n programme			
A. 5(iii)(	b)—Orphana	ges			
0.	••	[ 0.11 ]			
s.	• •	0.07	0.12	0.22	+0.10
R.	••	_0·06 }			

(ii) In the following group heads, the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964, proved wholly unnecessary or much in excess of requirements. The reasons for the savings were not furnished by the controlling officer.

A. 1—Salaries and Establishment—

A. 1(e)—Distribution of seeds—

0.	••	<b>4</b> ⋅08			
<b>S</b> .	••	6.00 -3.75 }	6·33	5.13	-1·2 <b>9</b>
R.	•• •	-3·75			

The total saving of Rs. 4.95 lakhs formed 82.5 per cent. of the supplementary provision.

In the preceding year also, a huge saving of Rs. 2.86 lakes forming 56.2 per cent. of the provision occurred under this group head.

A. 1(f)—D	istribution	••			
8.	••	2.25	1.75	0.41	-1.34
R.	••	_0·50 <b>∫</b>	1.19	0.41	-1.94

The total saving of Rs. 1.84 lakes formed 81.8 per cent. of supplementary grant.

A. 2-Gratuitous Relief-

A. 2(2)—In Other Ways—

0.	••	<b>4</b> ·21			
<b>S</b> .	••	4.09	<b>7</b> •37	5 30	-2.02
R.	••	-0.98			

The total saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 00$  lakes formed  $73 \cdot 3$  per cent. of the supplementary provision.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
				(In lakhs of	rupees.)
<b>A. 2(7)</b> —Fi of seeds-		ssional supply			
0.	••	3·50	<b>7</b> 50	1.17	<i>c</i> <b>h</b>
8.	••	<b>4</b> ∙00 ∫	7.50	1.17	-6·33

In the preceding three years also, the savings under this group head were **Rs.** 3.72 lakhs (93 per cent.), Rs. 3.64 lakhs (99.5 per cent.) and Rs. 3.68 lakhs (100 per cent.).

A.2(8)—Free or concessional supply of fertilisers—							
<b>S</b> .		2.25	$2 \cdot 25$		-2.25		

(iii) In the following group head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial **extent** :---

A. 3-Miscellaneous-Model Village

Scheme-

<b>O</b> .	••	36.92			
R.	••	-15.81	21 · 11	18.76	-2·3 <b>5</b>

The total saving of Rs.  $18 \cdot 16$  lakhs in the original provision ( $49 \cdot 2$  per cent.) was explained as due to slow progress of work in connection with the scheme "Build Your Own House" owing to non-availability of permits for controlled commodities.

In the preceding two years also, the total savings under this group head were **Rs.** 19.72 lakhs (37.2 per cent.) and **Rs.** 15.31 lakhs (29.6 per cent.) in the provision.

(iv) Famine Insurance Fund.—The expenditure in the voted grant includes an amount of Rs. 80.00 lakhs met from the Famine Insurance Fund. This fund was created by the Government of Bengal under the Bengal Famine Insurance Fund Act, 1937, with effect from the year 1938-39 with an initial contribution of Rs. 10 lakhs from the State Revenues. Further contributions to the Fund from the State revenues amounted to Rs. 2.03 lakhs in 1939-40 and Rs. 2.00 lakhs in 1940-41.

The Fund is intended to meet the expenditure on the relief of famine and of distress caused by serious drought, flood, earthquake and other natural calamities. The corpus of the fund consists of the contributions made by Government from time to time and the interest accrued on the securities in which the sums at oredit of the Fund are invested. During 1963-64 an amount of Rs. 80.00 lakhs was paid by Government as contribution to the Fund and an equal amount was debited to it on account of expenditure met from the Fund.

The balance at the credit of the Fund on the 31st March, 1964, was Rs. 1.84 lakhs.

An account of the fund is given in Statement No. 16 of the Finance Accounts of West Bengal, 1963-64.

## Grant No. 37-Pensions and other Retirement Benefits.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head ''65-Pensions and Other Retirement Benefits '' and ''120-Payments of Commuted Value of Pensions,''	9		
₹oted—			
Original 1,81,92,000 Supplementary 14,33.000	} 1,96,25,000	1,89,54,949	-6,70,05 <b>1</b>
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).			1,15,0 <b>00</b>
Charged-			
Original 2,41.000 Supplementary 36,000		0 75 942	1657
Supplementary 36,000	} 2,77,000	2,75,343	-1,657
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	4,0 <b>00</b>

### Notes and Comments-

### Voted Grant.

(i) The supplementary grant of Rs. 14.33 lakes obtained on the 30th March, 1964, for meeting the additional requirements of the grant proved excessive to the extent of Rs. 6.70 lakes owing to unanticipated less drawal of pensions during the closing months of the year.

Grant No. 37-Pensions and other Retirement Benefits-concld. 119

(ii) In the following group head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :--

			Total Grant.	Actual Exponditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head "120-Payments of Commuted value of Pensions."				(In lakhs o	f rupees.)
1—Payme Pensions		nuted value of			
0.	••	ر 8⋅00	5.5(	) 4.91	-0.59
B.	€ 49	<b>_2</b> ·50 ∫	0.00		-0.0

The total saving of Rs. 3.09 lakhs in the original provision (38.6 per cent.) was due to less demand for commutation of pensions.

-

## Grant No. 38-Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers (All Voted).

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving
Major Head "67-Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers."	Rs.	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}}.$	$\mathbf{R}_{8}.$
<b>R</b> s.			
<b>Original</b> 1,58,000	1,58,000	1,48,381	-9,619
Supplementary $\int$	1,00,000	1,10,001	0,010
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	6,000

## Grant No. 39-Stationery and Printing (All Voted).

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "68-Stationery and Printing."	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
<b>Original 94,84,000</b>	95.28.000	91,27,009	-4.00.9 <b>91</b>
Supplementary 44,000		•1,2,1,000	11001002
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964)			1 97. <b>325.</b>

				otal Grant or ppropriation Rs.	Actual Expenditure Rs.	Excess + Saving - Rs.
Major Head	"70—	-Forest"		2000		
Voted—		Rs.				
Original	••	1,85,44,000	j	1,85,44,000	1,65,25,809	
Supplementary	••	••	j			
Amount surren year (March, 1				••		18,70,00 <b>0</b>
Charged—		٠				
Original	••	••	) J	5,000	4,865	<i>—135</i>
Supplementary	••	5,000	j	-,		
Amount surrend	lered	during the ye	ar	••	••	Nil

#### Notes and comments-

**Voted Grant** 

(i) The saving of Rs. 20.18 lakhs in the grant occurred due mainly to nonfinalisation of the proposal for "Establishment of a Particle Board Plant at Siliguri" owing to non-release of the required foreign exchange by the Government of India. The saving occurred mainly under the following group head :---

(In lakhs of rupees)

**F**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

F(i)-Third Five-Year Plan-

In the preceding year also, a saving of Rs.  $24 \cdot 24$  lakes occurred in this group head for the same reason.

(ii) In the following group head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :

F(iv)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

0	••	9·51 ] ≻	<b>3</b> ·12	<b>3</b> ·11	-0.01
R	••	—6· <b>3</b> 9 ∫		-	

The total saving of Rs. 6.40 lakhs in the original provision (67.3 per cent) was stated to be due to—

- (i) non-purchase of motor vehicles on account of non-receipt of release order from Government of India (Rs. 1.02 lakhs);
- (ii) abandonment of the scheme for 'Pre-investment Survey of Forest Produce' due to non-receipt of approval of the Government of India (Rs. 2.42 lakhs) and
- (iii) partial implementation of the scheme for 'Conservation Works in the Upper Catchment of Kangsabati River' owing to delay in finalisation (Rs. 2.95 iakhs).

## Grant No. 41—Miscellaneous—Contributions

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
Major Head "71—Miscellaneous"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original         1,93,20,000           Supplementary         5,80,000	) } 1,99,00,000	1,93,91,853	-5,08,147
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).		••	1,43,199
Charged		•	
Original 7,98,000	- } 7,98,000	- 7,09,187	 
Supplementary	J		
Amount surrendered during the , year (March, 1964).		••	3,158

### Notes and comments----

### **Voted Grant**

The saving of Rs. 5.08 lakhs in the voted grant forming 87.8 per cent. of the supplementary provision of Rs. 5.80 lakhs indicates that the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964 was largely excessive.

## Grant No. 42-Miscellaneous-Other Miscellaneous Expenditure

		Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Heads ''71N and ''109Capital Other Work	Outlay on	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted—	Rş.			
Original	8,18,41,000	} } 8,95,81,000	7,38,54,231	-1,57,46,769
Supplementary	77,40,000	J		
Amount surrender year (March, 196		••	••	1,08,9 <b>3,3</b> 12
Charged—				
Original	4,58,000 1,35,000	} } 5,93,000	<b>4</b> 8,7 <b>9</b> 1	-5,44,209
Supplementary	1,35,000	j	20,022	0,11,200
Amount surrendere year (March, 19			••	5,43,82 <b>0</b>

### Notes and comments-

#### **Voted Grant**

(i) The saving of Rs.  $1,57\cdot47$  lakes in the grant formed  $17\cdot6$  per cent. of the total provision of Rs.  $8,95\cdot81$  lakes.

Considerable savings also occurred in the voted section of this grant during the preceding years also; the position for the last five years is given below:

	Year.		Provision	Saving	Percentage of	
				(In	the saving s of rupees)	
1958-59		-	<b>010</b>	8, <b>3</b> 6 · 27	1,51 · <b>43</b>	18.1
<b>1959-6</b> 0	-	-	-	9,12.89	1,83 · 66	<b>2</b> 0 · 1
1960-61	••	••	••	14,00 · 07	2,0 <b>3 · 36</b>	14.5
1961-62	••	••	••	16,21·6 <b>3</b>	<b>6,4</b> 0 · <b>3</b> 0	39.5
1962-63	••	••	• •	16,92.79	1,93.27	11-4

The savings in all the years were explained as due mainly to :

- (a) non-completion of land acquisition proceedings;
- (b) slow progress of 'House Building' and 'Drainage Works';
- (c) non-construction of houses; and
- (d) non-payment/less payment of grants to the Anchal Panchayats, Social Welfare Organisations and certain Municipalities.

(ii) In view of the final saving of Rs.  $1,57\cdot47$  lakhs during 1963-64 in the voted grant, the supplementary provision of Rs.  $77\cdot40$  lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved unnecessary.

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent:

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

#### Major Head "71---Miscellaneous"

G-MISCELLANEOUS AND UNFORESEEN CHARGES.

G-3—Expenditure with riots—	e in	connection			
8	••	ך 72⋅25	64·33	52.51	-11.82
R	••	<b>−7</b> ·92 ∫	01.00	52.01	-11.02

The total saving of Rs. 19.74 lakhs in the supplementary provision (27.3 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-payment of house building grants in full to the riot affected people due to non-execution of necessary bonds by the parties concerned

<b>i</b> —develo	OPMENT 8	CHEMES	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure (In lakhs o	Excess + Saving f rupees)
I(a)-Third F	ive-Year P	lan—			
<b>I(a)</b> (ii)—Ho	using-				
0	••	ך 7.70			
R	••	1·57 }	6.13	6.49	+0.36

The net saving of Rs. 1.21 lakhs in the original provision (15.7 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-implementation of the 'Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme—Private Employers' Project' (Rs. 1.30 lakhs) on account of non-execution of mortgage deeds and allied agreements in time by the parties concerned

I(e)-Centrally-Sponsored Schemes-

I(e)(i)-Housing-

0		<b>3</b> ⋅65 )			
		<b>}</b>	4 · 45	2·73	-1.72
R	••	0.80			

The saving was attributed to less transfer of proportionate charges pertaining to the scheme 'Third Five-Year Plan—Slum Clearance Project' to this group head for want of sanction.

In the previous two years also, the savings under the group head were Rs.  $21 \cdot 82$  lakhs ( $82 \cdot 5$  per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $21 \cdot 76$  lakhs ( $59 \cdot 5$  per cent. of the provision).

### 109-Capital Outlay on Other Works-

#### L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES

Third Five-Year Plan-

L(a)-Housing.

L(a)(2)-Filling up of Circular Canal

The scheme estimated to cost Rs.  $75 \cdot 12$  lakhs, is for filling up the Circular Canal from its junction with the New-Cut Canal up to its junction with the Beliaghata Canal. The filled-up space and canal side will be utilised for residential purposes.

The total saving of Rs. 36.84 lakhs in the original provision (99.6 per cent.) was attributed to temporary suspension of the scheme in view of an application pending in the High Court as also a post budget decision to withhold the work till completion of the "Filling up of New-Cut Canal".

L(a)5-Kanchrapara Area Develop-

ment Scheme (Kalyani Town)-

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. 4.72 lakhs in the original provision (14.8 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
L(b)—Miscel	llaneous			(In lakhs of	rupees)
L(b)(i)—F	oo <b>d</b> Grain S	itorage			
0		1·44 ر	0.18	0.12	0· <b>06</b>
R	••	—1·26 J	0 10	012	

The total saving of Rs. 1.32 lakhs in the original provision (91.7 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to non-availability of selected site from the Railways for construction of the Government Food Depot at Siliguri (Rs. 1.00 lakh).

L(c)-Special Project-

L(c)(i)—Development and Administration of Industries at Durga-

pur—

0	••	ן 65·00			
		<u>۲</u>	7.97	9.20	+1.23
R	••	—57·03 Ј			

The net saving of Rs.  $55 \cdot 80$  lakhs in the original provision ( $85 \cdot 8$  per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the schemes indicated below:

Name	Provision	Saving	Reason					
1	2	3	4					
	(In lakhs of rupees)							
Development of Subsidiar Industries.	y 15•00	14.94	Non-preferment of claim for re- imbursement for work done by the Durgapur Development Authority which acts as the agent of Government for execu- tion of the scheme.					
Krebes scheme	50.00	<b>49</b> •59	Transfer of control of the scheme during the year from direct administration of the Govern- ment to a Government spon- sored Company, viz., the Dur- gapur Chemicals Ltd.					
			The Scheme is now being financed from loans to the 'Durgapur Chemicals Ltd' for which provision has been made under a separate grant (viz. Grant No. 52 Loans and Advances).					

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant	Expenditure	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees)

• •

L(c)(2)—Fertiliser Factory—

The project for the establishment of a fertiliser factory at Durgapur was taken up by the State Government in 1962-63 after approval of the Government of India.

During the year, however, the project, was transferred from the State Sector to the Centre and hence the saving.

In the preceding year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 24.55 (98.2 per cent. of the provision).

L(c)(4)—Calcutta Metropolita	an Plan-			
ning Organisation	••	$25 \cdot 30$	$20 \cdot 82$	4·48

The reasons for the saving of Rs. 4.48 lakhs in the original provision (17.7 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

L(d)-Tourism-

The net saving of Rs. 2.77 lakhs in the original provision (39.9 per cent.) was attributed mainly to slow progress/suspension of work as a result of revision of design and estimate for the construction in respect of the following schemes:

Name of the scheme				Provision	Saving		
					(In lakhs of rupees)		
Canteen-cum-Retiring Room at Diamond Harbor				larbour	1.70	$1 \cdot 20$	
Tourist	Lodge at Malda		••	••	1.00	1.00	
L(e)—Labou	ır and Labour W	elfare—					
0	••	ך 7.25					

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.60	1.56	0.04
R	••	—5·65 j			

The total saving of Rs. 5.69 lakhs in the original provision (78.5 per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the schemes for—

- (a) 'Model Labour Welfare Centre and Holiday Home' as a result of nonavailability of suitable land (4.00 lakhs) and
- (b) 'Training Institute-cum-Central Library' due to delay in getting possession of land from the Calcutta Improvement Trust (Rs. 1.65 lakhs).

In the preceding year also, the saving under the group head was Rs.  $5 \cdot 91$  lakhs (48.7 per cent. of the provision).

-14·31

			Total Grant	Actual Ex- penditure	Excess $+$ Saving $-$
L(h) Water (Urban an	Supply and a d Corporation	Sanitation on)—		(In lakhs of	f rupees)
0	••	18.00	} <b>3</b> ∙69	3.57	-0.12

The total saving of Rs. 14.43 lakhs in the original provision (80.2 per cent.) was explained as mainly due to transfer of the following schemes from the administrative control of the Salt Lake Reclamation and Development Board to the Irrigation and Waterways Department and consequential adjustment of expenditure under a separate grant (grant No. 33-Irrigation) due to change in classification :

- (a) Tollygunge-Panchannagram Drainage Scheme (Rs.  $6 \cdot 10$  lakhs), and
- (b) Silt Clearance and Completion of Works of Calcutta Corporation-Outfall system from Bantala to Kulti (Rs. 3.20lakhs).

In the previous two years also, the savings under the group head were Rs.  $17 \cdot 12$ lakhs and Rs.  $16 \cdot 87$  lakhs respectively. L(i) Animal Husbandry

R

• •

0	••	ך 15.00			
		}	$7 \cdot 46$	$2 \cdot 59$	-4·87
${f R}$	••	—7·54 Ĵ			

The total saving of Rs. 12.41 lakhs in the original provision (82.7 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-implementation/partial implementation of a number of schemes due to-

- (a) non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 9.11 lakhs)
- (b) non-completion of work by the Construction Board (Rs. 2.17 lakhs), and
- (c) non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings (Re. 1.00 lakh)

The names of the Schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving areindicated below :

Name of the scheme	Provision	Saving.
	(In lakhs	of rupees)
Establishment of a Bull Rearing farm	1.30	1.30
Marketing of Eggs and Poultry	$1 \cdot 25$	$1 \cdot 25$
Establishment of a State Poultry Farm at Durgapur.	2.46	2.46
Poultry Extension Centre (Spill-over)	2.14	1.47
Pig breeding unit at Darjeeling	1.00	1.00
Training Centre—Expansion of Live Stock Research Station.	$1 \cdot 50$	1.50

Grant N	No. 42	—Miscellaneous—O	ther	Miscellaneous	Exp	enditure-conta	. 127

			Total G		Actual Ex- penditure	Excess + Saving -	
L(K) C	entrally-spor	nsored Schemes		(	In lakhs	of rupees).	
from	—Add-Amo the head "'I —Slum Clean	unt transferred Third Five-Year cance''	L				
0	••	18.00	j	18.78	1.4	20 17 1	.~
R	••	0.78	ſ	10.19	1.6	53 —17·1	Ð
-					••		_

Provision for expenditure on the scheme "Slum Clearance" was made under the group head L(a)(7). This scheme was to be treated as Centrally-sponsored Scheme and that portion of the expenditure which was to be borne by the Government of India was to be transferred to this head [(L(k)(b).-Slum Clearance).]

Although the scheme was implemented in full, the requisite allocation of proportionate charges could not be made for want of sanction.

L(k)(c) Land Acquisition and Development project of Netaji Subhas Road, Tollygunj-0 1.00 1.34-1.34. . 0.34R L(k)(d) Land Acquisition and Development Project at Behala-48.00 } -48.00 ∫ 0 . . R L(k)(e) Land acquisition and Development Project at Durgapur-25.00 } \_25.00 ∫ 0 . . . . R

The lard acquisition and development schemes were taken up at the instance of the Government of India and up to the year 1962-63, the above three schemes were treated as Centrally-sponsored Schemes.

It was decided in 1963-64 that these schemes would no longer be treated as "Centrally-sponsored" ones but should instead, be accommodated in the State Plan proper. The schemes remained unimplemented during the year.

L(k)(g) Tourism-

0	••	3∙98 J			
R	••	-1.82	$2 \cdot 16$	••	-2.16
TA	••				

Provision for expenditure on several 'Rest Houses' was made under the group head L(d)'Development Schemes—Third Five-Year Plan—Tourism' within the grant.

The schemes were to be treated as Centrally-sponsored Schemes and that portion of expenditure which was to be borne by the Government of India had to be transferred to this head (L(K)(g)-Tourism).

Although the schemes were partially implemented during the year, the requisite allocation of proportionate charges could not be made for want of sanction.

			Total Grant	Actual Ex- penditure	Excess + Saving -
L(L) Special P	roject—			(In lakhs of	rupees).
Soheme for Gas in Calcutta—		tion System			
0	••	<b>42</b> .00	ך ≻ 17∙63	17.47	0.15
$\mathbf{R}$	••	$-24 \cdot 37$	ר 17-03 ל	17.47	-0.17

The total saving of Rs. 24.54 lakhs in the original provision (58.4 per cent.) was attributed mainly to partial implementation of the scheme as a result of nonerection of a Gas-holder due to non-receipt of investigation report of the Expert Committee appointed for the purpose.

The scheme was first taken up by Government in 1962-63 and is scheduled to be completed by the end of 1964-65. The estimated expenditure of the scheme was Rs.  $1,25 \cdot 50$  lakhs. But a total sum of Rs.  $26 \cdot 78$  lakh<sup>5</sup> only could be utilised up to date as indicated below:

Year					Expenditure.
				•	(In lakhs of rupees)
1962-63	••	••	••	••	9.33
1963-64	••	••	••	••	$17 \cdot 45$

## CHARGED APPROPRIATION

(i) In view of the final saving of Rs. 5.44 lakhs (91.7 per cent. of the total provision), the supplementary appropriation of Rs. 1.35 lakhs obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1964 did not prove justified.

(ii) In the following group heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent :

Total Appro-	Actual Ex-	Excess +
priation.	penditure.	Saving —

• •

#### Major Head "109 Capital Outlay on Other WorKs."

**K**—OTHER SCHEMES

Patipukur Township Scheme

0	••	ר 2.50	
S	••	1.00	••
R		رٰ 3.52 ـ	

The reasons for non-utilisation of the provision obtained for payment of decretal charges were not furnished by the controlling officer.

In the preceding year also, the provision under this head (Rs. 1.02 lakhs) remained un-utilised for similar reasons:

			Total Appro- priation	Actual Ex- penditure	Excess + Saving
L-DEVEL	OPMENT S	CHEMES		(In lakhs of	f rupees).
Third Fiv	e-Year Plan.				
L(a) Housir	ıg				
	nchrapara A Scheme (Kaly				
0	••	2.00	)	0.11	
R		-1·9 <b>3</b>	} 0.07 ∫	0.11	+0.04

The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 1.89 lakhs in the original provision (94.5 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

Grant No.	43-Miscellaneous-	-Expenditure o	n Displaced	Persons
-----------	-------------------	----------------	-------------	---------

	Total Grant or Appropriation.		
Major Heads "16—Interest on Debt and ther Obligations", "71—Mis- cellaneous", "109—Capital Out- lay on Other Works", "Debt raised in India" and "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original         5,13,20,000           Supplementary         25,24,000	5 38 44 000	5 92 03 375	
Supplementary 25,24,000	5,30,41,000	0,22,00,010	-10,40,020
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	73,689
Charged—			
Original 37,35,000 Supplementary 52,000	27 07 000	27 19 000	
Supplementary 52,000	5 57,87,000	37,12,000	-75,000
Amount surrendered during the year	w	••	Nil.

## 130 Grant No. 43-Miscellaneous-Expenditure on Displaced Persons-contd.

### Notes and comments----

## **Voted Grant**

(i) In view of the final saving of Rs.  $16 \cdot 41$  lakes in the grant, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $25 \cdot 24$  lakes obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved excessive.

(ii) Under the following group heads, the supplementary provision obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved unnecessary or largely excessive:

Total Grant.	Actual Ex-	Excess +
	penditure.	Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees)

## Major Head "109—Capital Outlay on Other Works"—Expenditure on Displaced Persons.

L-Scheme for colonisation-

0	••	1,20.00			
S	••	5.00	1,17.00	1,05.03	-11.97
R	••	_8·00 J			

The saving was attributed to slow progress of work.

M-Other schemes-

0	••	<b>4</b> ·10 }			
S	••	4·80 }	$7 \cdot 29$	5.40	<u>-1·89</u>
R	••	-1·61			

The total saving of Rs. 3.50 lakhs in the total provision forming 72.9 per cent. of the supplementary grant of Rs. 4.80 lakhs was attributed to slow progress of work.

### 71-Miscellaneous

B(v)(b)—Expenditure on schemes— Educational grant—

B(v)(b)(iii)—Grants-in-aid (Education)—

0	••	ך 22.88			
		}	$28 \cdot 26$	45.45	+17.19
R	••	5·38 J			•

The final excess was attributed to payment of larger amounts of stipends and scholarships to the displaced students than anticipated and also larger building grants for expansion of educational institutions.

## Grant No. 43-Miscellaneous Expenditure on Displaced Persons-contd. 131

(iv) In the following group head the reduction of provision made by re-appropriation on the 31st March, 1964, proved largely excessive and eventually contributed to excess thereunder:

			Total Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving —
	ND ADVAN GOVERNME			(In lakhs o	f rupees)
Loans to D	isplaced Pers	0 <b>ns</b>			
Q-Loans placed pe	and advance ersons	es to dis-			
0	••	58.85	ן		
8	••	6 • 15	<b>55.00</b>	64 • 49	+9•49
$\mathbf R$	••	-10.00	J		

The reasons for the final excess were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(v) In the following group head the expenditure exceeded the original provision by Rs. 5.42 lakhs. But instead of providing additional funds therefor, a sum of Rs. 2.02 lakhs was withdrawn by reappropriation on the 31st March, 1964, which ultimately resulted in the final excess of Rs. 7.44 lakhs under the group head.

### 71-Miscellaneous

B-VI-Miscellaneous Expenditure-

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & \dots & 18 \cdot 11 \\ R & \dots & -2 \cdot 02 \end{array} \right\} \quad 16 \cdot 09 \quad 23 \cdot 53 \quad +7 \cdot 44 \\ \end{array}$ 

The reasons for the excess were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(vi) In the following group heads the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent, the reasons for the savings were not furnished by the controlling officer:

### 71-Miscellaneous

BIV(d)—District and Subdivi- sional Establishment (Educa-	1 • 49	0.02	-1.47
tion).			
BV(c) Medical facilities	30.00	$23 \cdot 28$	-6.72

In the previous year also, the saving under this group head [BV(c)] was Rs. 18.18 lakhs (45.5 per cent. of the provision).

• •

B-VIII—Irrecoverable loans and advances written off— O ..  $1 \cdot 00$ R ..  $-1 \cdot 00$ B-X—Conversion of maintenance loans into grants— O ..  $10 \cdot 00$ R ..  $-10 \cdot 00$ R ..  $-10 \cdot 00$ 

#### 132 Grant No. 43—Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons—contd.

In the preceding two years also the entire provision under the above two group heads remained unutilised for want of sanctions of the Government of India.

(vii) In the following group heads funds provided by re-appropriations on the **31st March**, 1964, proved entirely unnecessary or excessive to a substantial extent. The reasons for the savings were not furnished by the controlling officers :

71—Mis	cellaneous		Total Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
B-XII-Con loans into	version of grants (Edu			(In lakhs o	f rupees)
0 B		7.00	} 15.41	••	-15.41
R B-XIII—Ex Migrants—		8.41 on New	J		
0	••	··· 40·50	} 40.50	18.60	-21.90
R	••	40.50	J	10 00	

(viii) Expenditure on Relief and Rehabilitation of displaced persons.—During the year 1963-64, an expenditure of Rs.  $4 \cdot 61$  crores was incurred on rehabilitation of displaced persons and other schemes, the details of which together with the expenditure of the preceding four years are given below :

	From 1959-60 to 1961-62	1962-63	1963-64
(i) Relief and Rehabilitation of dis- placed persons :		(In lakhs of rupees)	
(a) Relief	9,53·22		••
(b) Rehabilitation	6, <b>4</b> 7 · 78	3,00.00	3,27 · 86
(ii) Revenue Earning schemes	3 · 14	2.43	4 .04
(iii) Scheme for dispersal of dis- placed College students from Calcutta.	31 ·84	10 ·95	11 •67
(iv) Administration of a township for displaced persons.	4 • 76	1 .92	2 •24
(v) Expenditure on Capital Account	2,71 .68	1,29 .49	1,11 •47
(vi) Expenditure on General Ad- ministration (Rehabilitation programme).	15 ·24	3 .63	4 ·14
Total	19,27 ·66	4,48 • 42	4,61 •42

Of the expenditure on Rehabilitation during the year under review a sum of Rs. 1.33 crores was realised from the Government of India as grant (Rs. 1.16 rores in 1963-64 and Rs. 0.17 crore in 1964-65).

## Grant No. 43-Miscellaneous-Expenditure on Displaced Persons-concld. 133

## CHARGED APPROPRIATION

In the following group head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :

			Total Appro- priation.	Actual 1 penditu		•
displaced	t on Debt "Expendit personsInt rnmental de	ure on erest on		(In la)	khs of rupees)	
I—INTERE <sup>6</sup> FROM CEN						
	on loans fo lief and reh d persons—	r expendi- abilitation				
0	••	6.00			4.00	
R		6.00 -1.73	$\begin{array}{c} & 4.27 \\ \end{array}$		4.27	

The saving of Rs. 1.73 lakhs in the original provision (28.8 per cent.) was explained as due to less payment of interest on loans taken from the Central Government for payment to the displaced persons, consequent on less realisation of interest from the displaced persons

## Grant No. 45—Pre-Partition Payments (All Voted)

	Total Grant	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess $+$ Saving $-$				
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.				
Major Head "78—Pre-Partition Pay- ments"							
Rs.							
Original 25,000	25,000	00 000	0 710				
Supplementary	\$ 25,000	22,282	-2,718				
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964)			2,659				

## 134 Grant No. 46—Expenditure Connected with the National Emergency, 1962 (All Voted)

	Total Grant.	Actual Exponditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head ''78—A—Expenditure connected with the National Emergency, 1962''.	Rs.	Rs.	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}}$
R <sub>8</sub> .			
Original 1,66,02,000 Supplementary }	1,66,02,000	1,00,64,070	-65,37,930
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	55,52,095

### Notes and comments----

(i) The saving of Rs.  $65 \cdot 38$  lakhs forming  $39 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the provision occurred mainly under the following two group heads:

(In lakhs of rupees.)

(A) CIVIL DEFENCE-

0.	••	ך 39∙00			
D		-25.57	13.43	11.91	-1.52
R.	••	-25.57			

The total saving of Rs. 27.09 lakhs in the original provision (69.5 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to—

- (i) unfilled vacancies (Rs.  $1 \cdot 26$  lakhs);
- (ii) non-receipt of supply of equipment, vehicles etc. from the Government of India (Rs 7.97 lakhs);
- (iii) non-execution of work due to non-receipt of detailed instructions from the Government of India (Rs. 5.00 lakhs); and
- (iv) the requisite number of centres not being opened.
  - (a) 'First-Aid Centres' under unforeseen circumstances and inability of the suppliers to complete supplies of equipment (Rs. 7.01 lakhs), and
  - (b) 'Fire fighting stations' owing to non-receipt of equipment and vehicles from the Government of India (Rs. 1.75 lakhs).

### **B-OTHER EXPENDITURE**-

B(ii)-Miscellaneous-

0.	• •	ן 1,25∙02 ]			
		1	· 92·46	85.57	-6.89
R.	••	<b>_32</b> .56 ∫	_		

The total saving of Rs. 39.45 lakhs in the original provision (31.6 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to—

- (i) intensive mobilisation of volunteers of the West Bengal National Volunteer Force not being undertaken due to the changed situation (Rs. 16.11 lakhs),
- (ii) reduction of expenditure for non-appointment of staff and less requirements for the Home Guard Organisation (Rs. 15.21 lakhs) and,
- (iii) fall in number of internees and prisioners of the special Jail in North Bengal (Rs. 1.12 lakhs).

The reasons for the balance of the saving (Rs. 7.01 lakhs) were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(2) In the following group head, the provision made by re-appropriation on the **31st March**, 1964, was excessive; this resulted in a substantial saving, the reasons for which were not furnished by the controlling authority.

				Total Grant.	Act Expend	ual liture.	Excess + Saving -	
B-OTHER EXPENDITURE					(	In lakhs o	f rupees.)	
(i) Eme	ergency M	an-power sch	eme					
` ]	<b>R.</b>	••	1.84	1.8	4	0.53	-1.3	31

### Grant No. 47—Multipurpose River Schemes—Damodar Valley Project. (All Voted)

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
	Rs.	Rs.	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}}.$
<b>Major Head ''98</b> —Capital Outlay on Multipurpose River Schemes''—			
Damodar Valley Project—			
Rs.			
Original 16,95,06,000	16,95,06,000	9,34,02,560	
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).		••	3,11,66,000

### 136 Grant No. 47-Multipurpose River Schemes-Damodar Valley Project-concld.

### Notes and Comments :----

(i) The saving formed 44.9 per cent. of the original provision; this was due mainly to less capital advances to the Corporation and also less allocation of expenditure by the Corporation on the basis of their Annual Report.

(ii) In the preceding years also, there had been savings due to less capital advances to the Corporation as indicated below :---

		Year.		Provision.	Saving.	Percentage of saving to the provision.
					(In lakhs of ru	1pees.)
<b>19</b> 60-61	••	••	••	5,57.55	<b>3</b> ,15 · 19	54.8
1961-62	••		••	5,90·87	37.87	6•4
1962-63			••	7,36.94	2,83.68	38.5

(iii) In the following two group heads, wide variation between the provision and expenditure occurred mainly due to readjustment of expenditure incurred hitherto on 'Subsidiary objects' and transfer thereof to the main objects, viz., Power, Irrigation and Flood Control, pertaining to the Development Schemes, according to the award of the Arbitration.

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-	
		(In lakhs of rupees.)		
(ii) Government's share of the Capital Outlay on the Damodar Valley Project.				
(1) Development Schemes-				
(1) (a) Third Five-Year Plan	1,00.00	6,61 · 98	+5,61.98	
(2) Government's share debitable to other schemes outside the plan—				
0 7,47.53	F 01 F0	1 50 05		
$\left. \begin{array}{ccc} 0. & & 7,47\cdot53 \\ R. & & -1,55\cdot83 \end{array} \right\}$	5 <b>,9</b> 1 · 70	-1,56·95	-7 <b>,</b> 48·65	

## Grant No. 48-Capital Outlay on Public Works.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "103—Capital Outlay on Public Works."			
Voted— Rs.			
Gross—			
Original 8,95,91,000	0 22 52 000	8 98 00 01 <b>9</b>	1 04 29 097
Original 8,95,91,000 Supplementary 37,62,000	9,33,33,000 م ار	0,20,99,013	-1,04,00,907
Deductions— Original —32,72,000 Supplementary			
Net—			
Original 8,63,19,000 Supplementary 37,62,000	9,00,81,000	7,92,23,280	1,08,57.720
Amount surrendered during the year.			Nil
Charged—			
Original 10,000		) 40 545	
Supplementary 32,30	0 0 } 42,300	9 40,545	1,755
Amount surrendered during th year.		••	Nil

## Notes and comments-

### Voted Grant.

(i) Except in regard to this Grant the "gross system of voting" has been adopted by the State Government in preparing the demands of Grants for 1963-64. Under the "gross system of voting" estimates are prepared for the gross requirements without taking account the anticipated receipts or recoveries.

The estimates of this Grant (Grant No. 48) were, however, framed on the basis of the net expenditure after taking credit for the anticipated receipts or recoveries which are taken in reduction of expenditure for accounting purposes.

The Appropriation Accounts of this Grant have been prepared accordingly.

(ii) There was a saving of Rs. 1,04.54 lakhs in the total gross provision. The excess recovery of Rs. 4.04 lakhs increased the saving to Rs. 1,08.58 lakhs which formed 12.0 per cent. of the net provision.

In the preceding three years also, the savings under the voted grant were Rs. 2,84.55 lakhs (27.2 per cent.), Rs. 2,16.21 lakhs (22.8 per cent.) and Rs. 2,68.29 lakhs (29.4 per cent.).

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent:

Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Savily -
	(In lakhs of ru	pees.)

A. ORIGINAL WORKS BUILDINGS-

A.5-General Administration-

Voted-

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0. & \cdots & 72 \cdot 41 \\ R. & \cdots & -51 \cdot 90 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{ccc} 20 \cdot 51 & 19 \cdot 44 & -1 \cdot 07 \\ \bullet \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 52.97 lakhs in the original provision (73.2 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-execution of works owing to non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings (Rs. 47.37 lakhs) and non-availability of building materials (Rs. 5.60 lakhs).

In the previous year also, the saving under this group head was Rs. 20.25 lakhs (47.2 per cent. of the original provision).

A.6—Administration of Justice—

О.	••	ך 7.41	3.57	3.02	-0.55
R.	••	<b>_3</b> ⋅84 ∫	0.01	0.02	-0.00
A.8—Police—					
0.	••	96.41	75.89	74·20	-1.69
$\mathbf{R}$ .	••	-20.52			2.00

The total savings of Rs.  $4\cdot39$  lakhs and Rs.  $22\cdot21$  lakhs under the above two group heads forming  $59\cdot2$  per cent. and  $23\cdot0$  per cent. respectively of the provision were attributed mainly to non-execution/slow progress of work due to (i) nonavailability of building materials, (ii) non-receipt/late receipt of administrative approval and (iii) disturbed situation in and around Calcutta.

Large saving occurred under these two group heads in 1962-63 also, owing to the reasons stated in (i) and (ii) above.

A.16-Miscellaneous Departments-

The total saving of Rs.  $4 \cdot 20$  lakhs in the original provision ( $62 \cdot 0$  per cent.) was attributed to non-execution of several works due to non-receipt of revised administrative approval and change in specification.

## Grant No. 48-Capital Outlay on Public Works-contd.

				Total Gran	ıt.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
<b>F</b> . I	DEVELOP	MENT SC	HEMES-			(In lakhs of ruj	pees.)
<b>F</b> .1—	F.1-Development of State Roads-						
F.1	(c)Estat	olishment-	-				
	0.	••	<b>57</b> • <b>4</b> 8	]	50	<b>F1</b> 04	1 53
	R.	••	$57 \cdot 48$ $-4 \cdot 92$	$\left. \right\} 52.$	96	51.04	-1.52
F.1(d)—Tools and Plant—							
	0.	••	$51 \cdot 37$		01	91 00	<b>A B</b> A
	$\mathbf{R}$ .	••	$-19 \cdot 36$	$\left. \right\} \qquad 32 \cdot $	01	$31 \cdot 62$	-0.39

The reasons for the total savings under the above two group heads were not furnished by the controlling authority.

(iv) In the following group heads the additional funds provided by re-appropriation on the 31st March, 1964 proved inadequate:

DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES F.

F.II-Other Development Schemes-

F.II(5)—Animal Husbandry—

0.	••	5.20	8.69	11.87	+3.18
$\mathbf{R}_{\cdot}$	••	3∙49 ∫	0.09	11.01	49.10

The final excess was mainly due to more expenditure on the shifting of Bengal Veterinary College from Belgachia and construction of a new building for the Institute of Veterinary Science at Kalyani for which less provision was stated to have been made due to emergency.

F.III—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

F.III(1)—Education— R.  $3 \cdot 40$ 3.407.68+4.28

The reasons for the final excess were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(v) In the following group heads, additional funds provided by reappropriation on the 31st March, 1964 proved wholly unnecessary or largely in excess of requirements :---

**B**—COMMUNICATIONS—

0.	••	12.63 ک	24.46	20.65	-3.81
R.	••	11.83			

The final saving occurred due mainly to non-finalisation of certain bills of contractors in connection with some new works taken up during 1963-64.

Grant No. 48-Gapital Outlay on Public Works-contd.

Total	Actual	Excess +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees.)

F.II-Other Development Schemes-

F.II(7)—Industries—Cottage Industries—

0.	••	$6 \cdot 71$	10.33	<b>4</b> ⋅ <b>4</b> 6	-5·87
R.	••	3.62 ∫			

The final saving was attributed mainly to non-payment of land compensation in connection with the acquisition of certain lands at Howrah.

(vi) The expenditure in the following case relates to the work on 'Improvement of feeder roads and approach roads' in the coal-field areas. Although an expenditure of Rs.  $10\cdot00$  lakhs was anticipated by Government at the time of preparation of the revised estimate in January, 1964, no provision was made under this head **by** re-appropriation or otherwise. The non-provision of funds was stated to be due to non-receipt of any demand from the executing Department [Public Works (Roads) Department] concerned.

### F. DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES\_

F.III—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

(vii) Review of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Development (Roads) Department.—The gross Establishment and Tools and Plant charges booked under the grant during the year 1963-64 amounted to Rs.  $51 \cdot 04$  lakhs and Rs.  $31 \cdot 62$  lakhs respectively against the total works outlay of Rs.  $4,13 \cdot 82$  lakhs which formed  $12 \cdot 3$  per cent. and  $7 \cdot 6$  per cent. respectively of the total works outlay.

Rs. 18.50 lakhs and Rs. 4.60 lakhs were recovered during the period under review on account of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges respectively for works done on behalf of Private Bodies, Other Departments, and Government. The net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges thus stood at Rs. 32.54 lakhs and Rs. 27.02 lakhs which formed 7.9 per cent. and 6.5 per cent. respectively of the total works outlay.

The following table shows the figures of such charges for the years 1961-62, 1962-63 and 1963-64 and their percentages to the total works outlay for the respective years :---

	Year.		Works outl <b>ay</b> .	Establish- ment charges.	Percentage to Works outlay.	Tools and Plant charges.	Percentage to Works outlay.
	1		2	3	4	5	6
						(In lakh	s of rupees.)
1961-62	••	••	<b>3,</b> 00 ·99	33 .88	11.3	27 ·8 <b>3</b>	9 ·2
1962-63	• •	••	3,04 ·43	<b>37</b> ·80	12 ·4	<b>35 ·7</b> 0	11 •7
1963-64			<b>4,13</b> ⋅82	<b>3</b> 2 •5 <b>4</b>	7 • 9	<b>27</b> ·02	6 ·5

140

(viii) Suspense.—The group head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction of roads etc. under Public Works Department. The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at pages 104-105 in Note (vii) below Grant No. 33—Irrigation.

The transactions under each unit of suspense for the year 1963-64 are exhibited below---

Major He	ad and deta units.	liled	Opening balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
	1		2	3	4	5	6
103—Capital Outlay on Public Works—							
Purchase	••	••	-2,45 •48	3,99 ·41	<b>4,98 ·36</b>	-98 ·95	-3 <b>,44 ·43</b>
Miscellane Advanc	eous Public V es.	Vorks	32.81	<b>3</b> 0 ·76	17 .05	13 .71	<b>46 ·52</b>
Stock	••	••	52.64	1,08 •13	1,00.72	<b>7 ·4</b> 1	60.05
	Total	••	-1,60 .03	5 <b>,3</b> 8 ·30	6,16 .13	-77 ·83	-2,37 ·86

#### Grant No. 49-Road and Water Transport Schemes.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess + Saving —
Major Heads "57—Road and Water Transport Schemes" and "114 Capital Outlay on Road and Water Transport Schemes".		Rs.	Rs.
Voted— Rs.			
Original 55,78,000 Supplementary	55,78,001	20,60,218	-35,17,783
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	g	••	32,67,340
Charged—			
Original Supplementary 21,00	21,000	7,300	-13,700
Amount surrendered durin the year (March, 1964).		••	13,700
10			

### Notes and comments----

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The saving of Rs.  $35 \cdot 18$  lakhs in the grant formed  $63 \cdot 0$  per cent. of the original provision, out of which a sum of Rs.  $32 \cdot 67$  lakhs was surrendered on the 31st March, 1964.

(ii) Huge savings also occurred under the grant during the previous three years as follows :---

	Year.			Provision	Saving.	Percentage of the saving to the provision.
					(In lakhs	of rupees.)
1960-61	••	••	••	<b>4,36</b> ·77	3,38 •0	0 77 •4
1961-62	••	••	••	28.06	20 .7	1 73.8
1962-63	••		••	29.00	<b>16</b> •0	3

The saving in 1960-61 was attributed to transfer of the State Transport Services, Cooch Behar, Calcutta and its surrounding areas to the State Transport Corporation; the savings during 1961-62 and 1962-63 were attributed mainly to non-finalisation of estimates and non-completion of land acquisition formalities.

(iii) The saving under the grant occurred mainly under the following group heads.

Total	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess}$ +
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees.)

#### Major Head "114—Capital Outlay, etc."—

(1) Road Transport—

 $\begin{array}{cccc} O. & .. & 41 \cdot 50 \\ R. & .. & -24 \cdot 49 \end{array} \right\} \qquad 17 \cdot 01 \qquad 14 \cdot 67 \qquad -2 \cdot 34 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 26.83 lakhs in the original provision (64.7 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to—

- (a) non-transfer of old buses of Durgapur Steel Plant to the Durgapur State Transport Authorities during the year (Rs. 4 ·89 lakhs),
- (b) non-finalisation of Plan and estimates by the Calcutta Metropolitan Planning Organisation in connection with the "Improvement of road and parking facilities in front of the Sealdah and Howrah Railway Stations" (Rs. 4.25 lakhs). This work has remained unexecuted since 1961-62, and
- (c) non-setting up of the 'Road Transport Organisations' owing to non-availability of vehicles (Rs. 10.57 lakhs).

(2) Tourism—

0.	••	ך 14 -28			
			1.92	2.02	+0.10
R.	••	-12.36			

142

The net saving of Rs. 12.26 lakhs in the original provision (85.8 per cent.) was attributed mainly to—

- (a) non-payment of certain bills owing to delay in submission thereof by Durgapur Projects Ltd. (Rs. 0.65 lakh),
- (b) non-materialisation of the acquisition of 3 air-conditioned buses and other Tourist Vehicles (Rs. 6.93 lakhs),
- (c) non-setting up of several 'Rest Houses' owing to revision of the plans and estimates (Rs. 3 ·88 lakhs), and
- (d) less expenditure on 'Tourist Publicity' due to non-finalisation of tourist folders (Rs. 0.65 lakh).

The schemes which remained unimplemented during the year are as follows :

			Provision		
			(In lakhs of rupees.)		
1.	Rest House at Bishnupur	••	••	0.50	
2.	Rest House at Digha	••	••	1.98	
3.	Rest House at Berhampore	••	••	1 • 40	

These schemes remained unimplemented since the year 1961-62.

### Grant No. 50—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading

	Total Grant or Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "124—Capital Outla on schemes of Government trading."			
Voted— Rs.			
Original         14,18,67,000           Supplementary         14,86,67,000	<b>29.05.34.000</b>	25.89.56.964	-3.15.77.036
Supplementary 14,86,67,000	}		
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	80,26,558
Charged—			
Original Supplementary 23,000	} 23.000	24,850	+1,850
Supplementary 23,000	<b>j</b> ,	,	(-,
Amount surrendered during the year.		••	Nil.

The expenditure shown in the charged appropriation does not include a sum of Rs. 2,394 met out of advances from the Contingency fund of the State, but not re-imbursed to the fund before the close of the year. The advances were sanctioned in December, 1963 (Rs. 797) and in February, 1964 (Rs. 1,597) for meeting decretal costs.

#### 144 Grant No. 50-Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading-contd.

#### Notes and comments-

R.

#### Voted grant

(i) The saving was Rs. 3,15.77 lakhs; out of this a sum of Rs. 80.27 lakhs only was surrendered and that too on the 31st March, 1964.

(ii) In the following group heads, supplementary grants obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1964 remained unutilised :--

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure			Excess + Saving -	
		(In	lakhs	of	rupees)	
(a) B—Other Miscellaneous Schemes B(i)—Purchase of sugar—						
S 4.98	1					

••

• •

s. -4·98 } ··

The provision made for meeting arrear charges in respect of customs duty, port charges, etc. relating to transactions of the previous years remained unutilised owing to non-receipt of bills from the Government of India.

(b) B(ii)-Materials and Equipments under T. C. A. Programme.

(i) Greater Calcutta Milk Supply Scheme-

> ·· ·· } 0. 10 **·97** -10.97S.

The value of equipment received under the T. C. A. programme in connection with the Greater Calcutta Milk Supply Scheme was initially debitable to this head pending final transfer to the project head under Grant No. 24-Animal Husbandry. No adjustment was however, made during the year as the State Government could not decide whether the expenditure would be treated as a plan-expenditure or a non-plan one.

(c) B(iii)—Ma and Tiles Tile Board.	under the	of Bricks Brick and			
0.	••	ر 18∙00 J			
<b>S.</b>	••	12·10 >	<b>36 ·10</b>	17 •52	-18·58
R.	••	6.00 J			

The reasons for the final saving were not received from the controlling officer.

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :---

	Total	Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
A. GRAIN PURCHASE SCHEMES			(In lakhs of	rupees)
(a) A(iv)—Scheme for supply of food stuff to the staff under Cin- chona and other ancillary planta- tions.		5 ·60	<b>3 ∙59</b>	-2·01

The saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 01$  lakhs ( $35 \cdot 9$  per cent. of the provision) was due to excessive provision of funds in the original budget. No portion of the saving was surrendered.

(b) A(v)—Scheme for supply of food stuff to the staff under Cinchona Plantations—

0.	••	3·50 ]			
		1	2.06	0.17	-1·89
R.	••	<b>_1</b> ·44 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 3.33 lakhs (95.1 per cent. of the provision) was due to excessive provision of funds in the original estimates. Out of this, a sum of Rs. 1.09 lakhs forming 65.8 per cent. was not surrendered due to inaccurate fixation of net grant.

(c) A(vi)—Scheme for supply of	2.46	0 • 16	-2.30
food stuff to the staff under Medi-			
cinal Plantations.			

The saving formed 93.5 per cent. of the provision. The reasons for the final saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

#### CHARGED APPROPRIATION

The excess of Rs. 1,850 in the charged appropriation requires to be regularised. The excess occurred under the sub-head indicated below :

			Total Appropration	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
AGRAIN P	URCHAS	ESCHEME	8	(In lakhs of	rupees)
A(i)—Purchas than wheat grains—	se of Food —Cost of	grains other purchase of			
0.	••	• •	} 0.23	0.25	+0.02
s.	••	0 •23	۰ <b>۵۵</b>	0 20	

	Total Appropriation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "Debt raised in India"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original 16,67,76,000 Supplementary	16 67 76 000	14 47 34 352	-2 20 41 648
Supplementary	5 10,01,0000	11,11,01,000	<i>w</i> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Amount surrendered during the	••	••	3,96,57,358

year (March, 1964).

#### Notes and comments----

(i) The saving of Rs. 2,20.42 lakhs in the charged appropriation formed 13.2 per cent. of the original appropriation.

The saving which occurred mainly under the following group head was due to non-utilisation of provision for repayment of advances from the State Bank of India for financing procurement operation as the situation did not demand drawal of such advances.

A.II—Floating Debt—Other Floating Loans—

(In lakhs of rupees)

• •

. .

Cash credit Advance from the State Bank of India—

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0. & .. & 4,00.00 \\ \mathbf{R}. & .. & -4,00.00 \end{array} \right\} \quad .. \\ \end{array}$ 

In the preceding three years also, the savings under the appropriation were Rs. 2,96.37 lakhs (20.4 per cent. of the provision), Rs. 4,54.70 lakhs (36.8 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 5,54.11 lakhs (51.2 per cent. of the provision) for the same reason.

(ii) The surrender of Rs. 3,96.57 lakhs made on the 31st March, 1964 proved excessive in view of the final saving of Rs. 2,20.42 lakhs only in the appropriation.

(iii) In the following group head, the provision remained wholly unutilised :

A(iv)-OTHER LOANS

(a) Loans from the Khadi and Village Industries Commission—

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0}. & \dots & \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{46} \\ \mathbf{R}. & \dots & -\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{46} \end{array} \right\} \quad \dots \\ \end{array}$ 

The provision represents the amount payable by the State Khadi Board through the State Government, in repayment of loans to the 'Khadi and Village Industries Commission'. Due to a decision (August, 1962) that such repayments would henceforward be made directly by the State Khadi Board without routing the same through the State Government, the provision under this grant remained unutilised.

As, however, the above change in the procedure of repayment had been decided upon as early as August, 1962, provision made for the repayment in the Original Budget for 1963-64 was unnecessary. This indicates defective budgeting. (iv) In the following group head the expenditure exceeded the original provision by Rs. 1,87.53 lakhs (21.1 per cent.). The reasons for the excess and non-provision of adequate additional funds were not furnished by the controlling authority.

			_	otal priation	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —
munity I	luding Loan	ns for Com- t Projects			(In lakh	s of rupees.)
0. R.	••• ••	8,86 ·89 9 ·71	}	8,96 ·60	10,74 ·42	+1,77 -82

In the previous year also, the expenditure under this group head exceeded the provision (Rs. 8,67.75 lakhs) by Rs. 1,03.21 lakhs (11.9 per cent.).

#### Grant No. 52—Loans and Advances by State Government (All Voted)

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving -
Major Heads "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc.," and "Loans to Government Servants".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original          33,18,31,000           Supplementary         3,01,03,000	36.19.34.000	35,28,66,825	-90,67,175
Supplementary 3,01,03,000	]	,,-	
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1964).	••	••	1,77,09,061

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) In view of the saving of Rs. 90.67 lakhs in the grant, the augmentation of provision by supplementary grant proved excessive.

The saving occurred mainly under the following group head :--

 $H \longrightarrow LOANS AND ADVANCES (In lakhs of rupees) (In l$ 

#### 148 Grant No. 52—Loans and Advances by State Government—contd.

The net saving of Rs. 98.80 lakhs in the total provision was due mainly to:

- (a) less payment of loans owing to non-fulfilment of requisite conditions by the local bodies for Loans under "Urban Water Supply and Sanitation" (Rs. 9 ·17 lakhs);
- (b) non-finalisation of preliminaries for "Loans for fruit development" (Rs. 2.50 lakhs);
- (c) partial implementation of the scheme for payment of "Loans under Greater Calcutta Milk Supply Scheme" owing to non-receipt of loan applications from the licentiate suppliers and non-execution of the component scheme for rendering credit facilities to the rural milk producers and suppliers (Rs. 9 .78 lakhs),
- (d) less demand for loans by the Government servants owing to paucity of building material in respect of "Loans under Low-Income Group Housing Scheme" (Rs. 23 00 lakhs).

(ii) There were large savings under this grant during the last six years varying between 10.5 and 34.6 per cent. of the total provisions.

(iii) In the following group heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :---

Total	Grant	Actual Expenditure			Excess Saving	
		(In	lakhs	of	rupees)	

• •

. .

#### Major Head "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."

A—LOANS TO PRESIDENCY CORPORATION INCLUDING PORT TRUSTS AND OTHER PORT FUNDS

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{0}. & \dots & \mathbf{2} \cdot 58 \\ \mathbf{R}. & \dots & -\mathbf{2} \cdot 58 \end{array} \right\} \quad \dots$ 

The saving occurred due to non-receipt of any proposal from the Calcutta Corporation for the grant of the loan.

### **D-LOANS TO ARTISANS**

(Rehabilitation Programme)

 $\begin{array}{cccc} 0. & \dots & 3 \cdot 00 \\ R. & \dots & -2 \cdot 48 \end{array} \right\} \quad 0 \cdot 52 \quad 0 \cdot 21 \quad -0 \cdot 31 \\ \end{array}$ 

The total saving of Rs. 2.79 lakhs in the original provision (93.0 per cent.) was stated to be due to less demand for loans from the artisans in several districts.

In the previous two years as well, there were appreciable savings under this group head, as indicated below for the same reasons :---

Year		Provision	Saving
	(In lakhs of	rupees.)	
1961-62	••	<b>6</b> ·50	<b>4</b> • <b>4</b> 2
1962-63	••	3.00	1.59

Grant No. 52—Loans and Advances by State Government—concld.

		Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess + Saving —	
E-ADVANC	ES UNDE LAWS.	R SPECIAL	(In lakhs of	rupees)	
0.	LA W 5.	5.00			
R.	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 5\cdot 00 \\ -5\cdot 00 \end{array} \right\} $	0.04	4 +0·04	
The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $4.96$ lakhs in the original provision (99.2 per cent.) were not furnished by the controlling officer.					

H-LOANS AND ADVANCES UNDER DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES.

H(b)—Centrally-sponsored schemes (Committed Expenditure)—

Loans to Municipalities-

The saving of Rs. 9.86 lakhs in the original provision  $(71 \cdot 1 \text{ per cent.})$  was attributed to non-payment of loans to several municipalities in respect of "Loans under the National Water Supply and Sanitation Scheme" due to non-fulfilment of the requisite conditions by them.

(iv) In the following group heads, the excess remained uncovered and the supplementary grant obtained on the 30th March, 1964 proved largely inadequate :---

#### Major Head "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."

G-MISCEI AN	LLANEOUS D ADVAN(				
<b>O</b> .	••	52.15			
S.	••	17.31	<b>62</b> · 11	<b>98</b> •82	+36.71
R.	••	-7·35			

The reasons for the excess were not furnished by the controlling officer. In view of the final excess, the reduction of provision made by reappropriation/surrender on the 31st March, 1964 proved unjustified.

#### Major Head "Loans to Government Servants".

J-ADVANC OF MOTO		PURCHASE EYANCES.—			
0.	••	<b>4</b> ∙00	<b>2</b> .00	2.43	+0.43
R.	••	$-2.00 \int$	2.00	2.49	40.49

The net saving of Rs. 1.57 lakhs in the original provision (39.3 per cent.) was stated to be due to less domand for such advances from the Government servant.

## Statement showing Grant-wise details of recoveries adjusted

# (Referred to in the Summary of

Serial No.	Number and ]	Budget Estimate				
						Rs.
1.	2-Land Revenue	••	••	••	••	9,81,000
2.	7— Stamps	••	••	••	••	56,000
3.	8—Registration Fees	••	••	••	••	5,000
4.	Interest on Debt a	)	3,96,59,000			
5.	11—Parliament and	State Le	egislatures	••	••	6,38,000
6.	12-General Administ		- Voted			1,90,000
				••	••	
_			Charged	••	••	<i>53,000</i>
7.	13—Administration of	Justice		••	••	4,000
8.	14—Jails	••	••	••	••	9,74,000
9.	15—Police	••	••	••	••	78,77,000
10.	17-Miscellaneous Der	artmen	ts including	Fire Servi	ces	98,000
11.	19—Education	••	••	••	••	1,83,73,000
12.	20-Medical	••	••	••	••	2,97,69,000
13.	21—Public Health	•••	••	••	••	14,50,000
14.	22—Agriculture—Agr	iculture	••	••	••	7,82,000
15.	23—Agriculture—Fish	eries	••	••	••	10,000
16.	24—Animal Husbandı	у	••	••	••	3,47,65,000
17.	25-Co-operation	••	••	••	••	1,47,000
18.	26-Industries-Indu	stries	••	••	••	46,98,000
19.	28-Industries-Cincl	ona	••	••	••	6,00,000
20.	29-Community Deve	lopment	t Projects	•••	••	1,00,000
21.	30-Labour and Emp	loyment	· • •	••	••	5,21,000
22.	32—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations— Excluding Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes.					

## ÐIX

## in reduction of expenditure in the Accounts for 1963-64.

## Appropriation Accounts at page 7)

Appropriation Accounts at page 7)		Actuals compared with			
Revised Estimate	Actuals	Budget Estimate More + Less -	Revised Estimate More + Less -		
Rs.	$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}}$ .	Rs.	Rs.		
10,55,000	6,47,777	-3,33,223	-4,07,223		
59,000	58,538	+2,538	-462		
5,000	4,995	—5	—5		
3,84,47,000	2,23,51,345	-1,73,07,655	-1,60,95,655		
5,45,000	5,45,000	-93,000	••		
3,13,000	1,64,398	-25,602	1,48,602		
56,000	56,020	+3,020	+20		
4,000	4,000	••			
11,13,000	11,35,530	+1,61,530	+22,530		
77,40,000	62,62,607				
81,000	43,666	-54,334	-37,334		
1,63,33,000	1,69,56,410	-14,16,590	+6,23,410		
2,06,89,000	1,57,44,258	-1,40,24,742	-49,44,742		
36,00,000	1,03,31,466	+88,81,466	+67,31,466		
9,12,000	2,57,579	-5,24,421	-6,54,421		
••	9,360	⊷640	+9,360		
2,39,54,240	2,22,32,664	-1,25,32,336	-17,21,576		
••	13,500	-1,33,500	+13,500		
24,29,000	12,83,998	34,14,002	-11,45,002		
6,00,000	5,97,722	-2,278	-2,278		
69,000	6,07,622	+5,07,622	+5,38,622		
1,21,54,000	65,36,175	+60,15,175	56,17,825		
31,55,000	30,36,935		-1,18,065		

Serial No.	Number and N	Budget Estimate				
						Rs.
23.	33-Irrigation	••	••		••	6,42,000
24.	34—Public Works—					
	Voted	••	••	••	••	5,88,73,000
	Charged	••	••	••	••	••
25.	35—Ports and Pilotage	,	••	•••	••	30,000
26.	36—Famine Relief	••	••	••	••	3,50,000
27.	37—Pensions and Othe	r Retireme	nt Benefits	••	••	3,30,000
28.	39-Stationery and Pri	nting	••	••	••	6,41,000
29.	40—Forest	••	••	••	••	19,49,000
<b>3</b> 0.	42-Miscellaneous-Ot	her Miscella	neous Expe	enditure	••	74,43,000
31.	43-Miscellaneous-Ex					
	Voted	••	••	••	••	2,06,65,000
	Charged	••	••	••	••	5,000
32.	46—Expenditure conno 1962.	ccted with	the Nation	al Emergen	cy,	85 <b>,56,000</b>
33.	47-Multipurpose Rive	er Schemes	••	••	••	8,47,53,000
34.	50—Capital Outlay on	Schemes of	Governme	nt Trading	••	14,85,50,000
	Total:				-	
	Voted	••	••	••	••	43,86,75,000
	Charged	••	••	••	••	3,97,17,000
	Grand Total	••	••	••		47,83,92,000

APPENDIX

152

-concld.

**Revised** Estimate Actuals **Budget Estimate Revised Estimate** More+ Less-More+ Less-Rs. Rs. Rs. Rs. 1,40,08,000 2,59,00,664 +2,52,58,664+1,18,92,6648,42,49,000 12,86,32,800 +6,97,59,800+4,43,83,8001.10.000 1,02,681 +1,02,681-7,319 65,000 94,123 +64,123+29,1239,75,000 80,01,970 +76,51,970+70,26,9704.50,000 4,21,198 +91,198-28,8026,12,000 -1,04,953-75,9535,36,047 11,65,000 15,43,101 -4,05,899+3,78,10141,72,000 73,28,015 -1,14,985+31,56,0152,28,32,000 1,15,16,310 -91,48,690-1,13,15,69014,000 -5,000-14,000 • • 66,79.500 7,16,000 -78,40,000-59,63,500 8,07,94,000 5,05,02,560 -3,42,50,440-3,02,91,44030,09,59,000 24,26,80,286 +9,41,30,286-5,82,78,714 61,17,70,740 56,43,47,274 +12,56,72,274-4,74,23,466 3,86,27,000 -1.72.06.954-1,61,16,9542,25,10,046 65,03,97,740 58,68,57,320 +10,84,65,320-6,35,40,420

WBGP-65/6-1144R-800.

Actuals compared with