

## Government of West Bengal

# Appropriation Accounts 1962-63

Superintendent, Government Printing West Bengal Government Press, Alipore, West Bengal 1964

Page 14 - Note(ii)(a) - Nomenclature of sub-head(xvi)-Read'Saving'for 'sving' Fage 15 - Explanation under sub-head(xxxv)-line 4-Read'(Rs.1.92 lakhs)' for '(R 1.92 lakhs)' Fage 16 - Nomenclature of sub-head A(2)- dead'Provident'for 'Povident' Page 17 - Nomenclature of sub-head(xxviii) - Read'Development' for 'Devement' Fage 18 - Heading - Read 'Appropriation' for 'Approprition' "-Notes - statement 11 - Notes - line 2 below the statement - Read'page 147' for 'pages 146-147' Page 27 - Notes 1st line - Read 'Rs.3.81 lakhs' for 'Rs.3.18 lakhs' Page 40 - Explanation below Group Head W(v) - line 7 - last word -Read 'indigenous' for 'indigeneous' 11 - Note(v) - Last-sub-para - Read 'is' for 'was' Fage 47 - Heading - Read 'Grant No.' for 'Gront No.' Page 54 - Note(vii) - sub-para 3 - line 1 - Read 'transactions' for 'transaction' Fage 58 - Name of the Major Head - Read'Co-operation' for 'Co-operation' - Note(iii) - Read 'Subsidies' for 'Subsidies' Fage 61 - Explanation under Group Head F.4-2nd line - Read'consequently' for 'cansequently' Fage 62 - Note(ii) - Explanation under Group Head D.1-Line 7 - Read 'artisans' for 'artisan' Page 64 - Preamble of Note(ii) - last word - Read'extent' for 'exent' Page 65 - Name of the Major Heads - Read'Obligations' for 'Obligations' Fage 68 - Name of the Major Head - Read 'Loans' for 'Leans' Fage 69 - Explanation under Group Head L-3rd line - Read'Contribution to the' for 'Contribution the' ١t - Explanation under Group Head 0.1-Read'(27.7 percent)' for '(26.7 percent)' Fage 71 - Group Head B(i)(b)-delete\*preliminaries' below the Explanation 11 - Group Head B(v)(a) - Explanation - 2nd sub-para - item 4 figures under provision - kead '0,85' for '0.80' Fage 75 - 1st Group Head - Explanation - 2nd sub-para - Read 'Rs. 17.81 lakhs for 'Rs. 12.81 lakhs' 11 for 'Rs.35.50lakhs' 11 11 11 "180.6 percent'for 11 '83.9 parcant' - Last line - Read 'Sealdahgong'for 'Sealdah' Page 76 - Note(iv) - 1st sub-para - line 7 - Read'principles' for'principle' Fage 77 - Item(v) - 2nd sub-para - line 3 - Read'Rs. 5.06' for '5.06' and '18.9 percent for '18 percent'

Page 78 - Detailed transactions of Suspense under Major Head '42-Multipurpose River Scheme' - C-Other Revenue Expenditure' - Col.2-Against 'Purchase' - Read'2.08' for '08'
Page 87 - Name' of the Major Heads - Read'120-Payments of' for '120-Payment of'
Fage 95 - Heading - Read 'Grant No.' for 'Gront No.'
" - Group Head P(a)(ii)-Explanation-last line-Read'(-)Rs.15.001akhs' for '(t) Rs.15.00 lakhs'
Page 98 - Sub-head H-4-Explanation - 2nd line - Read'rehabilitation' for "rehabilitation'
" " " 3rd line - Read'for' for 'or'
Page 100- Heading - Read 'Grant No.'43'-Miscellaneous'expenditure on' for 'Grant No.43 - iscellanus' - Expendit r on'
" - Nomenclature of the Group Head Q - Read'Displaced' for 'Dispalced'
Page 101- Note(v) (a) - figures under 1962-63,against(b) Rehabilitation - Read '299.96' for '300.00'
Note(v) (a) - figures under 1962.63, against Total - head '448.38' for '448.42'
Page 106- Explanation - line 2 - Read '11-Group Heads' for'II-Group Heads'
Page 111- Note(i) - 2nd line - Read 'partial utilisation' for 'non-utilisation'
Page 113 - Group Head H(c) - Col.1 - against'O' - Read'1,29.77' for '29.77'



## **Government of West Bengal**

# Appropriation Accounts 1962-63

## © Comptroller and Auditor General of India 1964



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
------

Introductory	••	••	••	• (	••	1
Summary of Appropriation Account	ts	••	••	••	••	2-7
Appropriation Accounts						
Grant No. 1-Taxes on Income ot	her than Co	rporation I	`ax	•••	••	8
2—Land Revenue	••	••	• •	••	••	8-10
3—State Excise Duties	••	••	• •	••	• •	10
Taxes on Vehicles	••	••	••	••	••	11
5-Sales Tax	••	••	••	••	••	11
6-Other Taxes and Du	tios	••	••	••	••	11
7—Stamps	••	••	••	••	••	12
8—Registration Fees	••	• •	••	• •	••	13
9—Interest on Debt and	d Other Obl	ligations	••	••	••	13-17
Appropriation for R	eduction or	Avoid <b>a</b> nce	of Debt	••	••	18
11-Parliament and Stat	e Legislatu	<b>105</b>	••	••	••	18-19
12—General Administrat	ion	••	••	••	••	20-23
13—Administration of Ju	ıstice	••	••	••	••	2 <b>3-26</b>
14-Jails	• •	••	••	••	••	27
15—Police	••	••	••	••	••	27-28
16—Miscellaneous Depart	tments—Fi	re Services	••	••	••	<b>29</b>
17—Miscellaneous Depart	tments—Ex	cluding Fir	e Services	••	••	29-32
18—Scientific Departmen	te	••	••	••	••	33
19Education	• •	••	••	••	••	33-40
20—Medical	••	••	• •	••	••	41-49
21—Public Health	• •	••	••	••	••	50-51
22—Agriculture—Agricul	ture	••	••	••	••	51-54
23AgricultureFisheric	<b>08</b>	• •	••	••	••	<b>54-5</b> 5
24—Animal Husbandry	• •	••	••	••	••	<b>55</b> -57
25—Co-operation	••	• •	••	••	••	58
26—Industries—Industri	<b>es</b>	••	••	••	••	<b>59</b> -61
27-Industries-Cottage	Industries	••	••	••	••	62- <b>63</b>
28—Industries—Cunchon	B	••	••	••	••	64
29—Community Develop	ment Proje	ots	••	••	••	65-69
30-Labour and Employ	ment	••	••	••	••	70

						Page
Grant No.	31—Miscellaneous Social and of Scheduled Tribes and Cas	Development ites and other	al Organ Backwar	isations—We d Classes.	elfare	71
	32—Miscellaneous Social and D Welfare of Scheduled Tribes	evolopmenta and Castes a	l Organisa nd other B	tions—Exclu ackward Cla	uding sses.	72
	33—Irrigation	••	••	••	••	73.79
	34Public Works	••	••	••	••	80-83
	35—Ports and Pilotage	• •	••	••	••	84
	36Famine Relief	••	••	• •	•.•	85-87
	37-Pensions and Other Retirem	ent Benefits	••	• •	••	87-88
	38-Privy Purses and Allowance	s of Indian R	ulers	••	••	<b>` 89</b>
	39-Stationery and Printing	••	••	••		89
	40-Forest	••	••	• •	••	90
	41Miscellaneous-Contribution	s	••	••	••	91
	42-Miscellaneous-Other Miscel	laneous Expe	onditure	••	••	91-95
	43-Miscellaneous-Expenditure	on Displaced	l Persons	••	••	96-10 <b>1</b>
	45—Pre-partition Payments	••	••	• •	••	102
	45A.—Expenditure connected wi	th the Nation	nal Emerg	ency, 1962	• •	102-103
	46—Capital Outlay on Multipur Project.	pose River S	Schemes	Damodar V	alley	104
	47-Capital Outlay on Public Wo	orks	••	••	••	1 <b>04-</b> 108
	48—Capital Outlay on Road and	Water Trans	port Sche	mes	••	108
	49—Capital Outlay on Schemes o	of Governmen	t Trading	••	••	109-110
	Public Debt	••	••	••	••	110-111
	51—Loans and Advances by Stat	e Governmer	nt	••	••	111-113

## Appropriation Accounts of the Government of West Bengal for the year 1962-63

## INTRODUCTORY

This compilation containing the Appropriation Accounts of the Government of West Bengal for the year 1962-63, presents the accounts of sums expended in the year ended the 31st March, 1963 compared with the sums specified in the Schedules appended to the Appropriation Acts passed under Articles 204 and 205 of the Constitution of India.

In these Accounts-

'O' stands for original grant or appropriation.

'S' stands for supplementary grant or appropriation.

'R' stands for re-appropriations, withdrawals or surrenders sanctioned by a competent authority.

Charged appropriations and expenditure are shown in *italics*.

In accordance with the "Net System of Voting" followed by the Government of West Bengal, the grants and appropriations shown in the Appropriation Acts, and consequently in the Appropriation Accounts, are for the net amounts required for expenditure after taking credit for certain recoveries which are adjusted in accounts in reduction of expenditure. The Appropriation Accounts included in this publication accordingly show in respect of each grant

(i) the gross expenditure envisaged in the Budget

(ii) the amount of recoveries anticipated to be taken as deduction from the gross expenditure and

(iii) the net amount for which the grant was voted by the Legislature along with the corresponding actuals and the variations from Budget (Excess or Saving).

N	umber and n or Appro	ame of (	Grant	Grant or Appropriation.	Expenditure.	Expenditure c Grant or Ap	ompared with propriation.
		•	·			Less than granted/ appropriated.	More than granted/
	:	1		8	3	4	5
1.	Taxes on than Corpor	Income ation Ta	other x	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Voted	••	••	6,96,000	6,66,472	29,528	••
	Oharged	••	• •	2,000	150	1,850	• •
2.	Land Reve	nue					
	Voted	••	••	8,55,44,000	6,40,92,936	2,14,51,064	••
	Charged	••	••	9,000	10,364	• •	1,364
3.	State Exci	se Duties	┝━━				
	Voted	••	••	<b>52,86,0</b> 00	52,29,559	56,441	• •
	Charged	••	••	363	362	1	••
	Taxes on V	ehicles-	-				
	Charged	••	••	4,50,000	<b>4</b> ,50,000	••	••
5.	Sales Tax-	-					
	Voted	••	••	30,90,000	30,16,497	73,503	••
	Cha <b>rg</b> ed	••	••	3,000	••	3,000	• •
6.	Other Tax	es and D	uties—				
	Voted	••	••	14,63,000	14,27,529	35,471	• •
7.	Stamps-						
	Voted	••		12,77,000	13,79,212	••	1,02,212
8.	Registratio	on Fees	-				
	Voted	••	••	29,37,000	29,28,863	8,137	••
	Charged	••	••	905	905	• •	۱
9.	Interest on Obligations	Debt and	d Other				
	Voted	••	••	50,00,000	6,24,981	43,75,019	• -
	Charged	••	••	7,66,63,000	8,00,27,712	••	33,64,712
	Appropriat tion or Debt—	ion for Avoidan	Reduc- ce of				
	Charged	••	••	3,78,30,000	3,78,30,000	••	••
11.	Parliament Legislatures	and 	State			•	
	Voted	••	••	33,63,000	37,71,355	• •	4,08,355
	Charged	••	••	77,000	73,202	3,798	••

-

## Summary of Appropriation Accounts.

N	umber and r or Appro			Grant or Appropriation.	Expenditure.		compared with propriation.
						Less than granted/ appropriated.	More than granted/ appropriated.
	I	L		2	3	4	б
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
12.	General Ad	minist	ration	•			
	Voted	••	••	3,93,74,000	3,95,73,269	••	1,99,269
	Charged	••	••	11,48,000	11,63,145	••	15,145
13.	Administra	tion of					
10.	Voted			1,06,49,000	1,06,61,221		12,221
		• •	• •	36,62,000		• •	73,645
	Charged		••	30,02,000	37,35,645	• •	73,043
14.	Jails—				1		
	Voted	••	••	1,15,22,000	1,11,41,100	3,80,900	<b>618</b>
15.	Police						
	Voted	••	••	10,34,20,000	10,22,42,805	11,77,195	•••
	Charged	••	••	11,561	10,099	1,462	• •
16.	Miscellaneo ments Fire	ous Servi	Depart- ices—				
	Voted	••	••	49,33,950	49,38,480	• •	4,530
17.	Miscellaneo ments—Exc Services—		Depart- g Fire				
	Voted	••	••	1,89,17,000	1,89,28,870	••	11,870
	Oharged	••	••	5,000	2,468	2,532	• •
18.	Scientific D	ep <b>art</b> i	ments				
	Voted	•	••	77,000	74,000	3,000	••
<b>19</b> .	Education-	_					
	Voted		••	21,67,23,000	23,35,97,363	••	1,68,74,363
20.	Medical						
	Voted		••	7,56,47,400	8,15,75,471	••	59,28,071
	Charged		••	11,600	4,250	7,350	••
21.	_			- •			
	Voted		••	3,61,57,000	3,35,76,976	25,80,024	••
	Charged	••	••	69,700	69,553	147	
22.	Agriculture					121	••
40.	Voted	-			8 00 40 900	9 KK 60 09 4	
	A Ored	••	••	9,55,36,000	5,99,49,366	3,55,86,634	• •

			Appropriation.		Giano di Ap	propriation.
					Less than granted/ appropriated.	More than granted/ appropriated.
	1		2	3	4	5
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
23.	Agriculture—Fisl	heries		•		
	Voted	••	48,27,000	26,87,193	21,39,807	••
24.	Animal Husband	ry				
	Voted	••	2,06,92,000	1,44,58,188	62,33,812	••
25.	Co-operation—					
	Voted	••	73,24,000	57,05,474	16,18,526	••
26.	Industries—Indu	stries—				
	Voted	••	2,72,24,000	2,37,92,875	34,31,125	••
27.	Industries—Cotta dustries—	age In-				
	Voted	••	2,36,95,000	1,48,44,030	88,50,970	••
28.	Industries—Cinc	hona				
	Voted	••	<b>4</b> 5,49,000	19,61,597	25,87,403	••
29.	Community Dev Projects—	velopment				
	Voted	••	3,45,61,000	3,54,17,181	••	8,56,181
	Charged	••	46,70,000	46,22,464	47,536	••
30.	Labour and Em	ployment—	-			
	Voted	••	32,99,000	31,61,695	1,37,305	••
31.	Developmental tions—Welfare duled Tribes as	Organisa- of Sche-				
	Voted	••	1,31,16,000	1,06,24,412	24,91,588	• •
	Charged	••	1,589	1,588	1	• •
32.	Miscellaneous & Developmental tions—Excluding of Scheduled T Castes and other Classes—	Organisa- g Welfare ribes and				
	Voted	••	79,28,000	77,74,578	1,53,422	••
83.	Irrigation					
	Voted	••	8,15,48,000	7,74,83,756	40,64,244	••
	Charged	••	1,000	243	757	••

Number and name of Grant or Appropriation.		f Grant n.	Grant or Appropriation.	Expenditure.	Expenditure compared with Grant or Appropriation.		
						Less than granted/ appropriated.	More than granted/ appropriated.
		1		2	3	4	5
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
34.	Public Wo	rks					
	Voted	••	••	5,19,36,000	4,43,22,284	76,13,766	••
	Charged	••	• ••	16,09,000	14,73,211	1,35,789	• •
35.	Ports and I	Pilotage					
	Voted	••	••	19,73,900	15,12,914	4,60,986	• •
36.	Famine Re	lief—					
	Voted	••	••	5,19,71,000	4,87,78,930	31,92,070	••
	Charged	••	••	1,811	382	1,429	••
37.	Pensions Retirement	and Benefit	Other ts—				
	Voted	••	••	1,82,97,000	1,92,64,516	••	9,67,516
	Charged	••	••	2,39,000	2,32,510	6,490	• •
38.	Privy Allowances Rulers—	Purses of	and Indian				
	Voted	••	••	1,70,000	1,50,166	19,834	• •
<b>3</b> 9.	Stationery	and Pr	inting				
	Voted	••		92,67,000	85,38,076	7,28.924	• •
40.	Forest-					-	
	Voted		••	1,70,21,000	1,43,84,630	26,36,370	••
41.	Miscellane tions	ousCo	ntribu-				
	Voted	••	••`	3,59,72,000	3,49,54,293	10,17,707	• •
	Charged	••	••	8,08,000	6,38,040	1,69,960	• •
<b>4</b> 2.	Miscellane cellaneous						
	Voted	••	••	16,92,79,000	14,99,52,002	1,93,26,998	••
	Charged	••	••	3,15,000	34,606	2,80,394	••
43.	Miscellane ture on Dis	ous—E: placed l	xpendi- Persons	-			
	Voted	••	••	3,37,77,000	3,68,42,533	••	30,65,533
	• Charged	••	· ••	46,49,000	46,48,449	551	••
45.	Pre-partiti	on Pay	ments				
	Voted	••	••	5 <b>3,</b> 000	51,556	1,444	••

## Summary of Appropriation Accounts --- contd.

N	umber and na or Appro		nt	Grant or Appropriation	Expenditure. n.	Expenditure c Grant or Ap	
						Less than granted/ appropriated.	More than granted/ appropriated.
	1			2	3	4	5
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
<b>4</b> 5A	. Expenditu with the Na gency, 1962-	ational E	oted mer-				
	Voted	••	• •	<b>33,03,</b> 000	40,16,286	•••	7,13,286
46.	Capital Out purpose Riv Damodar Va	ver Schem	<b>08</b>				
	Voted	••	••	7,36,94,000	4,53,26,000	2,83,68,000	••
<b>4</b> 7.	Capital Out Works—	lay on Pu	ublic				
	Voted	••	••	10,46,37,000	7,61,81,860	2,84,55,140	••
	Charged	••	••	1,87,000	1,66,540	20,460	••
48.	Capital Ou and Wate Schemes—						
	Voted	••	••	29,00,000	12,97,469	16,02,531	
49.	Capital Out of Governme	lay on Scho ont Tradin	emes g—				
	Voted	••	••	<b>1,50,0</b> 0,001	1,90,269	1,48,09,732	• •
	Charged	••	••	18,788	18,787	1	••
	Public Debt	<b>;</b>					
	Charged	•	••	14,56,24,000	11,59,86,684	2,96,37,316	••
51.	Loans and State Govern		a by				
	Voted	••	••	13,93,83,000	9,13,15,999	4,80,67,001	· ·
	To <b>tal</b> s-					×	
	Vo	ted	••	1,67,90,09,251	1,45,43,87,037	25,37,65,621	2,91,43,407
				Net se	wing	22,46,2	2,214
	(	Charged	••	27,80,67,317	25,12,01,359	3,03,20,824	34,54,866
				Net se	ving	2,68,6	5,958
	GRANI	D TOTAL	••	1,95,70,76,568	1,70,55,88,396	28,40,86,445	3,25,98,273
	Amount of	excess					
	Voted (Se	e paragra <u></u>	ph 22	of the Audit Re	port)		2,91,43,407
	•			23 of the Audit I		•• ••	34,54,866
	0		• - •				

The expenditure shown above does not include an amount of Rs. 1,119 (charged) met out of advances taken from the Contingency Fund which were not subsequently reimbursed to

the Fund during the year by taking supplementary appropriations. The details of this expenditure are as follows :---

Major Head.		Amount of advance.	Date of sanction of adv	ance.	Amount not recouped during the year.
		Rs.			Rs.
29—Medical	••	1,004	12th February, 1963	••	1,004
30—Public Health	••	1,271	28th March, 1963	••	115
Total	••	2,275			1,119

The excesses over the following grants require regularisation:

Serial Number.	Number of the Grant.	Name of the Grant.
1	7	Stamps.
2	11	Parliament and State Legislatures.
3	12	General Administration.
4	13	Administration of Justice.
5	16	Miscellaneous Departments—Fire Services.
6	17	Miscellaneous Departments — Excluding Fire Services.
7	19	Education.
8	20	Medical.
9	<b>29</b>	Community Development Projects.
10	37	Pensions and other Retirement Benefits.
11	43	Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons.
12	45-A	Expenditure connected with the National Emergency, 1962.

The excesses which occurred over the following charged appropriations also, require regularisation:

Serial Number.	Number of Appropriation.	Name of the Appropriation.
1	2	Land Revenue.
2	9	Interest on Debt and Other Obligations.
3	12	General Administration.
4	13	Administration of Justice.

The Appropriation Accounts have been prepared and examined under my direction in accordance with the requirements of Article 149 of the Constitution of India read with paragraph 11(4) of the Government of India (Audit and Accounts) Order, 1936, as adapted under the India (Provisional Constitution) Order, 1947. On the basis of the information and explanation that my officers have obtained, I certify that these accounts are correct, subject to the observations in the Audit Report, 1964.

### A. K. ROY,

Comptroller and Auditor General of India.

Major Head "4—Taxes on Incon than Corporation Tax".	1e Other	Total Grant or Appropriation. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. Rs.	Excess + Saving Rs.
Voted-	Rs.			
Original	6,96,000 	<b>6,96,000</b>	6,66,472	
Amount surrendered during the year	(March, 19	63)		28,210
Cha <b>r</b> ged—				
Original Supplementary	<b>2,000</b> 	} 2,000	150	1,850
Amount surrendered during the year	(March, 19	63)	•• ••	1,850

#### Grant No. 2.—Land Revenue.

#### Major Heads "9—Land Revenue" and "92—Payment of Compensation to Landholders, etc., on the abolition of the Zamindari System."

Voted
-------

Gross					
Original	8,6	4,30,900 】	64.90.000	2 40 70 991	0 14 59 510
Supplementary	••	}*	,64,30,900 6	0,49,72,381	2,14,08,019
Deductions					
Original	—	8,86,900 7	8 84 000	0 70 445	1 T AE 5
Supplementary	••	۲ ۲			+7,455
Net—					
Original	8,5	5,44,000	55 44 000 4		0 14 51 004
Supplementary	••	}°	<b>,</b> 55,44,000 6	,40,92,930	2,14,51,004
Amount surrendered					1,84,82,821
Charged—					
Original	• •	3,000 J	9,000		
Original Supplementary	••	3,000 6,000 }	<i>9,000</i>	10,36 <b>4</b>	+1,364

8

### Grant No. 1.—Taxes on Income other than Corporation Tax.

#### Notes and comments-

#### Voted Grant

(i) The saving of Rs.  $214 \cdot 51$  lakhs in the voted grant which formed  $25 \cdot 1$  per cent of the provision, has been explained as due to non-payment of final compensation to land holders, etc., on the abolition of the Zamindary System, owing to delay in obtaining verified statements from the ex-intermediaries and the inadequacy of the number of disbursing officers.

In 1961-62 also, the saving under the grant was Rs. 3,35.67 lakhs (36.4 per cent. of the total provision.)

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :---

B-MANAGEM ESTATES					Total grant.	Actual Expenditure. (In lakl	Excess + Saving — bs of rupees.)
B(f)—Admin cultural L and Resett	ands ar	nd Fi	sheries	Bengal Agri- (Acquisition -			
о.	••		••	2·32 J	0.28	0.07	0.01
R.	••		••	-2.04	0.28	0 • 27	0·01

The total saving of Rs. 2.05 lakhs in the original provision (88.4 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to no expenditure having been incurred on payment of compensation as no land and fishery could be acquired under the Act.

#### E-EXPENDITURE IN CONNECTION WITH EX-ZAMINDARY ESTATES-

E(b)-Outlay on Improvement-

О.	• •					
			—14·59 <b>}</b>	$25 \cdot 50$	$21 \cdot 96$	-3.54
R.	••	••	—14·59 J			

The total saving of Rs.  $18 \cdot 13$  lakhs in the original provision ( $45 \cdot 2$  per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-execution of certain schemes relating to repairs of Ex-Zamindary Embankment. The reasons for the non-execution of these works were not intimated by the controlling officer.

In 1961-62 also, the saving under this Group Head was Rs. 21.83 lakhs (49 per cent. of the provision.)

Major Head "92—Payment of Compensation to Landholders, etc., on the abolition of Zamindary System".

**K**—COMPENSATION—

K-2—Final compensation in lieu of acquired lands—

О.	• •	••	3,30.00 `	1		
R.	••	••		27.00	<b>29 · 28</b>	+2.28

The net saving of Rs. 3,00.72 lakhs in the original provision (91.1 per cent) was attributed to non-payment of final compensation owing to delay in obtaining verified statements from the ex-intermediaries and the inadequacy of the number of disbursing officers.

In 1961-62 also, the saving under this Group Head was Rs.  $297 \cdot 94$  lakhs (99.3 per cent of the original provision).

#### Grant No. 2.---Land Revenue---concld.

(iii) In the following case the additional fund of Rs.  $14 \cdot 30$  lakes obtained by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963 proved excessive;

				Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving				
E-EXPENDITURE IN CONNECTION WITH EX-ZAMINDARY ESTATES									
on of Rever	1 <b>u<del>o</del></b>								
••	••	1,40.73	1 55.09	1 47.09					
••	••	1 <b>4</b> ·30 ∫	1,00 03	1,27.00	7·95				
	DARY ES on of Rever	DARY ESTATES	Appr TURE IN CONNECTION WITH DARY ESTATES	TURE IN CONNECTION WITH DARY ESTATES on of Revenue 1,40.73	Appropriation. Expenditure. (In lakhs DARY ESTATES				

The reasons for the final saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

#### Charged Appropriation.

The excess of Rs. 1,364 in the charged appropriation requires to be regularised. The excess occurred under the sub-head indicated below :---

#### E-EXPENDITURE IN CONNECTION WITH EX-ZAMINDARY ESTATES---

E(a)Collectio	on of Rever	nu <del>o</del>	•			يم. 1944
О.	••	• •	ر 0.03	0.00	0.10	
<i>S</i> .	••	••	0·06 S	0· 09	0 · 10	+0.01

#### Grant No. 3.—State Excise Duties.

			Total Grant or <b>Appropriation</b> .	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Seving-
Major Head "10—State	Excise	Duties".	Rs.	Rs.	Ra,
Voted		Rs.			
Original	••	50,42,000 2,44,000	59 84 000	52,29,559	20 441
Supplementary	••	2,44,000	<b>52,86,000</b>	02,29,009	56, <b>44</b> 1
Charged—					
O <b>rig</b> ina <b>l</b>	••	•• 363	} 363	362	1
Supplementary	••	363	5	004	

## Taxes on Vehicles (All Charged)

				Total Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
Major Head	"11—T	axes on V	ehicles".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
			Rs.			
Original	••	••	<b>4,</b> 50,000	<b>4</b> ,50,000	<b>4</b> ,50,000	
<b>Supplementary</b>	••	••	••	5	2,00,000	• •
			-			

#### Notes and comments-

The expenditure represents the compensation paid to the Corporation of Calcutta under the Motor Vehicles Tax Act.

### Grant No. 5.---Sales Tax.

		Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "12—8a Voted—	les Tax".	R <sub>8</sub> .	Rs.	Rs.
Original	30,90,00 <b>0</b>	30,90,000	30,16,497	73,503
(March, 1963)	uring the year		••	66,000
Charged—				
Original Supplementary	3,000 	} 3,000		3,000
Amount surrendered du (March, 1963)	u <b>ri</b> ng the yea		••	3,000

## Grant No. 6.—Other Taxes and Duties.—(All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "13—Other	Taxes and Dut	Rs. li <b>es''</b>	Rs.	Rs.
Original	14,63,0	000 } 14,63,000	14,27,529	
Supplementary	•• ••	۲¥,00,000	17,27,028	
Amount surrendered (March, 1963)	during the	year 		29,472

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major	Head	"14—8tamps".				
			Rs.			
Original	••		ر 11,82,000 ک	19 77 000	10 70 010	1 1 00 010
Supplementary	••	••	95,000 }	12,77,000	13,79,212	+1,02,212

#### Notes and comments----

(i) In view of the excess of Rs.  $1 \cdot 02$  lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $0 \cdot 95$  lakh obtained on the 30th March, 1963 proved inadequate.

(ii) The excess of Rs. 1,02,212 requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 1.06 lakhs over the provision of Rs. 5.42 lakhs made under 3 sub-heads partly counter-balanced by minor savings under several other sub-heads.

(a) Excess occurred mainly under the following sub-head :---

A-NON-JUDICIAL-

A-2-Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)---

О.	••	• •	5·65 )			
				5.37	6.32	+0.95
R.	••	• •	0·28 <b>∫</b>			1 • • • •

(In lakhs of rupees.)

The excess was explained as due to larger payment of discount to Stamp Vendors consequent on increase in the sale of stamps.

(b) There were also excesses of comparatively small amounts under the following subheads :---

A-1-Superintendence-

Deduct-Proportion transferred to Judicial-

#### B-JUDICIAL-

B-2—Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)—

0.	••	• •	0·67 ]	0•58	0•68	1 0 10
<b>R</b> .	••	••	0·09 <b>}</b>	0+08	0.09	+0.10

## Grant No. 8.—Registration Fees.

			Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
Major Head "15— Voted—•	Registratio	n Fees''.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross—		Rs.			
Original .	••	27,94,000	29,42,000	90 99 959	9 1 4 9
Supplementary	••	1,48,000	∫ 25, <b>42,000</b>	29,00,000	
Deductions					
Original	••		-5,000	4.005	
Supplementary	••	••	}		+5
Net—					
Original	••	27,89,000			0.107
Supplement <b>ary</b>	••	1,48,000	29,37,000	29,28,863	—8,137
Charged—					
Original	••	โ	0.05	0.05	
Supplementary	• •	··· 905	}	905	••

## Grant No. 9.--Interest on Debt and Other Obligations

		- Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "16—Interes Other Obligatio		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Original Supplementary	50,00,000	50,00,000	6,24,981	<b>43,</b> 75,01 <b>9</b>
		-		
Amount surrendered (March, 1963)	during the year		••	. 44,75,000
Iharged—				· •
Gross—			*	
Original Supplementary	11,04,59,000	11.21.23 000	11.16.61.182	
Supplementary	16,64,000	·	11,10,01,102	<u> </u>
Deductions—				
Original	—3,54,60,000			L 28 98 58A
Supplementary	•• ••	}3,54,60,000		<b>⊤00,20,800</b>
Net				
Original	7,49,99,000	7,66,63,000	8 00 07 710	1 99 64 814
Original		5 7,00,00,000	0,00,47,128	+33,64,712

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major	Head	"14—Stamps".		•		
			$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{S}_{\bullet}}$	ŗ		
Original	••		ړ 11,82,000	19 77 000	19 50 010	1 0 0 0 0
Supplementary	••		95,000 }	12,77,000	13,79,212	+1,02,212

#### Notes and comments-

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 1.02 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 0.95 lakh obtained on the 30th March, 1963 proved inadequate.

(ii) The excess of Rs. 1,02,212 requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 1.06 lakes over the provision of Rs. 5.42 lakes made under 3 sub-heads partly counter-balanced by minor savings under several other sub-heads.

(a) Excess occurred mainly under the following sub-head :---

A-NON-JUDICIAL-

A-2-Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)---

0.	• •	••	5·65 ]			
				5.37	6.32	+0.95
R.	••	••	—0·28 ∫			

(In lakhs of rupees.)

The excess was explained as due to larger payment of discount to Stamp Vendors consequent on increase in the sale of stamps.

(b) There were also excesses of comparatively small amounts under the following subheads :---

A-1-Superintendence-

Deduct-Proportion transferred to Judicial-

#### B-JUDICIAL-

B-2-Charges for the sale of Stamps (discount)-

0.	••	••	0.67	0.58	0•68	+0.10
R.	••	••	0•09 }	0-00	0-00	

## Grant No. 8.—Registration Fees.

			Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actu <b>al</b> Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
Major Head "15— Voted—	Registratio		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross—		Rs.			
Original .	••	27,94,000		00 99 959	0.140
Supplementary	••	1,48,000	} 29,42,000	29,33,858	
Deductions					
Original	••		}5,000	4.995	+5
Supplementary	••	•• .	۶,000	2,000	-1-0
Net—					
Original	••	27,89,000	29,37,000	29,28,863	
Supplementary	••	1,48,000 _	5 20,01,000	20,20,000	
Charged—					
Original	••	·· 905	905	905	
Supplementary	••	905	5	500	• •

## Grant No. 9.—Interest on Debt and Other Obligations

Major Head "16—-Interea Other Obligatio		- Total Grant or Appropriation. Rs.		Exceas + Saving - Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Original Supplementary	50,00,000 	50,00,000	6,24,981	
Amount surrendered (March, 1963)	during the yea		••	× <b>44,75,000</b>
Charged—				<u>سوالي -</u> -
Gross—			4	
Original Supplementary	11,04,59,000 16,64,000	} 11,21,23,000	11,16,61,182	
Deductions				
Original Supplementary	··· —3,54,60,000	}3,54,60,000		+ 38,26 <b>,530</b>
Net		-		
Original Supplementary	··· 7,49,99,000 ··· 16,64,000	} 7,66,63,000	8,00,27,712	+33,64,712

•

#### Grant No. 9.—Interest on Debt and Other Obligations—contd. 14

#### Notes and Comments-----

#### Voted Grant

(i) The saving of Rs. 43.75 lakes in the voted grant (87.5 per cent of the original provision) occurred under the following Group Head :---

> Total Grant or Actual Excess+ Saving-Appropriation. Expenditure.

> > (In lakhs of rupees.)

A-INTEREST ON ORDINARY DEBT-

(i) Debt raised in India-

A(1)-3-Interest on other obligations-

Θ.	••	••	ך 50.00			
			L	$5 \cdot 25$	6·25	+1.00
R.	••		<u>    44</u> ·75   ∫			•

The saving was attributed to smaller payment of compensation to the ex-intermediaries under the Estates Acquisition Act, owing to non-completion of preliminaries.

#### **Charged Appropriation**

(i) The expenditure in the charged appropriation exceeded the provision by Rs. 33,64,712 which requires to be regularised.

(ii) The excess was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 86.24 lakhs over the provision of Rs 29.37 lakhs under 26 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by savings amounting to Rs. 52.59 lakhs in the provision of Rs. 4,64.55 lakhs under 14 sub-heads.

(a) The excess was mainly due to larger payment of arrear interest charges to the Union Government on loans taken for financing the Scheme for sharing Small Saving Collections and Intensive Food Production Schemes, as indicated below :---

#### **B**—INTEREST ON INTER-GOVERNMENTAL DEBT,-INTEREST ON LOANS TAKEN FROM CENTRAL GOVERNMENT-

iv) Interest on loans for Intensive Food Production Schemes-

0 18.44 33 . 19 +14.75R. . .

The excess was attributed to larger payment of arrear instalments of interest to the Government of India during the year.

Although a final requirement of Rs. 33.12 lakhs was estimated by the Government at the time of fixation of net grant in March, 1963, adequate additional funds were not provided.

(xvi) Interest on loans under the Scheme for sharing Small Svaing Collections-

0	••	••	1,52·49			
			· }	1,60 ·65	1,68 ·02	+7.37
R	••	• •	8·16 }			

The excess was explained as due to more payment of interest consequent on increased receipt of loans during 1961-62.

In this case also, the expenditure was estimated to be Rs. 1,71.80 lakhe in the revised estimates prepared by Government in January, 1963, but adequate additional funds were not provided.

(b) The other sub-heads under which excesses of comparatively large amounts occurred are indicated below :---

	Total Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
A-1—Interest on Ordinary Debt—Debt raised in India—Interest on permanent loans—		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
(ii) 4 per cent West Bengal Loan, 1964	8 ·00	9 • 07	+1.07
(iii) 4 per cent West Bengal Loan, 1963	14 • 41	20 •52	+6.11
(vii) 4 per cent West Bengal Loan, 1971	<b>30 •</b> 79	33 ·84	+3.05

The excesses were stated to be due to inaccurate fixation of net appropriation for want of requisite information from the Reserve Bank of India.

B-Interest on Inter-Governmental Debt-Interest on loans taken from Central Government---

(xxv) Interest on loans for Flood Control Schemes---

(xxxvi) Interest on loans for setting up of Spinning Mill—

0	••	• •	<b>4</b> ·66			
			}	6 •59	8.77	+2·18
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	1.93			

The excesses under the above two sub-heads though anticipated in the revised estimates prepared by Government in January, 1963, were not covered by provision of adequate additional funds.

- (xxxv) Interest on loans for Railway Electrification Scheme-

The entire provision was re-appropriated to other heads on the 30th March, 1963. The withdrawal was explained as due to non-settlement of terms and conditions of loans. But a sum of Rs. 6.52 lakhs was adjusted in October, 1962 (Rs. 4.60 lakhs) and December, 1962 (R. 1.92 lakhs) in pursuance of specific orders issued by Government in September and November, 1962, respectively.

The withdrawal of the provision by re-appropriation in March, 1963, was not justified.

(xxxix) Interest on loans for Land Acquisition and Developmont Schemes—

The adjustment of interest was made in October, 1962, in pursuance of orders issued by Government in September, 1962.

## Grant No. 9.—Interest on Debt and Other Obligations\_contd.

The requirement of funds anticipated by Government at the time of framing revised estimates was Rs. 1.61 lakhs. But instead of providing additional funds, the entire original provision was withdrawn on the 30th March, 1963, by re-appropriation.

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & &$ 

The excess representing shortfall in realisation of interest charges was due to smaller capital advances being paid to the Corporation during the year on the basis of their requirement.

(c) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads-

#### A-INTEREST ON PUBLIC DEBT AND OTHER OBLIGATIONS-

A-1-Interest on Ordinary Debt-

(i) Debt raised in India—Interest on permanent Loans—

(vi) 41 per cent West Bengal Loan, 1970	21 • 70	22 · 34	+0.64
(ix) 41 per cent West Bengal Loan, 1972	31.06	31.66	+0.60

#### Other items-

Expenditure connected with the issue of new loan-

Ο	• •	• •	0.55			
			l l	0 · <b>4</b> 8	0 · 49	+0.01
R	• •	• •	-0·07 S			

**▲**(2)—Interest on unfunded Debt—

Interest on General Povident Fund-

0	••	• •	37·40 ]			
				41 ·00	41 ·07	+0.07
S	••	••	3≈60 ∫			•

A(3)—Interest on other obligations—

Miscellaneous-

0	••	••	·· )			
8	••		0.01	0·01	× 0 ·02	+0.01

## Grant No.9—Interest on Debt ond Other Obligations—concld.

						Total propriation.	Actual Expenditu	ırə.	Excess + Saving -
	EREST DEBT	ON INTE	R-GO	VERNMEN-			()	In lakhs	of rupees.)
Intere		s taken fro	om Con	tral Govern.					
	erest on	loans for	Indust	rial Housing					
0		••	••	6 ·02	ן	N .A	-	<b>A A</b>	
8		••	••	1 • 4 4	ſ	7 • <u>4</u> 6	7	·63	+0 •17
	Interest velopment		for	Educational		1 • 87	2	·02	+0 • 15
	nterest on using Sche		Low Ir	ncome Group					
0	)	••	••	8 • <b>4</b> 3	J				
R		• •	••	0 · <b>4</b> 0	}	8 •8 <b>3</b>	• 9	•24	+0 •41
( <i>xviii</i> ) Mill	Interest k Supply	on loans Scheme.	for Grø	ater Calcutta		8 • 36	9	••08	+0.72
	Interest o ustrial Es		or the	Schemes of		2.02	2	•56	+0.54
( <i>xxi</i> ) ]	Interest or	n loans for	Forestr	y Schemes—					
0	)	••	••	2.09	J	2.62	a	.70	+0.08
R		••	••	0 •53	5	2.02	6	-70	<b>₩</b> 0
( <i>xxvii</i> men		t on loans	for Po	oultry Deve-		••	0	•02	+0 •02
	Interest emes—	on loans f	for Slu	m Cloarance		1 • 7 1	2	2 • 28	+0.57
				adoption of measures—					•
O	)	••	••	••	ר				
F	e	••	••	 0 •40	}	0 · <u>4</u> 0	0	•41	+0.01
	eme—	Plantatic	n Labo	our Housing					
C	•	••	••	0 • 22	٦				
F	e	••	••	0 •22 0 •08	۲	0 •30	0	-31	+0.01
		n Loans ur Bond coll		e Scheme for	_				
C	-	••	••	2 • 46	ר				
8	ł	• •	••	0 • 55	ļ	2 .96	3	8 • 13	+0.17
F		• •	••	-0.05	}	-	-		
-			-		2				

#### Appropriation for Reduction or Avoidance of Debt (All charged.)

	Total Approprition.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head—"17—Appropriation for Reduction or Avoidance of Debt." Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Original 3,78,30,000	} 3,78,30,000	3.78.30.000	••
Supplementary	]		•••

#### Notes and comments----

18

The expenditure under this Appropriation represents contribution of Rs.  $3,02 \cdot 26$  lakhs and Rs.  $76 \cdot 04$  lakhs to the Sinking and the Depreciation Funds respectively in respect of loans raised in the open market.

The balances in these Funds at the end of 1962-63 were as shown below :--

		(In lakhs of rupees.)
Sinking Funds	••	7,58 · 18
Depreciation Funds	••	1,85 · 37
$\mathbf{Total}$	••	9,43.55

Accounts of the transactions of the Sinking Funds and Depreciation Funds are given in Statement No. 19 at pages 146-147 of the Finance Accounts, 1962-63 of the Government of West Bengal.

#### Grant No. 11.—Parliament and State Legislatures

Major Head "18—Parl	iament a	and State	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving—
Legislatur		ind otate	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted—					
Großs—		<b>В</b> в.			
Original	••	45,65,500	45,65,500	44 41 455	1.94.045
Supplementary	••	••	۶ 40,00,000 J	44,41,400	- 1,24,045
Deductions					
Original	••	-12,02,500	] 10.00.500	C 50 100	E # 99 400
Supplementary	••	••	$\left. \right\} -12,02,500$	-0,70,100	+5,32,400
Net—					
Original	••	33,63,000		97 71 955	1 4 09 955
Supplementary	••	••	} 33,63,000	37,71,300	+4,08,355
Charged—					
Original	••	77,000	]	×1 000	9 80 9
Supplementary	••	••	} 77,000	73,202	- 3,798
Amount surrendered durin	g the ye	ar (March, 19	963)	••	2,820

#### Notes and Comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The actual expenditure in the voted grant exceeded the provision by Rs. 4,08,355 which requires to be regularised. The excess was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 5.55 lakes over the provision of Rs. 13.04 lakes made under 5 sub-heads partly counter-balanced by savings amounting to Rs. 1.47 lakes in the provision of Rs. 19.30 lakes made under 12 sub-heads.

(a) The excess was mainly due to less re-imbursement from the Government of India of its share of election charges for 1962 in respect of the House of the People and State Legislative Assembly, as indicated below :---

•	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	

C-ELECTION-

(In lakhs of rupces).

1.93

+0.33

C(2)—Other Election Charges—

C(2)(G)—Deduct—Recoveries from the Union Government—

Recoveries to the extent anticipated could not be made from the Government of India as the State Government did not complete the reconciliation of the departmental figures of expenditure with those of the Audit Office. This is in arrears since 1959-60.

(b) The sub-heads under which other excesses occurred are mentioned below :---

**B-STATE LEGISLATURES-**

B(3)-State Legislature Secretariat-

B(3)2-Pay of Establishment-

0	••	••	3.86 ∫	4.11	4.16	+0.05
${f R}$	••	••	0.25 ∫	4.11	1 10	10.00
B(3)3-All	owances, ho	poraria, etc	_			

-(0)0						
0	••	• •	0·53 J	0.69	0.89	+0.20
R		••	0·16	0.00	0.00	

B(3)5—Other contingencies— ... 1.60

C-ELECTIONS-

C(2)—Other Election charges—			
C(2)(b)—Exponditure on Election—			ì
(iv) House of the People and State Legis-	18.00	18.31	+0.31
lative Assembly (held simultaneously).		-	

(ii) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent—

**C**—ELECTIONS—

C(2)(a)P Rolls	reparation a	nd Printing	of Electoral			
0	••	• •	∫ 3⋅08	2.26	1.52	-0.74
R	••	••	-0.82	2 •20	1.02	-0.11

The total saving of Rs. 1.56 lakhs in the original provision (50.6 per cent) was explained as mainly due to less payment of arrear claims and non-intensive revision of electoral rolls as per direction of the Election Commission.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess + Saving -
Major Head "19—General Administration''.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted—	2		
Gross—			
Original 3,84,59,90 Supplementary 13,27,00	0	3,97,54,005	- 32,895
Supplementary 13,27,00	0	0,01,01,000	02,000
Deductions-			
Original4,12,90	0 } -4.12.900		+2,32,164
Supplementary	}		·
Net			
Original 3,80,47,00	<b>3,93,74,000</b>	3.95.73.269	+1,99,269
Supplementary 13,27,00	0 5	0,00,10,200	1 1,00,200
Amount surrendered during the yea (March, 1963).	NF		1,366
Oharged			•
Gross—			
Original 11,82,50	11,99,500	12.14.935	+15,435
Supplementary 17,00	0	10,11,000	1 20,200
Deductions—			
Original — 51,50	-51,500	-51.790	- 290
Supplementary	j		
Net			
Original 11,81,00	0 } 11,48,000	11,63,145	+ 15,145
Supplementary 17,00	ю ј	,-,,.10	

#### Notes and Comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) There occurred an excess of Rs. 1,99,269 which requires to be regularised.
(ii) Excesses occurred in the preceding five years also as indicated below :----

	Y	ear.		Total provision.	Excess.	
					(In lakhs o	f rupees).
1957-58	••	••	••	••	<b>3,3</b> 0 · 36	5.93
1958-59	••	••	·• •	••	3,25 · 76	6.50
1959-60	••	••	••	••	3,35 · 25	11.00
1960-61	••	••	••	••	<b>3,61·49</b>	<b>3 · 10</b>
1961-62	••	••	••		4,00.80	11.63

Nore—This year, the above grant was divided into two separate grants, viz., "11—Parliament and State Legislatures" and "12—General Administration" and in both cases, the expenditure exceeded the grants. (iii) The excess of Rs. 1.99 lakhs in the voted grant was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 5.85 lakhs over the provision of Rs. 1,72.32 lakhs made under 12 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by savings amounting to Rs. 3.85 lakhs over a number of other sub-heads and surrender to the extent of Rs. 0.01 lakh.

(a) The excess was mainly due to more expenditure owing to revision of pay scales under the sub-head indicated below :—  $\sim$ 

					Total grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
D-Sec	retariat an	d Headaua	arters Estab	lishmen	t	(In lakhs	of rupees.)
	-Civil Secr	-					
<b>D</b> -1	1(2)—Pay	of Establis	shment				
	0.	••	••	83·16	า		
1	5.	••	••	6 • 99	95.55	97 · 53	+1.98
1	R.	••	••	<b>5 · 40</b>	J		
(b)	The sub-h	eads under	which other	0xc0880	s occurred are in	ndicated below :—	-
4HI	EADS OF	STATES A	ND MINIS.	rers_			
	-Minister						
		er Continge	ncies—				
	0.	••	• •	0.85	r		
3	R.	••	••	0.15	} 1.00	1 · 16	+0.16
ES	STABLISE	IAT AND IMENT— cretariat—	HEADQUA	RTERS	i		
D.	1(1)-Pay	of Officers	<b> </b>				
	0.	••	••	28.61	} 28.27	28.89	+0.62
	R.	••	••	-0.34	5		1002
D.	1(3)—Allo	owances, ho	noraria, etc.	,			
	0.	••	••	14.60	]		
	<b>s</b> .	••	••	2 · 43	} 19.50	19.70	+0.20
	R.	••	••	2 · 47	J		
		e from ot	olishment Cher Govern				
	0.	••	••	- 0·81 0·06	-0.75	-0.05	+0.70
	R.	••	••	0.06	<u></u>	_0.00	1010
D. 3	-Board o	f Revenue-	-				
D.	. 3(3)—Alle	owances, ho	ono <mark>raria, et</mark> c				
	0.	••	••	0.50	} 0.70	0.72	+0.02
	R.	••	••	0·20	J		•

Sept Bengill Secretarias Librar

## Crant No. 12.—Ceneral Administration—contd.

				Total Grant.	Actu Expend		Excess+ Saving-
FDISTRICT	ADMINIST	RATION-			(In	lakhs of	rupees.)
F. 1—General	Establishme	ənt—					
F. 1(3)—Alle	wances, hor	no <b>raria, etc</b>					
о.	••	••	14·32 )	10.00		10	
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}14\cdot 32\\\\2\cdot 30\end{array}\right\}$	16.62	17	•18	+0.56
F. 1(4)Cor	ntract Contin	ngencies—					
о.	••	••	13.61	14.50	1.	1.89	10.90
R.	••	••	0.89	14.90	<b>a</b> 19	1.00	+0.39
F. 1(8)—Deduc recovorable partments, e	from other		Charges ts, Do-				
о.	••	••	-0·76 ]	0 59		0.00	10.19
R.	••	••	$\begin{array}{c} -0.76\\ -0.02 \end{array} \right\}$	-0.78		0.66	+0.12
F. 1(9)—Loss		•• ••		••		0.14	+0.14
H.—MISCELLA H. 2—Miscella					•		
H. 2(5)—Ot	her Conting	encios—					
0. B		••	$12 \cdot 85$	$9 \cdot 42$		9.67	+0.25
R.	•••	••					
H. 3—Rehabi H. 3(7)— <i>Dedu</i> coverable fi ments, etc	oct—Establi rom othor (	-	arges re- s, Dopart-				
о.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}-2\cdot 48\\0\cdot 77\end{array}\right\}$	-1·71		-1.00	+0.71
R.	• •	••	0.77 )			_	·
The excess v on their decision			less recovery expenditure				a consequent
(iv) In the f	following Gr	oup Head,	the provision	was not util	lised to a	substant	ial extent :—
HMISCELLA	ANEOUS-						
H. 2-Miscell	aneous						
о.	••	••	18·88 J	15.41	r	5.80	.I A. 9K
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}18\cdot88\\-3\cdot47\end{array}\right\}$	10.41	L		+0.25

The net saving of Rs. 3.22 lakhs in the original provision (17.1 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-finalisation of proposal for construction of airstrips and non-entertainment of necessary staff for the purpose (Rs. 2.95 lakhs).

22

#### Grant No. 12.—General Administration—concld.

#### Charged Appropriation.

The excess of Rs. 15,145 in the charged appropriation requires regularisation. This was the result of excesses under the following 3 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by minor savings under other sub-heads :---Total Actual Excess + Appropriation Expenditure.. Saving-(In lakhs of rupees). A .- HEADS OF STATES AND MINISTERS-A.3-Staff and Household of the Governor-1.93 ] О. . . • • +0.062.07 2.13 *0* · *14* ∫ R. . . • • A.6-Tour Expenses-О. 0.54•• . . 0.46 0.53 +0.07R. . . . . D.-SECRETARIAT AND HEADQUAR-TERS ESTABLISHMENT-D.2-Public Service Commission-О. 4.65. . . . 0.17 +0.06 5.03 s. 4.97 . . . . 0 · 15

## Grant Nc. 13.---Administration of Justice.

R.

. .

. .

				al Grant or propriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
Major Head "21—Adı	ninistratio	n of Justice'	,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Voted Gross		Rs.					
Original	••	1,06,53,000	}	1,06,53,000	1,06,65,221	+12,221	
Supplementary Deductions	••	••	J				
Original Supplementary	••	<b>4,00</b> 0	}	- 4,000	- 4,000		
Net— Original			-				
Supplementary	••		' '۲	1,06,49,000	1,06,61,221	+ 12,221	
Charged—							
Original	••	34,24,000	ſ	36.62.000	37,35,645	+ 73,645	
Supplementary	••	2,38,000	٦ (	50,02,000	.,	-1-10,010	

Notes and Com	ments		Voted Gr	ant.		
The excess the result of e savings under	xcesses und	er the follo	the voted g wing 18 sub-	rant requires	to be regularised v counterbalance	d. This was d by minor
				Total grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
B.—OFFICIAI	L ASSIGNE	E			(In lakhs	of rupees.)
B. 4—Contin	gencies					
0.	-		ר 0.17			
<b>R</b> .	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 17\\ -0\cdot 06\end{array}\right\}$	0.11	0.18	+0.05
C.—OFFICIAL	RECEIVE	R		·.		
C. 2.—Pay o	f Establishn	ent				
О.	••	••	ן 1.18	1.90	1 01	
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot18\\0\cdot12\end{array}\right\}$	1.30	1 · 31	+0.01
D.—LAW OFF	ICERS-					
D. (2)—Lega	l Remembre	ncer				
D. (2) 3.—Al	lowances, H	onoraria, et	c.—			
о.	••	••	2 • 14			
<b>R</b> .	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}2\cdot14\\0\cdot14\end{array}\right\}$	2.28	$2 \cdot 51$	+0.23
D. 2(4)—Con	tingencies—					
О.	••	••	ל 4·97			
R.	••	••	0.04	5.01	5 · 19	+0.18
D. 3—MUFA	ASSAL EST	ABLISHME	ENT—			
D. (3)2-Pay	y of Establis	hment—				
О.	••	••	0.07 ך	• •	0.00	
R.	••	••	0.01	0.08	0.09	+0.01
D.(3)4-Cont	tingencies	-				
о.	••		[ 1⋅67	1 44		
R.	••	••	0 · 23 }	1.90	1.91	+0.01
E.—ADMINIS OFFICIAL			AND			
E. 4-Contin	ngencies—					
•						

О.	• •	••	<b>0·47</b> ]			
	*		0.23	0.70	0.74	+0.04
<b>R.</b> `	••	••	0 23 🖌 🗝			

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
G.—PRESID	ENCY MA	GISTRATE	S		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
	idency Mag		-			
		tingencies				
О.	• •	• •	0·33	0.01		
R.	••	••	-0.02	0.31	0.37	+0.06
G. (1)5.—C	)ther Contin	ngencies				
О.	••	••	ر 99∙0	1.01	1 00	
R.	• •	••	0.02	1.01	1.03	+0.02
G. 3-Juve for Juve	enile Court nile Offende	and Detens	tion House			
G. (3)4C	ontingencies	<b>}</b> _				
О.	• •	••	ر 0.08 ک	0.01	0.02	10.01
R.	••	••	-0.07	0.01	0.02	+0.01
H.—CIVIL A			TS			
	il and Sessio					
H.(1)2.—P O.	ay of Estab		ر 23⋅00			
	••	••	}	$25 \cdot 29$	$25 \cdot 52$	+0.23
R.	••	••	2·29 ∫			
H. (1)3.—4 O.		Honoraria,	etc.— 5·64 ገ			
	••	••		6 · 82	6-88	+0.06
R.	••	••	,			
	-	Establishm	ent			
H.(2)2.—P O.	ay of Estab	lishment—	ر 82⋅6			
	••	••		6·72	6-78	+0.06
R.	••	••	<b>−0</b> ·10 J			
			NS COURT			
H.(4)1.—P O.	ay of Officer	<b>r9</b> —	[ 1∙53]			
0. R.	••	••	0.54	2.07	2 · 12	+0.05
<b>F</b> v.	••	••	0.94 )			
I.—COURTS	OF SMAL	L CAUSES-	_			
I.(1)—Pres	idency Cour	·t <del>s</del> —				
I.(1)1—Pay	y of Officers	 				
0.	• •	••	0.63	0.52	0.53	+0.01
R.	••	••	-0.11			1001
I. 2	ASSAL CO	URTS				
I. 2(2)-Pa	y of Establi	ishment—				
0.	••	• •	0.35	0.37	0.38	+0.01
R.	••	••	0.02 ∫			Τν. <b>Λτ</b>

#### Grant No. 13.—Administration of Justice—concld.

				Frant or	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
					(In lak	hs of rupees)
JCRIMIN	AL COUR	Г8—				
J. (1)—Poli	ice Case Ho	ospit <b>als</b>				
J. (1)2.—Pa	ay of Estat	lishment—				
0.	••	••	0.18	0.19	0.91	
R.	••	• •	0.01 }	0.19	0 · 21	+0.05
J. (2)3.—Al	lowances, 1	Hono <b>raria,</b> otc.	••	0.02	0.06	+0.04

#### **Charged Appropriation**

The excess of Rs. 73,645 requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses under the following 5 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by minor savings under other subheads.

(a) The excess was stated to be mainly due to inadequate provision of funds for payment of arrear claims consequent on revision of pay scales under the sub-head indicated below :---

#### A.-HIGH COURT-

A. 2-Original Side-Registrar-

A. 2(2)—Pay of Establishment—

0.	••	••	7 • 16			
			(	7.03	7 · 66	+0.63
R.	••	••	— 0·13 Š			

(b) The sub-heads under which other excesses occurred are indicated below :---

A. 2(3)—Allowances, Honoraria, etc.—

О.	••	••	ן 1.50			
s.	••	••	1 · 22	2 • 92	3.00	+0.08
R.	••	••	0.20			
A.2(5)Otl	ner Conting	gencies		0 · 38	0 · 42	+0.04
A.3—APPE	ELLATE S	IDE—				
A. 3(2)—Pa	y of Estab	lishment—				
0.	••	• •	7 · 23	8 · 32	8 · 38	+0.06
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}7\cdot23\\1\cdot09\end{array}\right\}$			
M.—CHARGI						
	es in enc	tLAND				

 $\mathbf{26}$ 

# Grant No. 14.-Jails-(All Voted)

		Total . Grant.	Actual, Expenditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head "	22—Jails''. Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross				
Original	1,21,62,500            2,62,000	1 94 94 500	1 99 90 955	- 1,94,245
Supplementary	2,62,000	J	1,22,30,200	- 1,94,240
Deductions				
Original	··· - 9,02,500	2 -9.02.500		
Supplementary	•• ••	5	10,00,100	1,00,000
Net—				
Original	1,12,60,000 2,62,000	1 15 22 000	1 11 41 100	3,80,900
Supplementary	2,62,000	۲,10,22,000	<b>1</b> ,11, <del>1</del> 1,100	
Amount surrender (March, 1963)	ed during the y <sup>e</sup> ar 			4,17,965

### Notes and Comments :---

In view of the final saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 18$  lakhs in the grant, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $2 \cdot 62$  lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963 due to larger requirements on account of revision of pay scales (Rs.  $0 \cdot 67$  lakh) and rise in the daily average number of prison population towards the latter part of the year together with rise in prices of dietary and other articles (Rs.  $1 \cdot 95$  lakhs) proved unnecessary.

# Grant No. 15.--Police.

	Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "23-Police". Voted— R Gross—	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Original 10,44,29 Supplementary 50,05	0,000 5,000 } 10,94,34,000	11,03,95,827	+9,61,827
Deductions Original60,14 Supplementary			
Net— Original 9,84,14 Supplementary 50,04	5,000 } 10,34,20,000	10,22,42,805	-11,77,195
Amount surrendered during the (March, 1963)	year 	••	15 <b>,32,9</b> 00 -
Charged— Original 2 Supplementary 5	2,000 9,561 } 11,561	10,099	- 1,462
Amount surrendered during the (March, 1963)	year	••	506

Notes and Comments----

### Voted Grant.

Against the anticipated recoveries, etc., of Rs. 60.14 lakhs. (shown as deduction under the voted grant) the actual recoveries amounted to Rs. 81.53 lakhs. But for this accrual of funds, there would have been an excess of Rs. 9.62 lakhs over the amount provided for expenditure under the grant.

An analysis of the increase in the recoveries is indicated below :---

Group Head.	Anticipated recovery.	Actual.	Reasons for increase
	(In lakhs o	of rupees.)	
A-PRESIDENCY POLICE-	_		
(b) Calcutta Police—			
(i) Deduct—Establishmen other charges recover other Governments, ments, etc.	able from	· 37 — 5·9	0 Larger recoveries from the Government of India owing to revision of Pay Scales.
C-DISTRICT EXECUTIVE	FORCE-		
(a) District Police			
<ul> <li>(i) Deduct—Establishmen Other charges reco from other Governme partments, etc.</li> <li>.</li> <li>(c) Other Police—</li> </ul>	overable	<b>3</b> −22·28	(a) Larger recoveries from (i) Land Customs and Food and Supplies Department for re- vision of Pay Scales (Rs. 3.66 lakhs) and (ii) the Government of India being the cost of addi- tional vehicles and wireless sets purchased during the year for West Bengal Border Police (Rs. 4.10 lakhs).
		- <b>F</b> -	a 1 1 1
(i) Deduct— <b>R</b> ecoveries f Government of India		<u> </u>	Same remarks as under (ii) above.
G_RAILWAY POLICE-			
(i) Deduct—Establishmen other charges reco from other Governmen partments, etc.	verable	5 — 12·65	Larger recovery from the Rail- ways owing to revision of Pay Scales.
I-MISCELLANEOUS.			
(b) Extra Police Force- appointed for the p ance of agency fu Deduct—Recoveries f Centre.	action.	9 — 31·17	Larger recovery from the Go- vernment of India owing to revision of Pay Scales.

# Grant No. 16 .--- Miscellaneous Departments--- Fire Services--- (All Voted) 29

Major Head "26-Miscelland	ous De	epartments''.	Total Grant. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. R3.	Excess + Saving - Rs.
Original	••	Rs. 43,75,000 )		10 00 100	
Supplementary	••	5,58,950 }	49,33,950	49,38,480	+4,530
Notes and Comments					

There was an excess of Rs. 4,530 over the grant, which requires to be regularised.

The excess was the result of excesses under the following two sub-heads, partly counterbalanced by minor savings under other sub-heads. (In lakhs of rupees.)

# A-FIRE SERVICES-

A(i)—Pay	of Officers-					
Ο	••	••	0·76 J	0.80	0.81	
${f R}$	• •	••	e∙ <b>04</b> ∫	0.80	0.81	+0.01
A(i♥)Con	tingencies-	-		•		
Ο	••	••	8·05 J			
8	••	••	4·53	10.20	11.07	. +0.87
R	••	••	ل 2·38 ل			

The reasons for the excess were not furnished by the controlling officer.

# Grant No. 17.-Miscellaneous Departments-Excluding Fire Services.

				Total Grant or Appropriation.		$\mathbf{Excess} + \mathbf{Saving} - \mathbf{Structure}$	
Major	Head "26—Miscelland	eous De	p <b>artments''.</b>	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Voted-	-						
Groe	38		Rs.				
	Original Supplementary	••	1,91,98,000		1 80 49 140	9 55 940	
	Supplementary	••	• •	۶1,91,98,000 ]	1,09,42,140	- 2,00,800	
Ded	uctions						
	Original	••	-2,81,000	2 -2.81.000	<b>— 13,27</b> 0	<b>1</b> 2 67 730	
	Supplementary	••	••	<u>}</u>		, 2,01,100	
Net	<b></b>						
	Origi <b>na</b> l Supplement <b>ary</b>	••	1,89,17,000	1.89.17.00	0 1.89.28.870	+11,870	
	Supplementary	••	••	}		<b>Τ11,870</b>	
	Amount surrendered	during	the year (N	farch, 1963)	••	1,01,638	
Oha	urged—						
	Orizinal Supplementary	••	5,000	\$ 000	2,468	- 2.532	
	Supplementary	••	••	ر ر	*	- 2,532	
9	Amount surrendered	during	the year (M	[arch, 1963) .	• ••	^ 2 <b>,400</b>	

### Notes and Comments-

### Voted Grant.

The excess of Rs. 11,870 in the voted grant requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses under the following twenty four sub-heads partly counter-balanced by savings under other sub-heads and surrender within the grant.

(a) The excess was mainly due to non-materialisation of anticipated receipts and recoveries on Capital Account (Rs. 2.54 lakhs) as indicated below---

	Total Grant	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
H-MISCELLANEOUS		(In lakhs	of rupees.)	

H(R)-Housing Dir ctorate-

H(R)(vi)—*Deduct*—Receipts and Recoveries on Capital Account—

0	• •	••	ر 2·54 _			
			<b>}</b>	-0·29	• •	+0.29
R	••	••	2 ⋅ 25 ∫			•

Prior to 1962-63, the Slum Clearance Scheme was administered by the Development Department who entrusted the work to the Housing Department for execution. The Housing Department recovered departmental charges from the Development Department for the work done by them.

The administration of the Scheme was transferred to the Housing Department itself with effect from April, 1962; hence the question of realisation of any recoveries did not arise.

(b) The other sub-heads under which excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred are mentioned below :---

~

### H-MISCELLANEOUS-

. .

. . .

-----

H(L)Pres the West	ervation of Bengal Dist	old corresp aicts	ondence of			
H(L)(iü)	Allowances,	Honoraria	, etc.	0.02	0 · 03	+0.01
H(M)—Prep	paration of	District Ga	zetteers			
H(M)(i)—	-Pay of Offic	c <b>rs</b>				
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 12\\ -0\cdot 01\end{array}\right\}$	0.11	0.12	+0.01
R	`	••	<b>−0</b> ·01 ∫	0 11	0.12	+0°01
H(M)(iii)	-Allowance	es, Honera	ria, etc.—			
0	••		$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 05 \\ 0 \cdot 01 \end{array} \right\}$	0.06	0.07	+0.01
R	• •		0.01	0.00	0.01	<b>+0</b> 01
H(M)(iv)	Continger	cies—				
0	••		0·09 0·05	0.14	0•18 *`	<u>~</u> ~~^
R	••	••	0.05 }	0.14	0.19	+0.04
H(N)-Sma	all Saving (	)rganisation	1			
H(N)(ii)—1	Pay of Estab	lishment		0·05	0.08	+0.01
<b>H(N)</b> (i <b>v</b> )	Contingenci	8				
0	••	• •	ل 0.01	0 • <b>06</b>	0.11	+0.02
R		• •	0.05	0.00	0.11	- <b>T 0</b> · <b>0</b>
				<b>_</b> .		

Grant No	. 17Miscellaneous	Departments-Excluding					
Fire Services contd							

Fire Services — contd.

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
					(In lakhs	of rupees.)
(O)Food						
(A) Direct	orate of Pr	ocurement (	and Supply—			
H(O)(A)(iii	)—Allowar	ices, Honord	aria, etc.—			
ο	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}2\cdot95\\-0\cdot39\end{array}\right\}$	2·5 <b>6</b>	2.59	
R	• •	• •	<b>−0·39</b> ∫	2.00	2.39	+0.03
H(O)(A)(i▼	)Conting	encies				
Ο	••	••	<b>4.50</b> }			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}4\cdot50\\-2\cdot14\end{array}\right\}$	2.36	2 · 42	+0.06
(C) Calcutt ing—	a (Includin	g Industria	l Area) Ration-			
<b>H(O)(C)(ii)</b> -	—Pay of E	Istablishme	nt			
ο	• •	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 26\cdot72\\ 2\cdot91 \end{array}\right\}$	29-63	29.85	
R	••	••	<b>2</b> ·91 ∫	29.03	29.85	+0.22
<b>H(O) (C) (</b> ii	ii)—Allo <del>wa</del>	nces, Honor	aria, etc—			
0.	• •	••	{ 4∙00 }	4.36	4.40	
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 00 \\ 0 \cdot 36 \end{array}\right\}$	4.30	<b>4 · 4</b> 0	+0.04
H(O)(D)—I	District Dis	stribution—				
H(O)(D) (i)	—Pay of O	fficer <del>s -</del>				
о.	••	••	0·46 ٦	0.37	0.00	
R.	••	••	0·46 0·09	0.37	0•38	+0.01
<b>H</b> (O)(D) (ii)	) Pay of Es	tablishment	<b></b>			
о.	••	••	28•4 <b>3</b> ک			
R. 🗸	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}28\cdot43\\3\cdot47\end{array}\right\}$	31.90	32.10	+0.50
H(O)(D)(iv)	)Continge	encies				
Ð.	••	••	3·40 ]	4.07		
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{3\cdot 40}\\\mathbf{0\cdot 95}\end{array}\right\}$	<b>4 · 3</b> 5	4 • 43	+0.08
E)Director	ate of Tran	nsportation-	_			
H(O)(E)(iv)	)Continge	encies		6•50	7.21	+0.71

# Grant No. 17.—Miscellaneous Departments—Excluding Fire Services—concld.

			00111003			
				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
_					(In lakh	s of rupees.)
(G)-Directorate	-					
H(O)(G)(ii)Pa	ay of Establ	ishment				
О.		••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 89\\-0\cdot 18\end{array}\right\}$	1.71	1.72	+0.01
R.			-			-
H(O)(G)(i <b>i</b> i)—A	llowances, 1	Honoraria, e	tc.—			
0.	••	••	0.30	0.43	0.44	+0.01
R. •	••	••	0·13 J	• -•	0 11	1002
(P)Supplies						
(A) Directorate	e of Consum	er Goods-				
H(P)(A)(ii)P	ay of Estab	lishment				
О.	••	••	ر <del>7.04</del> ∫			
R.	••		$\left.\begin{array}{c} 7\cdot 04\\ 0\cdot 13\end{array}\right\}$	7.17	7 · 19	+0.02
H(P)(A)(iii)—A	llowances,	Honoraria, e	otc.—			
0.	••	••	( 1.05			
R.	••	••	0.76	1.81	1 · 82	+0.01
			_			
(B)—Directorate	of Textiles-					
H(P)(B)(i)—Pa	<b>s</b> y of Officer	8				
Ο	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}0\cdot10\\-0\cdot05\end{array}\right\}$	0.05	0.06	1.0.01
R	• •	• •	-0·05 ∫	0.00	0.00	+0.01
H(P)(B)( <i>ii</i> ) Pa	y of Establi	ishment—-				
Ο	••	••	2∙05 }			
R	••	• •	ک 0.07	$2 \cdot 12$	2 · 13	+0.01
(C) Registration	of Handloos	ms—				
H(P)(C)(i)—D charges reco Department	verable from					
0	••	••	ר 0∙27 −0			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} -0\cdot 27\\ -0\cdot 04\end{array}\right\}$	-0.31	• •	+0.31
(R)—Housing D			2			
H(R)(ii)-Pay						
0		••	3·29 ]			
R	••	••	1.09	4.38	4 • 40	+0.02
 H(R)(i▼)Con						
0	IOUTRETICICE	-	ר 0.20			
			0.13	0.63	1.12	+0.49
R			U-13 J			

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "27—Scientific Departments"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Rs.			
Original 77,000	77,000	74,000	- 3,000
Supplementary J	77,000	72,000	- 3,000
Amount surrendered during the year (Marc	h, 1963)		3,100

Grant No. 18.—Scientific Departments—(All Voted)

Crant No. 19. - Education -(All Voted)

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure	Excess+ Saving-
<b>Major Head "28</b> — Gross—	-Educati	ion." Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Origin <del>a</del> l Supplementary	•••	21,51,57,000 29,10,000	<b>}</b> 21,80,67,000	23,47,25,263	+1,66,58,263
Deductions-					
Original Supplementary	•••		} -13,44,000	- 11,27,900	+ 2,16,100
Net—					
Original Supplementary	•••	21,38,13,000 29,10,000	<b>}</b> 21,67,23,000	23,35,97,363	+1,68,74,363

## Notes and Comments-

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 1,68.74 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 29.10 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963, proved largely inadequate.

(ii) The provision under the grant was exceeded also in the last four consecutive years as indicated below-

Years			Total provision.	Excess.
			(In lakhs of	rupees.)
1958-59	••	••	12,74.01	$19 \cdot 47$
1959-60	••	••	1 <b>4,3</b> 5 · 50	1,59 · 37
1960-61	••	••	15,79.96	96·05
1961-62	••	••	20,22 · 22	1,08 · 17

In all the years, the excesses were explained as due to larger expenditure on Dovelopment Schemes.

(iii) The excess of Rs. 1,68,74,363 over the grant requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $2,04 \cdot 15$  lakhs over the provision of Rs.  $18,05 \cdot 47$  lakhs made under 53 sub-heads partly counterbalanced by savings amounting to Rs.  $35 \cdot 41$  lakhs in the provision of Rs.  $2,85 \cdot 95$  lakhs made under 81 sub-heads.

(a) The excess was mainly due to increased expenditure on Improvement of the conditions of service of teachers in Secondary Schools (Rs.  $61 \cdot 63$  lakhs) and on Multipurpose Schools (Rs.  $90 \cdot 12$  lakhs) under the sub-head indicated below—

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure	Excess+ Saving-
W-DEVELO	PMENT S	CHEMES-			' (In lakh	s of rupees.)
W(i)—Third	l Five-Yea	r Plan				
Ο	••	••	7,38.84			
8	-	-	29.10	7,98 · 37	9,51 • 26	+1,5 <b>2</b> · 89
R	<b>*10</b>	••	30·93 J			

The supplementary grant of Rs.  $29 \cdot 10$  lakhs taken as late as on the 30th March, 1963 and the additional fund of Rs.  $30 \cdot 93$  lakhs provided by reappropriation on the same date proved largely inadequate.

(b) The sub-heads under which other excesses of comparatively large amounts occurred are indicated below :---

	GRANTS T MENT PR FOR BOY	IMARY				
(Recurri	ing)—					
0	••	••	6·00 Z	6.65	11.57	+4.92
R	••	••	0·65 ∫	0-00	11.07	
	FOR PRI					
Other Gran	nts (Recurri	ng)—				
0	••	••	12.24	16·35	22.73	+6.38
R	• •	••	4-11 ∫	10-30	44.13	+0.39

The reasons for the excesses were not furnished by the controlling officers.

W-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

W(iii) Second Five-Year Plan

(Committed expenditure)-

0	••	• •	5,41.01			
			L L	5 <b>,26 · 6</b> 0	5 <b>,54 ·</b> 26	+27.66
R			<b>—</b> 14·41 ∫			

The excess was stated to be mainly due to increased expenditure on (i) Basic Training Institutions, Senior Basic Schools and Expansion of Basic Education (Rs.  $21 \cdot 50$  lakhs), (ii) Multipurpose Schools (Rs.  $7 \cdot 15$  lakhs), (iii) Improvement of teaching facilities (including accommodation in Arts, Science, Geography, etc.,). (Rs.  $3 \cdot 05$  lakhs), and (iv) Improvement of Government Colleges (equipment, salary, etc) (Rs.  $4 \cdot 99$  lakhs). This was partly set off by less expenditure on expansion and upgrading of High Schools (academic type and provision of Class XI) (Rs.  $9 \cdot 30$  lakhs).

The reasons for the increased expenditure were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(c) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads-

(-)	•	•			0 -	
				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+* Saving—
					(In laki	hs of rupees.)
B(i)GOVER COLLEGE	RNMENT A	NTS N—				-
B(i)-(1)—Pe	ay of Officer	<del>g</del>				
Ο	••	••	22.07 ک	29-41	<b>29 · 6</b> 2	+0.21
R		••	<b>7</b> ·34 ∫	20 11	20-02	40.24
B(i)-2-Pay	of Establish	ment				
Ο	••	••	3·83 ]	<b>9</b> 40	0 70	
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}3\cdot 83\\-0\cdot 34\end{array}\right\}$	3 · 49	3 · 52	+0.03
B(i)-3—Allo ria, etc.—	owances, Ho	nora-				
0	••	• •	1.37 2	4.64	4.76	+0.12
${f R}$	••	••	3·27 ∫	4.04	7.10	
${f B}(i)$ -4Con cies	tract Conti	ngen-				
0	••	••	0.72	0.81	0.90	+0.09
R	••	••	0∙09 ∫	••••		
$\mathbf{B}(i)$ -5Oth	er Contingen	cio <del>s</del> —				
0	••	••	3.89	3.66	3.78	+0.12
R	••	••	-0.23		••••	
B(i)-6—Gra in lieu of	nts to local b rates and ta:	odies Kes				
R	••	••	0.04	0.04	0.28	+0.24
B(ii)—GOV COLLEGES	ERNMENT SFOR WOM	ARTS EN—				
B( <i>ii</i> )-4Cor cies	ntract Conti	ngen-				
0	••	••	0.55	0.57	0.59	+0.02
R	••	••	0·02 ∫			1002
	her Conting	ncies—				•
0	• •	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot34\\-0\cdot04\end{array}\right\}$	1.30		+0-13
R	••	••	—0·04 J			•
B( <i>ii</i> )-6Gra bodies in and taxes	n lieu of	local rates 👾		••	. 0.03	+0.03

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving
					- (In lakh	s of rupees.)
C(1)GRANTS ERNMENT COLLEGES		ARTS			(	S of Tupecon,
Non-recurring	-Other	Grants				
Ο	• •	••	7.35	7.53	7.92	+0.39
R	••	••	0 • 18 ∫			1000
C(2)—GRANTS GOVERNME COLLEGES F	NT	NON- ARTS MEN—				
Non-recurring	-Other	Grants—				
0	••	• •	0· <b>6</b> 8 ]	1.30	1.32	4.0.09
R	••	••	0.64 ∫	1.90	1.92	+0.02
Lump Provision Non-Governm lege <del>s</del> —	n for Gra ent Art	ants to is Col-				
о	••	••	0∙50 ך			
R		• •	0.06	0.56	0·64	+0.08
D(a)—BENGAI ING COLLEG	. ENGIN	NEER-	-			
D(a)-4Grant bodies in lie taxes.	ts to ou of rat	local tes and	••		0 • 56	+0.26
D(b)—TRAININ FOR TEACH	NG COLI ERS	LEGES				
D(b)-3—Allow ria, etc.—	ances, H	Ionora-				
0	••	••	ر 0.09	0.11	0 • 22	+0.11
R	••	••	0.02 ∫	0 11		
D(c)—GOVERN LEGES OF CRAFTS—	MENT ARTS	COL- AND				
D(c)-1—Pay of	of Officer	rs				
0	••	••	1 • 23 ]	1.74	1.79	+0.05
R	••	••	0.51 5	1.14	1.19	+0.02
<b>D</b> (c)-6—Grants in lieu of rat				••	0.04	+0.04
D(d)—GOENKA • COMMERCE NESS ADMI CALCUTTA-	AND NISTRA	BUSI-				
D(d)-1—Pay	of Office	rs				
ο	er e	••	0·13 ]	A 1A	A. 14	10.04
R	-	818	<u> </u>	0·10	0.14	+0.04
GRANTS GOVERNME SIONAL COI						
Other Grants	••	••	••	0.18	0.74	+0.56

r

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
F(i)—GOVERNM DARY SCH BOYS—		CON- FOR			(In lakhs	s of rup <del>ee</del> s.)
<b>F</b> ( <i>i</i> )-2—Pay of	Establishn	aent				
0	••	••	ן 1•46			
R	••	••	-0·05 }	1.41	1.42	+0.01
F(i)-5Other (	Contingenc	i <del>os</del>				
0	••	••	2·33	1.98	2.06	1.0.08
R	••	••	—0· <b>3</b> 5 ∫	1-90	2.00	+0.08
F(i)-6-Grants	-in-aid		••	••	0.04	+0.04
F( <i>ii</i> )—GOVERN SECONDARY FOR GIRLS—	SCHOO	OLS				
F( <i>ii</i> )-3—Allowa ria, etc.—	nces, Hon	0 <b>га-</b>				
0	••	••	[ 0∙18	0.94	0.07	10.00
R	••	••	0.16 ∫	0.34	0.37	+0.03
G(ii)—DIRECT NON-GOVERI SECONDARY FOR GIRLS—	MENT SCHO					
Recurring						
Ordinary (	Frant	••	••	••	0.14	+0.14
G(iii)—-DIRECT NON-GOVERN SECONDARY FOR BOYS (ANGLO-INDI	NMENT SCHOO AND GII AN)	ols Rls				
Non-recurring-			0.89 )			
0	••	••	0.88	0.94	1.77	+0.83
R	••	••	0·06 J			
JDIRECT GR NON-GOVERN PRIMARY SCI BOYS AND G	IMENT HOOLS F	-				
Non-recurring– Building, fur		equipment	grant	0.07	0•42	+0•35
L—GOVERNME SCHOOLS—	NT SPEC	IAL				
L(a)—Training Masters—	School	for				
L(a)-5—Other cies—	Continger	1-				
Ο	••	••	ך 0.11	A 1A	- <b>-</b>	
R	• •	••	<b>−</b> 0·01 }	0.10	0 • 20	+0.10
L(b)—Guru Tra	ining Scho	ols—				
L(b)-1-Pay of	-	••	••	0.56	0·64	+0.08

# Grant No. 19.---Education---contd.

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
					(In laki	ns of rupees.)
L(b)-3—Alle ria, etc.—		onora-				
0	••	••	0∙04 ک	<b>0</b> •07	0.08	+0.01
R	••	••	0.03 ∫	0.01	0.08	+0.01
<b>L(b)-5</b> Oth	er Continge	ncies—				
Ο	••	••	2 • 40	2.46	2.65	+0.19
$\mathbf R$	••	••	0.06 5	2 30	2.00	
L(c)Madr	assas					
L(c)-3Allo ria, etc		onora-				
0	••	••	ر 80∙0	0.19	0.21	+0.02
R	••	••	0·1 <b>3</b> ∫	0.10	·	
L(c)-5Oth	er Continge	nci <del>es</del> —				
Ο	••	••	ر 0.09	0.06	0.10	+0.04
$\mathbf{R}$	••	• •	_0·03	0.00		1001
M(i)DIREC NON-GOVI CIAL SCH(	ERNMENT	SPE-				
Recurr	ing		••	• •	0.03	+0.03
furnitu	t Special S urring—Bui re and e	chools lding,			0.02	+0.02
ment g N—DIRECTI						
N-1—Pay o O	or Omcers		ړ 1∙28			
R		••	-0.03	1.55	1.63	+0.08
	••	••	_0.03 j			
N-2—Pay o O			[2∙41]			
R	••	• •	$\left\{\begin{array}{c} 2 & 11 \\ 0 \cdot 16 \end{array}\right\}$	$2 \cdot 57$	2.62	+0.02
R O(i)—INSPE( BRANCH—	 C <b>TION—</b> MI	 En's	ر ۵۰۱۵			
O(i)-3—Allo	wances, Ho	onora-				
ria, etc.— O			۲ 1۰50			
R	••	,	0.13	1.63	1.76	+0.13
O(i)-4Cor	 htract Cont	•• ingen-	0.13 )			
cies—			0•46 ገ			
0	• •	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.48	0.50	+0.02
R	••	••	0.02			
P-SCHOLAI	RSHIPS	• •	• •	5 · 30	<b>5 · 54</b>	+0.24

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
R-EXPENDITURE FOR PROMOTION OF EDUCA- TION AMONGST EDUCA- TIONALLY BACKWARD CLASSES-				(In lakh	в of rupees.)
Pay of Establishment	••	••	0.01	0·04	+0.03
Allowances, Honoraria, etc.	••	••	0·0 <del>4</del>	0.09	+0.02
Contingencies	••	••	0.15	0.18	+0.03
Grants-in-aid, Contributions,	6 <b>4</b> 0.	••	5.50	6·43	+0.93
T-MISCELLANEOUS-				•	
T(e)National Cadet Corps					
Other Contingencies	<b>~</b> •	••	6.60	8.66	+2.06
Deduct—Recovery from the U ment for Camp expenses			-2.20		+2.20

Cadet Corps.

The excess under the head denotes non-recovery of the proportionate expenses incurred in connection with the National Cadet Corps during the year. The reasons for the non-recovery were not furnished by the Controlling Officer.

T(i)—Public Rachanab		abindra				
Ο	••	••	25·21 ک	14.99	14.35	1 0.09
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}25\cdot21\\-10\cdot88\end{array}\right\}$	14.33	14.20	+0.02
$\mathbf{T}(j)$ —Other	Charges-	_				
<b>Gra</b> nts for e literature	ncourager —	ment of				
Ο	••	••	0.25	0.05	0.40	. 0. 10
R	••	• •	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 25\\ 0\cdot 02\end{array}\right\}$	0.27	0.46	+0.19
Grants-in-aic Donations		outions,				
Ο	••	••	3·24 ]		0 55	. 0. 19
R		••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 3\cdot 24\\ 0\cdot 38\end{array}\right\}$	<b>3</b> · 62	3.75	+0.13
<b>U</b> —WORKS	••	••	••		<b>0</b> ·04	+0.04
W( <i>ii</i> )—First (Committe			••	3,54 · 03	<b>3,</b> 55 · <b>3</b> 2	+1.29

(iv) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent—

G-DIRECT GRANT<sup>S</sup> TO NON-GOVERNMENT SECON-DARY SCHOOLS-

G(1)—Direc Governme Schools f	et grants ent Sec or Boys—	to non- condary				
ο	••	••	61.71 ک	60.08	54.72	5 · 36
R	••	••	-1.63	60-08	04.12	- 5. 34

	Total	Actual	Excess +
	Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving -
ERNMENT PRIMARY		(In lak	hs of rupees.)

. .

. .

I-GOVERNMENT PRIMARY SCHOOLS--

0	• •	••	4·11 ک
R	••	••	$-4 \cdot 11 $

The reasons for the savings under the above two sub-heads were not furnished by the controlling authority.

T-MISCELLANEOUS-

T(i)-Publication of Rabindra

Rachanabali---

Ο		••	ך 25 · 21			
				14.33	$14 \cdot 35$	+0.02
R	• •	• •	<b>—</b> 10·88 ∫			•

The net saving of Rs. 10.86 lakes in the original provision (43.1 per cent.) was stated to be due to the scheme for publication of the required number of volumes during the year not having been implemented in full.

T(j)—Other Charges—

Ο	• •	••	ן 18∙26			
				$12 \cdot 02$	$12 \cdot 11$	+0.09
R	••	••	-6.24			

The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6.15 lakhs (33.7 per cent.) in the original provision were not furnished by the controlling authority.

#### W-DEVELOPMENT SCHEME-

W(v) Centrally Sponsored Schemes-

0	• •	• •	ך 28.91			
				19.16	6.66	$-12 \cdot 50$
R		• •	<b>—9·75</b> ∫			

The total saving of Rs.  $22 \cdot 25$  lakhs in the original provision (76.9 per cent.) was attributed to staggering of expenditure on the schemes under this head to accommodate the immediate requirements for excess expenditure on several schemes of urgent nature on priority consideration. The saving was mainly under the Schemes (i) Improvement and expansion of training facilities for teachers in Indian languages (Hindi, etc.) (Rs. 1.24 lakhs), (ii) Improvement of the salaries of the teachers in Indian languages (Hindi, etc.) (Rs. 1.50 lakhs), (iii) Production of literary reading materials (Rs. 0.90 lakh), (iv) Improvement and expansion of indigeneous Sanskrit Institutions (Rs. 1.65 lakhs), (v) Facilities for teaching Sanskrit and other languages in Secondary Schools (Rs. 1.35 lakhs), (vi) Facilities for teaching Sanskrit and other languages at collegiate level (Rs. 1.95 lakhs), (vii) Setting up of Engineering Institutions (Rs. 2.67 lakhs).

(v) Reserve Funds—Fund for promotion of education amongst educationally backward classes.

The Fund is intended for advancement of education of members of backward classes and is financed by contributions from the State Government. The expenditure incurred for the purpose is, in the first instance, booked under this grant and finally charged to the Fund to the extent available.

The expenditure incurred for the purpose during the year amounted to Rs.  $12 \cdot 26$  lakhs and the amount charged to the Fund was Rs.  $11 \cdot 24$  lakhs only.

There was no balance at the credit of the fund on the 31st March, 1963.

An account of the Fund was incorporated in the statement at page 98 of Part II of the Finance Accounts.

## Grant No. 20.---Medical.

			Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "29—Med	ical''				
Voted		Rs.			
Gross		178.			
Original	••	7,92,39,500	)	0 44 40 570	40 00 000
Original Supplementary	••	27,92,400	5 8,20,31,900	8,00,08,073	+ 40,30,073
Deductions					
Original	••	- 63,84,500	-63 84 500	- 50 93 109	L 19 01 308
Supplementary	••	• •	} -63,84,500		T 12,01,000
Net				•• •	
Original Supplementary	••	7,28,55,000	7 56 47 400	9 15 75 471	L KO 98 071
Supplementary	••	27,92,400	<i>f 1,00,11,100</i>	6,10,70,471	7-00,20,071
Charged—					
Original Supplementary	••	••	} 11,600	A 950	7 250
Supplementary	••	11,600	5 11,000	¥,&0 <b>V</b>	-1,000

Note.—The expenditure shown in the charged appropriation does not include a sum of Rs. 1,004 met initially out of an advance from the contingency fund of the State, but not reimbursed to the fund before the close of the year. The advance was sanctioned in February, 1963 for meeting decretal costs awarded by the Court.

### Notes and comments-

### Voted Grant.

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 59.28 lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs. 27.92 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963, proved largely inadequate.

(ii) The excess of Rs. 59, 28, 671 in the voted grant requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs. 70.38 lakhs over the provision of Rs. 4,20.55 lakhs made under 55 sub-heads, partly counter balanced by savings amounting to Rs. 11.10 lakhs in the provision of Rs. 3,28.71 lakhs made under 48 sub-heads.

(a) The sub-heads under which excesses of comparatively large amounts occurred are indicated below :----

(In lakhs of rupees.)

H.—PROVINCIALISATION OF SADAR AND SUBDIVI-SIONAL HOSPITALS—

(iv) Other contingencies-

0	••	••	ך 80 22			
_			8 · 22	31.02	50·16	+19.14
R	••	• •	8·22 J			

The excess was attributed mainly to unanticipated adjustment of outstanding departmental bills for supply of stores towards the close of the financial year.

The additional provision of Rs.  $8 \cdot 22$  lakes made by reappropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved inadequate.

Total Grant.	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess}$ +-
	Expenditure.	Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

L.-SUSPENSE-STOCK-

(a) Issues to other Governments, Departments, etc.—

The excess was due to shortfall in recovery which was attributed to non-preparation of bills for want of adequate staff.

M.-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

(b) First Five-Year Plan-

Gross-

0	••	• •	1,76.36 }			
8	••	• •	19.10	<b>2,00</b> · 14	<b>2,</b> 11 · 73	+11.59
R	••	••	<b>4</b> ⋅68 ∫			

The excess was mainly due to (i) increase in the number of rural dispensaries and establishment of P. H. Units (Rs. 5.78 lakhs), (ii) maintenance of A.G. Hospital (Rs. 1.66 lakhs) and (iii) establishment of a T. B. Hospital at Kanchrapara and establishment of a T. B. Sanatorium, etc. (Rs. 2.76 lakhs).

In view of the final excess, the additional funds obtained by supplementary grant and reappropriation on the 30th March, 1963, proved inadequate.

(c) Second Five-Year Plan (Committed expenditure)-----

ο	• •	••	1,05 · 34			
8	••	••	8 · 82	1,31 · 86	1,47 · 21	+15.35
R	••	••	17.70			

The excess occurred under the schemes (i) Expansion and Establishment of T. B. Hospitals (Rs 2.09 lakhs), (ii) Rural Health Centres (Rs. 2.86 lakhs), (iii) Improvement and Establishment of Hospitals other than Sadar and Subdivisional Hospitals (Rs. 4.13 lakhs), (iv) Improvement and Establishment of District and Subdivisional Health Centres (Hospitals) (Rs. 3.00 lakhs) and (v) Provision for an Infectious Diseases Hospital in Calcutta (Rs. 2.27 lakhs).

(b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads :----

A-MEDICAL ESTABLISH. MENT-

(a) Superintendence-

(i) Pay of establishment—

-(iii) Oth	er Continge	noies	••	0.19	Û·39	<b>+€·20</b>
R	••	••	0 ⋅ 20 ∫	1.20	1.40	+0.04
0	••	••	1.16	1.36	1.40	+0.04
(ii) Allov etc.—	wances, Ho	noraria,				
R	••	••	0·46	0.20	0.21	+0.01
0	••	••	5.80 ک	6.26	<del>8</del> · 27	1.0.01

			Total	l Grant.	Actual Expenditure. (In lakhs	Excess + Saving - of rupees.)
(b) District lishment—	Medical	Estab-				•
(i) Pay of	officers-	-				
Ο	••	••	5·55 J	5.67	<b>r</b> 00	
R	••	• •	0.12	0.01	5.69	+0.02
(ii) Pay of	f establish	ment				
Ο	••	••	3 · 23	3.56	3.59	+0.03
R	••	••	0.33	3.00	0.09	- <b>v</b> · <b>v</b>
(iii) Allow etc.—	ances, H	onoraria,				
Ο	••	• •	1 • 23 ک	1.50	1.58	.4.0.09
$\mathbf{R}$	••	• •	0.27	1.00	1.09	<b>+</b> 0∙08
(iv) Other	Conting	encies				
Ο	••	••	ر 0⋅33 ک	0.56	<b>0</b> • 70	
R	••	••	0.23	0.90	0.10	+0.14
(c) Reserve dinates	M odic <b>a</b> l	Subor-				
(i) Pay of	officers-	-				
Ο	••	••	ل 8⋅01	13.01	10 47	
R	• •	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 8\cdot01\\ 5\cdot00\end{array}\right\}$	13.01	13.67	+0.66
The excess and on supern	was expl umerary	lained as due duties.	to placing of a la	rge numbe	or of officers on t	raining reserve
(ii) Pay o	f establis	hment				

0	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 99 \\ 7 \cdot 88 \end{array} \right\}$	10.87	11.62	+0.75
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	7⋅88 ∫	10.01	14-04	<b>TO</b> 10

The excess was explained as due to appointment of a large number of leave reserve staff during the year.

(iii) Allo etc.—	wances, Ho	onoraria			-	
ο	••	••	2.15	5.24	5.27	( 0-02
R	••	• •	3·09 ∫	0.24	0.21	+0.03
(d) Charge tion of	s for admi f Drugs Act	inistra-				
(i) Other	r contingenc	ies		0.05	Ú•08	+0.03

# Grant No. 20.---Medical---contd.

			т	'otal Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
(e) Organis ance vehicle		inten- rs of			(In lakh	s of rupees.)
(i) Pay c	of establishm	ent				
0	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 78 \\ 0 \cdot 14 \end{array} \right\}$	0.92	0.94	+0.02
R	••	••	<b>0</b> ·14 ∫	0 82	0.01	+0-02
(ii) Allow etc.—	vances, Hono	o <b>raria,</b>				
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 17\\ -0\cdot 08\end{array}\right\}$	0.09	0.11	+0.02
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	_0·08 ∫	0.03	0.11	70.02
ment able fr	uct — Este oharges re- om other Go Departr	cover- overn-				
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} -0\cdot10\\ -0\cdot40\end{array}\right\}$	-0.50	-0.23	+0.27
R	••	••	∫ 0·40 ∫		0 20	1021
B—HOSPIT. PENSARI (a) Preside and Dist	ES—	DIS- spitals				
_	of establishm	ent—				
0	••		ر 31·32 ]			
R		• •	<b>4</b> ⋅68 }	<b>36.00</b>	36.17	+0.17
(ii) Cont ci <del>es</del> —	ract Cont	ingen-				
0		0.0	8·00 J	6-50	6.82	+0.32
R	••	818	0.50 ∫	0-50	0.82	+0.32
(iii) Gra bution	nts-in-aid, o 18, etc.—	contri-				•
R	••	••	1.18	1.18	1 • 41	+0•23
(c) Mufuss Dispense	il Hospitals aries—	s a <b>n</b> d				
(i) Pay	of officers-					
0	••	••	1.28	1.52	1.54	+0.02
R	••	••	<b>0</b> ·24 ∫	1-04	1-04	Ŧ <b>v·v</b> #
(ii) Pay	of establish	ment				
0	••	••	7 • 38 ک	7.60	7.88	+0•28
, R	••	e: •	0·22 ∫	7.00	4.00	TU-90

# Grant No. 20.-Medical-contd.

			2	Fotal Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
(iii) Allow raria, et		Hono-			(In lakhs	of rupees.)
Ο	••	••	ړ 1⋅13			
R	••	••	1 · 19	2.32	2 · 41	+0.09
(iv) Other	Conting	encies				
Ο	••	••	7.47 ]			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 7\cdot 47\\ 2\cdot 00\end{array}\right\}$	9 • 47	13.80	+4.33
(f) R. G. Ka	r Hospit	al—				
(ii) Other	Conting	oncie <del>s —</del>				
Ο	••	••	ر 9∙06 ک	11 49	19 00	
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}9\cdot06\\2\cdot37\end{array}\right\}$	11.43	13.30	+1.87
D—MEDICAL AND SCHO		LEGES				
(c) R. G. College—	Kar	Medical				
(iv) Other	Conting	encies		$0 \cdot 62$	1 · 83	+1.21
The excesse arrear departm	s under nental bi	the foregoing ills of Central	3 sub-heads v Medical Stor	vere explained es than anticij	as due to larger a pated.	adjustments of
As such a non-provision o	djustme of adequ	nts are mad ate additional	o after accep funds indica	tance of bills l tes dofective b	by the controlling and cor	ng officer, the atrol.
(c) Mufassil Disponsari	Hospit e <del>s</del> —	als and				
(vi) Grant and Dis	s to l pensaries	Iospitals 				
Ο	••	••	25·22 ]	0.0 57	08.00	
R	••	••	1.35	26.57	26.62	+0.05
(f) R. G. Ka	r Hospit	al				
(i) Contra- cies—	ct Co	ntingen-				
Ο	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 95\\ -0\cdot 07\end{array}\right\}$	0.88	1.04	+0.16
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	-0.07	. 0.00	1.04	+0.10
(g) Medical gional Eng Durgapur-	gineering					
(i) <i>Deduct</i> the Regi College,	ional En	ery from gineering ur, etc.—				
0	••	• •	$\left.\begin{array}{c} -0\cdot 12\\ 0\cdot 03\end{array}\right\}$	- 0 · 09		+0.09
к 4	••		0.03 ∫			

# Grant No 20.-Medical-contd.

			Т	otal Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
	of Techno	e Ins- ology,			(In lai	ths of rupees)
Kharagpu (i) Pay of						
R	••	••	0.06	0.06	0.08	+0.02
the Ins	ct—Recover titute of Te harágpur—	echno-				
R	••	••	-0.37	-0.37	-0.29	+0.08
D-MEDICAI AND SCHO		EGES				
(a) Medical	College, Cal	loutta				
(i) Pay of	f office <b>rs</b>					
0	••	••	6·98 }	6.75	6.80	+0.05
R	••	••	-0.23	• • •		1000
(ii) Pay o	f establishn	aent				
0	••	••	2·04	2.17	2 · 19	+0.02
$\mathbf R$	••	••	0.13			•
iii) Cont cies—	ract Cont	tingen •				
0	••	••	0.36	0.38	0.39	+0.01
R	••	• •	ر 0 • 02	• • •		
(iv) Othe	er Continger	ncies		1.01	1.13	+0.12
(d) State I Service—	Blood Tran	sfusion			-	
(i) Pay o	f establishr	nent—				
0	••	•	0·80 }	0.86	0.87	+0.01
R	••	••	0.06			
(ii) Allov etc.—	vances, Hor	noraria				
0	••	• •	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 25 \end{array} \right\}$	0.33	0.38	+0.05
R	••	••	0.08	-		
(iii) Othe	er Continger	ncies— ·				
0	••	••	<b>4</b> ⋅00 }	4.98	5.36	+0.38
R	••	••	0.98 ∫			

# Gront No. 20.-Medical-contd.

			Tota	l Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
					(In lakh	s of rupees.)
(e) R. G. College—	Kar	Medical				
(i) Pay of	officers	3				
Ο	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 43\\ -0\cdot 07\end{array}\right\}$	0 · 36	0.37	+ <b>ð</b> ·01
R	••	••	_0·07 ∫	0.20	0.37	+0.01
(ii) Pay of	establi	ishment—				
Ο	• •	••	3·78 J	3.68	3.69	+0.01
R	••	••	<b>−</b> 0·10 <b>∫</b>	<b>J</b> · VO	3.03	<b>+0.01</b>
(iii) Contra cies—	act (	Contingen-				
Ο	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 20\\ 0\cdot 05\end{array}\right\}$	0.25	0 · 28	+0.03
R	••	••	0.05	• ••	0 20	, • • • •
E-MENTAL	HOSP	ITALS-				
(i) Pay of (	officers					
ο	••	••	ر ۵۰۵ ک	0.04	0.00	
R	••	••	-0.02	0.04	0 · <b>0</b> 6	+0.02
(ii) Pay of	establi	ishment				
Ο	••	••	0∙48 ک	0.54	0.55	+0.01
R	••	••	0.06	0.04	0.00	
(iii) Allowar etc.—	ices,	Honoraria,				
0	••	••	0∙07 ک	0.08	0.09	+0.01
R	• •	••	0.01	0.00	• •••	
H—PROVINO SADAR SIONAL HO	ND	SUBDIVI-				
(i) Pay of e	stablisł	ment—				
0	••	••	21.61 ک	18.50	18.71	+0.21
R	• •	••	<b>-3</b> ·11 ∫	10 00		10
(ii) Allowan etc.—	lces,	Honoraria,				
Ο	••	••	3·02	4.36	4.54	+0.18
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	1·34 ∫			-
(iii) Contrad	ct Cont	tingencies	••	<b>6 · 2</b> 0	6·47	+0.27
(v) Grants- butions, (	in-aid, etc.—	Contri-				
R	••	••	0.10	0.10	0.12	+0.05

# Grant No. 20.-Medical-contd.

				Total	Grant.	Actual Expenditure.			cess+ ving-
I-MISCELLAN	EOUS-					(In	lakhs	of	rupees.)
(e) Expenditu tion with n completed Developmen and Nation Service Bloc	re in conn naintenance Commun t Proje nal Extens	of ity cts							
(i) Health Community Blocks—	Centres Developme	in ent							
Allowances, etc.—	Honoraria,								
Ο	••	••	0.42	<u></u>	0.73		0.75		+0.02
R	••	••	0.31	5	0.00				1005
J-WORKS-									
Water Supply Second Plan	Sources Scheme	of							
R	••	••	1 • 20		$1 \cdot 20$		1 • 36		+0.16
M-DEVELOPI	LENT SCHI	EMES							
(a) Third Five	-Yoar Plan-	–(G <b>r</b> oss)							
Ο	••	••	$92 \cdot 19$		<b>48</b> •02	4	8.11		+0.09
${f R}$	••	••	-44·17	ſ	10 01	-			1000
a(i) Deduct from the poration—	E. S. I. C								
Ο	••	••	-24.00	2	-0.12				+0.12
R	••	••	<b>23 · 88</b>	<u>}</u>	-012	••			
(b) First Five	Year Plan-	-							
from othe	— Establi ges recovera r Governmen onts, etc.—	ble							
Ο	••	••	$-2 \cdot 81$ $-2 \cdot 08$	J	-4·89	_	<b>4 · 6</b> 5		+0.24
R	••	••	-2.08	S					10
(f) Cooch Beh	ar Developr	aent							
Deduct—Amou from "Ger Fund, Cooch	neral Reso	net rve							
0	••	••	-0.20 $-0.15$	1	-0.35		0.23		+0.12
R	••	••	-0·15	ſ			~ = 5		
(iii) In the fo excessive :	llowing Gro	up Head,	the addi	tional f	und p <b>rov</b> i	ided by re	approp	riati	on proved

£ excessive :----

C-GRANTS FOR MEDICAL PURPOSES-0 10.57• • • •  $1 \cdot 59 \int$ 12.16 11.04  $-1 \cdot 12$ R • • • •

The additional fund of Rs. 1.59 lakhs obtained by reappropriation on the 30th March, 1963, for meeting anticipated payment of grants-in-aid to a larger number of non-Government Insti-tutions, remained unutilised to the extent of Rs. 1.12 lakhs. The reason for this was not furnished by the controlling officer.

(iv) In the following Group Heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent.

			Total Gra	ant. Actua Expendit	
				(Ir	a lakhs of rupces.)
M-DEVELO	PMENT S	CHEMES_			
(1)—Third	Five-Year 1	Plan			
0	••	••	9 <u>7</u> 2·19	·02 4	8.11 +0.09
R	• •	••	-44.17	· U2 4	

The net saving of Rs. 44.08 lakhs in the original provision (47.8 per cent.) was attributed to (i) non-extension of the E. S. I. (Medical Benefit) Schemes (Rs. 31.83 lakhs) due to non-completion of construction of the E. S. I. Hospitals, (ii) partial implementation of the Scheme for "Post-Graduate Education and Research," owing to (a) non-purchase of equipment for want of import licence (Rs. 2.29 lakhs), (b) non-implementation of the Schome for improvement of two departments of the Institute of Post-Graduato Education and Research (Rs. 1.80 lakhs) and (c) postponement of certain construction work owing to National Emergency (Rs. 1.38 lakhs), (iii) non-implementation of the Scheme for Domiciliary Medical Service (Rs. 4.00 lakhs) as a measure of economy during National Emergency and (iv) unfilled vacancies owing to non-opening of several Hospitals under the Scheme for "Improvement and establishment of Sadar and Subdivisional Hospitals" (Rs. 4.35 lakhs) for non-completion of necessary preliminaries.

(2) M(e)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

0	••	•••	6·85 ]
R	••	••	<b>-6</b> ·85 ∫

Provision for expenditure on the Schomes, 'Post-graduate Education and Research' and 'Ayurvedic Education' was made under the group head 'M(a)-Development Schemes-Third Five Year Plan' within the grant. These schemes were to be treated as centrally sponsored schemes and that portion of the expenditure which was to be borne by the Government of India was to be transferred to this head, viz. 'M(e)-Centrally Sponsored Schemes'.

. .

. .

• •

Although the schemes were partially implemented during the year, the requisite allocation of proportionate charges could not be made for want of sanction. The provision under the group head thus remained wholly unutilised."

(v) Suspense.—The Group Head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for the purchase of medical stores by the Assistant Director of Health Services (Equipment and Stores) and supply thereof to different Institutions.

The gross expenditure adjusted during the year amounted to Rs. 1,76.10 lakhs against the original provision of Rs. 1,50.00 lakhs which was increased to Rs. 1,80.00 lakhs by reappropriation of Rs. 30.00 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963.

The transactions under the head during the year were as follows :----

			(In	n lakhs of rupees.)		
Oponing balance	••	••	••	2,04 · 26		
Gross charges	••	••	••	1,76 · 10		
Deduct—Issues to ot etc.	<b>— 1,79</b> · 20					
Closing balance	••	••	••	2,01 · 16		

		Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving
Major Head "30—Public Health" Voted—-	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Original Supplomentary	3,61,57,000 	3,61,57,000	3,35,76,976	25,80,024
Charged— Original Supplementary	 69,700	<b>6</b> 9,700	69,553	147

Note—The expenditure in the charged appropriation does not include an amount of Rs. 115 representing the balance of the decretal cost of Rs. 1,271 which was met out of an advance from the Contingency Fund and not reimbursed to the fund by taking a supplementary appropriation during the year. The advance was sanctioned in March, 1963 for meeting decretal cost awarded by the Court.

### Notes and comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) In the voted grant, no portion of the saving of Rs.  $25 \cdot 80$  lakes forming  $7 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the original provision was surrendered during the year.

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent.

I-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

I(d) Centrally-sponsored Schemes (committed expenditure)— National Water Supply and Sanitation Schemes—

 O
 ..
  $20 \cdot 00$  ..
  $6 \cdot 39$   $6 \cdot 44$   $+0 \cdot 05$  

 R
 ..
  $-13 \cdot 61$  ..
  $6 \cdot 39$   $6 \cdot 44$   $+0 \cdot 05$ 

(In lakhs of rupees.)

The net saving of Rs. 13.56 lakhs in the original provision (67.8 per cent.) was stated to be due to the fact that grants to Municipalitics could not be sanctioned owing to delay in observance of certain formalities under Sanitary Project Rules.

I(e)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

0	••	••	٦ 31·00 ک	1 51	0.00	
R	••		$\left.\begin{array}{c}31\cdot00\\-29\cdot49\end{array}\right\}$	1.51	$2 \cdot 02$	+0.51

Provision for expenditure on the scheme, "Maternity, Child Welfare and Family Planning Centre" was made under the group-head 'I(a) Development Schemes—Third Five Year Plan'. This scheme was, however, to be treated as a contrally sponsored Scheme and the portion of expenditure on this scheme which was to be borne by the Government of India was to be transferred to this group-head viz. 'I(e)—Centrally-Sponsored Schemes.'

The net saving of Rs.  $28 \cdot 98$  lakhs in the original provision ( $93 \cdot 5$  per cent) was the result of short-fall in the expenditure under the group-head 'I(a)', and consequential less allocation under this group-head, owing to late finalisation of the scheme by the State Government.'

K-LUMP PROVISION 1	FOR				
INCREASE OF PAY	••	••	7 · 38,	••	-7.38

The lump provision of Rs. 7.38 lakhs was intended to be transferred to various other heads within the grant in which there might be any excess due to increase of pay as a result of revision of pay scales of the staff. But the amount was neither re-appropriated to other heads nor surrendered during the year. (iii) In the following Group Head, instead of providing additional funds, a sum of Rs. 0.35 lakh was withdrawn by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963.

Total Grant. Actual Excess+ Expenditure. Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

A(a) Director of Health Services-

0	••	••	ך 39 10.			
				10.04	11.05	+1.01
R	••	••	_0·35			

The excess was explained as mainly due to revision of pay scales.

(iv) Although no provision was made originally under the following Group Head, an expenditure of Rs. 1.25 lakhs was incurred during the year. The funds were provided by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963.

As the expenditure under the head is a regular feature of the department, the non-provision therefor in the original estimate is indicative of defective budgeting.

A(g)—Reserve Public Health Subordinate—

**R** .. ..  $1 \cdot 25$   $1 \cdot 25$   $1 \cdot 25$  ..

(v) **Suspense**—The Group Head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for the purchase and supply of equipments and other materials for Water Supply, Sanitation and other Schemes of the Public Health Department.

The nature and accounting procedure of the transaction under this head have been explained at page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts. A sum of Rs. -16.18 lakhs was adjusted during the year against the original provision of Rs. -10.00 lakhs.

## Grant No. 22.- Agriculture-Agriculture-(All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Heads "31—Agriculture" and "95 Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Improvement and Research".	Rs.			
Gross—				
Original Supplementary	9,62,47,200	<b>)</b> <b>5</b> 9 62 47 200	6 01 68 407	- 2 60 78 702
Supplementary	••	J	0,01,00,407	- 3,00,78,783
Deductions-				
Original	- 7,11,200	7 - 7.11.200	- 2 19 041	+ 4,92,159
Supplomentary	••	}	_,,	1 1,02,100
Net				
Original	9,55,36,000 	<b>}</b> ▶ 9.55.36.000	5.99.49.366	3 55 86 634
Supplementary	••	5	-,, 20,000	,,
Amount surrendered during the	year (March, 19	63)	••	2,65,53,500

### Notes and comments-

(i) The saving of Rs.  $3,55 \cdot 87$  lakes formed  $37 \cdot 2$  per cent. of the total provision.

(ii) There were large savings under this grant during the preceding five years also, as indicated below :---

					Total provision.	Saving.
					(In lakhs	s of rupees.)
••	••	••	••	••	6,5 <b>3 · 4</b> 4	1,61 · 52
••	••	••	••	• •	4,81 · 74	<b>75 · 4</b> 8
••	••	••	••	••	5,10.81	1,16 - 17
• •	••	••	••	••	4,86 · 23	43.14
••	••	••	••	• •	9,48.76	<b>4,14</b> ·5 <b>9</b>
	 	··· ·· ·· ··	··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··	··· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ·· ··		provision. (In lakh 

The savings were attributed to non-implementation of a number of development schemes.

(iii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :---

	Total Grant	Expenditure.	Saving —
31—Agriculturə		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
S—Lump provision for increase of pay	8.00	••	-8·00

The lump provision of Rs. 8.00 lakhs made for eventual transfer to other heads consequent on revision of pay scales of staff proved ultimately unnecessary in view of the final saving of Rs. 3.55.87 lakhs in the grant. The amount was also not surrendered during the year, the reasons for which were stated as due to oversight.

### 31---Agriculture

L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

L.(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0.	••		3,60·31 J			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1,93.29	1,71.57	$-21 \cdot 72$
R.	••	••	-1,67.02		·	

The total saving of Rs.  $1,88 \cdot 74$  lakhs in the original provision  $(52 \cdot 4 \text{ por cent})$  was explained as due to non-execution of 47 schemes owing to non-completion of preliminaries (Rs.  $65 \cdot 49$ lakhs), revision of a good number of schemes by merging the same into comprehensive schemes (Rs.  $14 \cdot 21$  lakhs) and smaller expenditure on several other schemes (Rs.  $32 \cdot 96$  lakhs).

Out of the above 47 schemes, 32 schemes (provision Rs.  $49 \cdot 91$  lakhs), which remained to be executed during the year had also remained unexecuted during the last year for similar reasons. The names of the major schemes which remained unexecuted during 1962-63 as well as in 1961-62 are given below :—

- (1) Strengthening of the Seed Testing Organisations.
- (2) Establishment of School for training of Farmer's sons.
- (3) Scheme for Farm advisory work.
- (4) Estiblishment of a Research Institute at Haringhata.
- (5) Flood resistant paddy Research Station.
- (6) Maize Research Station at Kalyani and Kalimpong.
- (7) Establishment of a Potato Experimental Station in Hooghly District.
- (8) Establishment of a Statistical Unit.
- (9) Improved Agricultural implements for V.L.W. Block Headquarters and Farm Advisory Headquarters.
- (10) Scheme for improvement of market intelligence.
- (11) Soil Conservation Extension work on waste land and Agricultural Land.
- (12) Setting up of a State-owned Cold Storage.

52

Grant No. 22.—Agriculture—Agriculture—contd.

Total Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
	ponutouro.	saving

(In lakhs of rupees.)

L(f). Schemes Outside the State Plan-

L(f)(1).—Ir	tensive Fo	od Product	ion Schemes—			
О.	••	••	2,72.45	2,48 · 43	1,99.07	40.90
R.	••	••	—24·02 ∫	2,40.43	1,89.07	-49.36

The total saving of Rs.  $73 \cdot 38$  lakhs in the original provision (26.9 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to lifting of less quantity of fortilisers by the distributors than anticipated and non-settlement of certain claims of the distributors during the year.

# 95—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Agricultural Improvement and Research—

**T**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

T(a)—Third	d Five-Yea	ar Plan—				
о.	••	••	1,81-98 2	1,03 · 15	94.50	-8.65
R.	••	• •	<b>-</b> 78·83 ∫	1,05-10	34.00	-0.00

The total saving of Rs. 87.48 lakhs in the original provision (48 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-execution of 13 Schemes owing to non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 49 31 lakhs), and less expenditure on 'Deep Tube-well Irrigation Scheme' owing to failure of the Contractor (a foreign firm) to complete the stipulated number of deep tube-wells as provided for (Rs. 38.00lakhs).

Out of the above 13 Schemes, which remained to be executed during this year, 8 Schemes (provision Rs.  $5 \cdot 65$  lakhs) had also remained un-executed during the last year for similar reasons. The names of the major schemes which remained un-executed during 1962-63 as well as in 1961-62 are given below :—

- (1) Establishment of a Second Agricultural College.
- (2) Establishment of a Research Institute at Haringhata.
- (3) Establishment of a Central Rice Research Station at Chinsurah.
- (4) Soil Conservation Research Stations in latorito Soil Zones and on the hills.

U—Other Schomes—

Ο.	••		3·90 ]			
			(	1 · 31	1 • 39	+0.08
R.	• •	••	<i>—</i> 2·59 ∫			•

The net saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 51$  lakhs in the original provision ( $64 \cdot 4$  per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to partial implementation of the scheme for Establishment of a Jute-seed Multiplication Farm at Bhajanghat, owing to non-completion of the preliminaries pertaining to certain constructional works of the scheme.

(iv) In the following Group Head the recovery fell short of the original estimate.

### 31--- Agriculture

M—Deduct—Amount	$\mathbf{met}$	from	$\mathbf{the}$	$-4 \cdot 18$	-0.84	+3.34
Deposit Account of Indian Council of A						

The short-fall in recovery to the extent of Rs. 3.34 lakhs (79.9 per cent. of the estimate) was due to less expenditure on the research schemes undertaken at the instance of the Indian Council of Agricultural Research.

(v) In the following case funds provided by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963 proved largely excessive :

	31	-Agricultu	170					
L(c). Second Five-Year Plan ( expenditure)—			(committed					
Ō	•	••	••	18.89	ſ	24.52	19.28	-5.24
R		••	••	5.63	5	24 02	10 20	-0.24

The reason for the final saving had not been received from the controlling officer.

(vi) **Subsidies** :—Subsidies amounting to Rs. 0.76 lakh were paid to Companies, Corporations, etc., during the year to compensate them for the loss sustained in selling the fertilisers at a rate fixed by Government below the cost of production.

(vii) Deposit Account of Grant made by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research—The grants received from the Council towards the cost of research schemes undertaken at their instance are credited to this deposit account. The expenditure incurred on the schemes are booked under the Group Heads E (b) and E(c) of this Grant.

At the end of the year, amounts equivalent to the share of expenditure to be met from the grants made by the Council are debited to this deposit account by corresponding credits to the revenue head "XXV—Agriculture".

The details of the transaction during the year 1962-63 have been indicated in Statement 16 at page 103 of the Finance Accounts of the Government of West Bengal.

## Grant No. 23.—Agriculture—Fisheries -- (All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving —
Major Head "31—Agricultu	re"—Fisheries.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Rs.			
Gross—				
<sup>,</sup> Original	48,37,9	48,37,900	26,95,841	- 21 42 059
Supplementary		<u>+۵,۵7,000</u>	20,00,011	21,12,000
Deductions—				
Original	10,9	- 10,900	- 9 649	+-2,252
Supplementary	•••	ر	- 0,040	7-2,202
Net—				
Original	48,27,0	)00 } } 48,27,000	26,87,193	-21,39,807
Supplementary		۶ 43,21,000 ا	20,07,199	- 21,35,8UV
Amount surrendered (March, 1963).	during the ye	əar		19,58,738

### Notes and comments --

(i) The saving of Rs. 21.40 lakhs formed 44.3 per cent. of the original provision.

In the preceding two years also the savings under the grant were Rs. 7.58 lakhs (22.4 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 14.23 lakhs (38.5 per cent. of the provision).

(ii) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent-

C-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-C(i)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}28\cdot40\\-18\cdot09\end{array}\right\}$	10.31	8.79	-1.52
R.	••	••	—18·09 ∫	10.31	0.19	-1.02

The total saving of Rs. 19.61 lakhs in the original provision (69.0 per cent) was mainly due to (i) non-implementation of certain Schemes for want of Government sanction (Rs. 7.42 lakhs), (ii) less expenditure on the Scheme for 'Increasing the present rate of production of Shark Liver Oil and Fish meal in West Bengal' due to late receipt of sanction (Rs. 2.14 lakhs), (iii) slow progress of work for want of supervision (Rs. 4.07 lakhs) and (iv) delay in selection of site (Rs. 1.85 lakhs).

In 1961-62 also the saving under this Group Head was Rs. 6.55 lakhs (53.9 per cent. of the original provision).

(In lakhs of rupees.)

(7 ) ) 7 ( ) )

## Grant No. 23.—Agriculture—Fisheries—concld.

## Grant No. 24.—Animal Husbandry -- (All Voted)

		Total Grant	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excoss+ Saving-
Major Heads "33—Animal "124—Capital Outlay on ernment Trading".		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
-	Rs.			
Gross—				
Original Supplementary	5,39,83,562	<b>}</b> 5,39,83,562	3.62.76.748	-1.77.06.814
Supplementary	•• ••	}		_,,,
Deductions				
Original Supplementary	3,32,91,562	2-3 32 91 562	- 2 18 18 560	⊥1 14 73 00 <b>2</b>
$\mathbf{Supplementary}$	•• ••	<u></u>	2,10,10,000	- 1,11,10,002
Net				
Original	··· 2,06,92,000 ··· ··	2 06 92 000	1 44 58 188	- 62 33 812
$\mathbf{Supplementary}$	•• ••	{ <b>2,00,02</b> ,000	1,11,00,100	02,00,012
Amount surrendered during t				45,40,658

### Notes and comments----

(i) The saving of Rs.  $62 \cdot 34$  lakes formed  $30 \cdot 1$  per cent. of the original provision of Rs. 2,06•92 lakes.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under this grant were Rs. 1,47.92 lakhs (79 per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $65 \cdot 17$  lakhs ( $44 \cdot 2$  per cent. of the provision).

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent. (In lakhs of rupees).

(a) J-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

J(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

- J(a)(ii) Expansion of Biological Product Stations—

This Scheme was first provided for in 1961-62 with a provision of Rs.  $3 \cdot 00$  lakhs which remained wholly unutilised during that year. In 1962-63, a reduced provision of Rs.  $1 \cdot 16$  lakhs for the scheme was again made, but the scheme was not implemented this year also, and the whole provision remained unutilised.

55

. .

. .

## Grant No. 24.—Animal Husbandry—contd.

The reasons for non-implementation of the scheme during both the years were not furnished by the controlling authority.

			Tota	l Grant	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
(b) J(a)(iii) A	Aid Centre	s and Clinic	g		(In lakh	s of rupees.)
0.	••	••	3 · 18 ]	0.45	0.44	-0.01
<b>R.</b>	••	••	—2·73 ∫	0-20	0.11	-0.01

The total saving of Rs. 2.74 lakhs in the original provision (86.2 per cent.) was attributed to non-implementation of the scheme for "Establishment of Veterinary Aid Centres" (Rs. 1.79 lakhs) and partial implementation of the scheme for "Establishment of Ambulatory Clinic" (Rs. 0.94 lakh) during the year. The reasons therefor were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(c) J(a)(iv)-Slaughter House-

о.	••	••	0.70 }		_
R.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 70\\ -0\cdot 70\end{array}\right\} \qquad \cdots$	••	• ••

This Scheme also was first provided for as far back as in 1960-61 with a provision of Rs.  $12 \cdot 00$  lakhs. In 1961-62 and 1962-63 the provisions for the scheme were Rs.  $0 \cdot 59$  lakh and Rs.  $0 \cdot 70$  lakh respectively. But no expenditure was incurred during these three years. The savings in all the years had been explained as due to non-implementation of the scheme; the reasons for the non-implementation were not however intimated.

(d) J(a)(v)—Cattle Development Schemes—

о.	• •	••	5·51 ]			
			Ļ	$3 \cdot 17$	$2 \cdot 78$	0 · 39
R.	••	••	$-2\cdot34$			

The total saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 73$  lakhs in the original provision ( $49 \cdot 5$  per cont.) was attributed mainly to (i) non-implementation of the schemes for (a) "Centralised Semens' Collection Centre" (Rs.  $0 \cdot 76$  lakh), and (b) "Establishment of a Bull Rearing Farm" (Rs. $0 \cdot 21$  lakh) for partial sanction of the scheme during the year and (ii) non-completion of preliminaries pertaining to the schemes for (a) "Strengthening of Supervisory Organisation for the Key Village Scheme" (Rs.  $0 \cdot 11$  lakh) and (b) "Seed Multiplication and Fodder Demonstration Farm" (Rs.  $1 \cdot 12$  lakhs).

(e) I(a)(vi) Poultry Development—

 O.
 ..
  $5 \cdot 73$  

 R.
 ..
  $-1 \cdot 69$  

 4 \cdot 04
  $2 \cdot 45$ 

The total saving of Rs. 3.28 lakhs in the original provision (57.2 per cent.) was attributed to (i) late starting of the scheme for "Establishment of a State Poultry Farm at Durgapur" owing to (a) non-availability of suitable staff (Rs. 0.89 lakh) and (b) non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 0.68 lakh), (ii) non-construction of a new godown at Tollygunj for accommodation of stock relating to the scheme "Manufacture and distribution of balanced Poultry Food" (Rs. 1.11 lakhs) and (iii) non-implementation of the scheme for "Expansion of Poultry Development Centres", for want of sanction (Rs. 0.50 lakh).

(f) $J(a)(ix)$	Training, F	Research and	Statistics—			
О.	••	••	ן 1.21	0.11	0.08	0.00
R.	• •	••	_1·10 <b>}</b>	0.11	0.08	-0.03

The total saving of Rs. 1.13 lakhs in the original provision (93.4 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-implementation of the schemes for (a) "Development of Veterinary Research Organisation (Spill-over)" (Rs.0.76 lakh) and (b) "Training of Stockmen Field Assistants" (Rs. 0.10 lakh) for want of sanction.

(g) $J(a)(x)$	Other Sch	emes—				
О.	•.•	••	5 - 74	2.44	2.11	-0·33
R.	• •	••	<b>-3·3</b> 0 ∫	2-11	2-11	-0.93

The total saving of Rs. 3.63 lakhs in the original provision (63.2 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-implementation of the schemes for (a) "Strengthening of Staff at the Veterinary Directorate" (Rs. 0.65 lakh), (b) "Strengthening of Supervisory Organisation in

# Grant No. 24.—Animal Husbandry.—concld.

Muffasil and Headquarter (Spill-over)" (Rs. 0.78 lakh), (c) "Scheme for Research and Development for the Production of fodder improvement of Grass land farming (Rs. 0.40 lakh)" (d) "Scheme for evolving a new breed of dairy Cattle by crossing Harian and non-descript Bengal Cow with an exotic breed (Jersy)" (Rs. 0.88 lakh), (e) "Veterinary Research Scheme" (Rs. 0.62lakh) and (f) "Immunisation against Horse diseases" (Rs. 0.25 lakh) for want of sanction.

				Total Grant	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving
(h) J(d)0	Centrally-sp	onsored Scł	lemes		(In lak	hs of rupees.)
0.	••	••	ړ 8⋅40	3.29	2 01	0.00
R.	••		<i>—</i> 5·11 ∫	. 3.28	3 • 21	-0.08

The total saving of Rs. 5.19 lakhs was attributed mainly to (i) (a) non-purchase of equipments owing to late receipt of sanction (Rs. 0.80 lakh) and (b) non-multiplication of stock for want of accommodation and non-installation of slaughtering equipments (1.82 lakhs); (ii) curtailment of expenditure due to imposition of ceiling limit by the Government of India (Rs. 0.59lakh), (iii) delay in starting (Rs. 1.17 lakhs) and (iv) less requirement of vaccine consequent on termination of the scheme for "Rinderpest eradication" and delayed implementation of the scheme for "Immune belt" (Rs. 0.46 lakh) pertaining to the schemes (a) "Pig breeding Stationcum-Bacon Factory at Kalyani", (b) "Scheme for Salvage of Superior Calves", (c) Regional Duck farm" and (d) "Mass production of Rinderpest Vaccine" respectively.

The saving under the Group-Head during this year formed  $61 \cdot 8$  per cent. of the original provision as against  $72 \cdot 4$  per cent. and 100 per cent. of the original provisions of Rs.  $7 \cdot 28$  lakhs and Rs.  $12 \cdot 00$  lakhs respectively in the preceding two years.

nies,			nt of Colo- and milk			
О.	••	••	<b>3</b> ,15·30 ]	2.28.85	2.26.44	-2.41
R.	••		<b></b> 86 ⋅45 ∫	2,20.05	2,20 . 44	2 •41

The total saving of Rs. 88.86 lakhs in the original provision (28.2 per cent.) was attributed mainly to (i) delay in commissioning the Central Dairy Factory at Belgachia owing to (a) non-completion of preliminaries, such as (A) construction of buildings, (B) procurement of machines under different aid programmes (Rs. 65.55 lakhs) and (ii) Suspension of "Skim Milk Powder" scheme owing to foreign exchange difficulties (Rs. 20.80 lakhs).

In the last year also there was huge saving (Rs. 59.28 lakhs) under this group-head.

(j) N-Devel Year P	opment lan—	Schemes—Third	Five-			
0.	••	••	1 <b>,28 ∙0</b> 0	ך 63 ⋅ 11	5 <b>5 • 1 5</b>	-7.96
R.	••	••	<b>64</b> •89	5 03.11	00.10	-7-80

The total saving of Rs. 72.85 lakhs in the original provision (56.9 per cent.) was attributed mainly to (i) delay in acquisition of land (Rs. 23.41 lakhs) and (ii) slow progress of construction work owing to delay in according administrative approval, financial sanction and implementation of the work-programme by the executive department (Rs. 45.97 lakhs).

During 1960-61 and 1961-62, the savings under this Group Head formed  $65 \cdot 6$  per cent. and 29  $\cdot 5$  per cent of the provisions of Rs. 40  $\cdot 51$  lakhs and 95  $\cdot 00$  lakhs respectively.

(iii) In the following case the recovery fell largely short of the original estimate :

 $\begin{array}{cccc} M(ii) & Deduct - Receipts & and & recoveries & on \\ Capital & Account - & & & & & & & & & \\ O. & & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ R. & & & & & & & & & & 1,18 \cdot 50 \end{array} \right\} \quad -2,12 \cdot 50 \quad -2,16 \cdot 11 \quad -3 \cdot 61 \\ \end{array}$ 

The net short-fall of Rs. 1,14.89 lakhs in recovery (34.7 per cent of the estimate) was attributed mainly to smaller receipts consequent on less expenditure under the Group Head M(i) for reasons explained thereunder.

# Grant No. 25.—Co-operation—(All Voted)

			Total Grant	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
Major Héad "	34Co-op(	eration"	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		Rs.			
Original	••	73,24,000	} 73,24,000	57,05,474	-16,18,526
Supplementary	••	••	J		
nount surrendered du	ring the ye	ar (March, 1	963)	•• ••	14,25,528

# Notes and comments----

(i) The saving of Rs. 16.19 lakhs formed 22.1 per cent. of the original provision.

In the preceding two years also the savings under the grant were Rs. 15.24 lakes (22.5 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 23.30 lakes (35.5 per cent. of the provision).

The saving under the grant was attributed mainly to smaller expenditure on Development schemes as indicated below :---

**D**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

(a) Third Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	••	<b>42</b> ·10 }	25 ·34	<b>25 ·32</b>	-0.02
R.	••	••	-16.76	20.94	20.92	-0.02

(In iskhs of rupees.)

The total saving of Rs. 16.78 lakhs in the original provision (39.9 per cent.) was mainly due to smaller expenditure on some Development Schemes owing to (i) delay in framing rules regarding Bad Debt Reserve (Rs. 2.33 lakhs) and (ii) non-appointment and late appointment of staff by Banks and Co-operative Societies (Rs. 12.58 lakhs).

The names of the major schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below :-

	Name of the Scheme.		Р	rovision.	Amount of saving.	
				(	(In lakhs	of rupees.)
1.	Reorganisation of Primary Cred	it Societies	• •	••	11.60	$4 \cdot 12$
2.	Special Bad Debt Reserve	••	••	••	<b>3</b> · 00	1 · 21
3.	Creation of Administrative, Sup	ervisory and O	fice Personnel	••	<b>6 · 3</b> 2	2.58
4.	Co-operative Farming	••	••	••	2.39	1.33
(ii)	A case of non-utilisation of Lu	ump Sum Provi	sion is given b	elow	:	

# **E-LUMP PROVISION FOR INCREASE OF** $2 \cdot 28$ ... $-2 \cdot 28$ PAY.

The reasons for non-utilisation of the provision were not furnished by the Controlling officer.

The lump provision of Rs. 2.28 lakks made in the original budget was intended to be transferred to other heads according to the requirements thereunder consequent on the revision of pay scales of staff. But the provision was neither transferred to other heads by re-appropriation nor was it surrendered. This indicates defective control.

(iii) **Subsidies**—Subsidies amounting to Rs. 19.15 lakhs were paid during the year to Cooperative Banks and Societies as managerial subsidies for implementation of different Development Schemes under the Third Five-Year Plan.

Am

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving
Major Heads "35—Industries Outlay on Industrial	" and "9 Developn	6— Capita nent''	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		Rs.			
Gross					
Original	3,	02,30,000	]	b 40 05 01 5	
Supplementary	••	••	3,02,30,000	2,40,65,215	- 61,64,785
Deductions					
Original	:	30,06,000		<b>A 54 6</b> 40	
Original Supplementary	••	••	5		+ 27,33,660
Not					
Original	2,	72,24,000		8 87 00 07F	04 01 105
Supplementary	••	••	5 2,72,24,000	<b>2,9</b> 7,92,875	- 34,31,120
Amount surrendered (March, 1963).	during	the year	••	••	47,38,314

### Notes and Comments-

(i) Against the amount of Rs.  $47 \cdot 38$  lakes surrendered the actual saving came upto only Rs.  $34 \cdot 31$  lakes.

(ii) The saving of Rs.  $34 \cdot 31$  lakhs formed  $12 \cdot 6$  per cent. of the original grant.

(iii) In the following Group Heads, additional funds provided by re-appropriation proved excessivo. (In lakhs of rupees.)

(a) A-INDUSTRIES-

A. (3)—Industrial Development—

Gross-

 O.
 ..
  $18 \cdot 01$  

 R.
 ..
  $11 \cdot 85$  

 29 \cdot 86
  $27 \cdot 47$  

 -2 \cdot 39

The final saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 39$  lakhs was explained as due to non-availability of suitable sites for Development (Rs.  $0 \cdot 72$  lakh) and non-receipt of departmental bills for over-head expenses (Rs.  $2 \cdot 00$  lakhs).

In view of the final saving of Rs. 2.39 lakhs the additional fund of Rs. 11.85 lakhs obtained by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963, proved excessive.

### (b) A-INDUSTRIES-

A. (4)-Technical Training Schemes-Gross-

0.	••	••	15.64 ک	23 · 43	20·26	-3.17
R.	••	••	7.79	20-40	20-20	-0-17

The final saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 17$  lakhs was mainly due to (i) non-availability of tools and equipments and non-receipt of bills from parties (Rs.  $2 \cdot 65$  lakhs) and (ii) non-payment of stipends as some of the trainees left before completion of the training period (Rs.  $0 \cdot 39$  lakh).

In view of the final saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 17$  lakes the additional fund of Rs.  $7 \cdot 79$  lakes obtained by reappropriation on the 30th March, 1963, proved excessive.

# Grant No. 26.—Industries—Industries—(All Voted)

# Grant No. 26.—Industries—Industries—contd.

(iv) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :---

Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
	(In lak)	ns of rupees.)

# Major Head "35—Industries"

(a) F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F. (1)—Third Five-Year Plan—

О.	 	ך 30·72			
		۲ ۲	17.16	$11 \cdot 39$	-5.77
R.	 ••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 30\cdot72\\ -13\cdot56\end{array}\right\}$		•	

The total saving of Rs. 19.33 lakhs in the original provision (62.9 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to a large number of posts, mostly technical, remaining vacant, non-purchase of equipments owing to foreign exchange difficulties and less adjustment of State's share of expenditure on Centrally-sponsored schemes owing to partial implementation of the schemes.

The names of the schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below :

	Name of the Scheme.	Provision.	Amount of saving.
		(In lakhs o	of rupees.)
1.	Tung Cultivation Scheme	1.50	1.50
2.	Reorganisation of the Colleges of Textile Technology, Berhampore and Serampore.	<b>4</b> ⋅ 21	3.10
3.	Reorganisation of the College of Leather Technology, Calcutta	3.49	1.90
<b>4.</b> ,	Introduction of B.Sc. (Technology) Course in Bengal Ceramic Institute.	1 · 56	1 · 18
5.	Training in Mining	1.91	1.83
6.	Establishment of the Geological Prospecting Branch of the State Mines and Mineral Directorate.	<b>4 · 2</b> 6	3 • 52
7.	National Apprenticeship Training	1.72	1.62

## (b) F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F. (5)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

о.		••	ך 12.57			
	•			1 <b>3</b> ·50	5.45	-8.05
R.	••	••	0.93			

The net saving of Rs.  $7 \cdot 12$  lakhs in the original provision (56  $\cdot$  6 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to non-implementation of the new scheme, viz., "Accelerated Emergency Training Programme" under "Expansion of Craftsmen Training" during the year owing to late receipt of the decision of the Government of India in this regard.

\*(c) A-INDUSTRIES-

A. (4)—Technical Training Schemes—

Deduct-Recovery from the Government of India-

Grant	No.	26	Industries—	Industries concld.
-------	-----	----	-------------	--------------------

Total	Actual	Excess+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving-
		~~~

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

(In lakhs of rupees.)

# (d) F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F. (4)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes (Committed Expenditure)—

Deduct—Recovery from the Government of India—

О.	•••	• •	_10·98 ך			
R.	••	••	$-1\cdot35$	-12·33	••	+12.33

The recovery of proportionate expenditure under the above two Group Heads from the Government of India was credited as receipts and cansequently the provision made under the group heads proved unnecessary.

### Major Head "96–-Capital Outlay on Industrial Development"

### (e) L-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-THIRD FIVE-YEAR PLAN--

L(1)—Establishment of an undertaking for a 25,000 Spindle Cotton Mill for Spinning Yarn—

0.	••	• •	20.00 ک	
R.	••		-20·00 }	

(f) L(2)-Establishment of a Spun Silk Mill-

о.	•• ••		5·0 <b>0</b>		
R.	••		-5.00		

The non-utilisation of funds under the above two Group Heads was due to nonreceipt of approval from the Government of India for the implementation of the Schemes.

. .

. .

(g) Investment in Government Commercial undertakings----

L(4)—Coal Mining by State Government— Investment in share capital—

0.	••	••	9·30 }	
R.	••	••	<b>-9·3</b> 0 }	

The non-utilisation of the provision was attributed to non-implementation of the Scheme owing to non-receipt of project report and clearance from the Government of India.

(h) Investment in other Commercial undertakings-

L(6)—Manufacture of X'ray machines— Investment in the share capital of the Electro-Medical Allied Industries Ltd.—

The non-utilisation of the provision was due to non-payment of share money to Messrs Electro-Medical and Allied Industries Ltd. as the parties did not place their domand before Government during the year.

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess Saving
Major Heads "35—Industries" Outlay on Industrial Deve	and "96— Capital Dopment".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross	Rs.			
	2,37,22,500	2,37,22,500	1,48,44,030	88,78,470
Deductions-				
Original	-27,500 }	-27,500	••	+27,500
Net				
Original Supplementary .	· 2,36,95,000	2,36,95,000	1,48,44,030	88,50,970
Amount surrendered ( (March, 1963).				69,79,600

### Notes and Comments----

(i) The saving of Rs.  $88 \cdot 51$  lakhs formed  $37 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the original provision.

In the preceding two years also the savings under the grant were Rs. 99.46 lakhs (34.2 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 68.80 lakhs (34.6 per cent. of the provision).

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent :---

(In lakhs of rupees.)

### Major Head "35-Industries"---

(a) D—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

D. 1-Third Five-Year Plan-

The total saving of Rs. 50.62 lakhs in the original provision (62.6 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (a) non-payment of rebates for subsidy in sales of cloth owing to non-fulfilment of the requisite conditions (Rs. 4.00 lakhs), (b) non-utilisation of provision for Housing Colony owing to non-fulfilment of condition by the Co-operative Societies (Rs. 2.04 lakhs), (c) nonimplementation of Schemes owing to (i) non-receipt of approval or sanction or late receipt of sanction (Rs. 35.50 lakhs) and (ii) abandonment of the Schemes for "Service centre for artisan in toy making and artistic pottery" and "Development of non-ferrous metal ware" owing to National Emergency (Rs. 2.77 lakhs) and (d) non-purchase of raw materials and machinery for want of import-licence (Rs. 1.60 lakhs) and owing to non-availability in the market (Rs. 1.67 lakhs).

(b) D-4—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

О.	••	 ך 2·95			
			0.45	0.36	-0.09
R.	••	 -2.50.5			

The total saving of Rs. 2.59 lakhs in the original provision (87.8 per cent) was stated to be due to Government of India's decision not to incur expenditure on installation of powerloom ewing to adverse repercussion on the employment.

# Grant No. 27.—Industries—Cottage Industries—concld.

Total	Actual	Excess+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

. .

#### Major Head "96 — Capital Outlay on Industrial Development".

F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Third Five-Year Plan-

 (c) F.3—Establishment of a 25,000 Spindle Cotton Mills on Co-operative basis for Spinning Yarn—
 O. .. 6.00

**R.** .. -6.00

The non-utilisation of the provision was due to non-implementation of the Scheme owing to non-registration of the Co-operative Society through which the scheme was contemplated to be executed.

(d) F.5-Establishment of two new estates---

R.			$\left.\begin{array}{c}10\cdot00\\-7\cdot57\end{array}\right\}$	$2 \cdot 43$	$2 \cdot 43$
Tr.	• •	• •	-1.01 )		

The total saving of Rs. 7.57 lakhs in the original provision (75.7 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-availability of suitable land.

(e) F.6—Establishment of one estate for hides and leathers—

O.

R.

The non-utilisation of provision was attributed to non-availability of the site for the purpose.

(f) F.7-Establishment of eight small estates-

The total saving of Rs. 7.74 lakes in the original provision (96.7 per cent.) was due to non-receipt of Government sanction for establishment of the estates.

(g) F.8—Industrial Estate at Siliguri—

0.			5.00 €			
•••	••	•••	L L	$2 \cdot 45$	$2 \cdot 78$	+0.33
$\mathbf{R}.$	• •	••	$-2\cdot 55 \int$			
The not	sorting of Ra	2.92 lakh	in the original n	rovision (AA.A	non cont ) was	stated to be

The net saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 22$  lakes in the original provision ( $44 \cdot 4$  per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to partial implementation of the scheme owing to occupation of the site by the Military Authorities.

(iii) A case in which additional provision made by reappropriation proved excessive is indicated below :---

# , Major Head "35—Industries"

**D**-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

D.3—Second Five-Year Plan—

	•••	• •	$\frac{\partial^2 J}{\partial h}$			
R.			9 · 32	60.06	$59 \cdot 41$	- 6.65
о.	••	••	$56 \cdot 74$ $9 \cdot 32$	<b>00</b> 00		

The reasons for the final saving of Rs.  $6 \cdot 65$  lakes were not intimated by the controlling authority.

In view of the final saving of Rs.  $6 \cdot 65$  lakhs, the additional fund of Rs.  $9 \cdot 32$  lakhs provided by reappropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved excessive.

(iv) Subsidies amounting to Rs. 0.17 lakh were paid during the year to the Co-operative Societies as managerial subsidies in connection with the Pilot Project for Organisation and Development of Handicraft Co-operatives and the schemes for running of Coir Co-operatives.

# Grant No, 28.—Industries—Cinchona—(All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "35—Inc	lustries." Rs.			
Gross				
Original	50,49,000	50 49 000	30 R9 590	- 19,86,471
Supplementary	•• ••	رون (00, <i>∓0</i> ,000	00,02,028	- 18,00,471
Deductions-				
Original	··· - 5,00,000	<u>ک</u> _5.00.000	- 11 00 932	6,00,932
Supplementary	•• ••	۶,00,000	- 11,00,002	- 0,00,002
Net—-				
Original	45,49,000	45.49.000	19,61,597	
Supplementary	•• ••	<u>رومن المراجعة المراجعة</u>	_0,01,007	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Amount surrendered (March, 1963).	during the year	••	••	24,97,200

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) The saving of Rs.  $25 \cdot 87$  lakes formed 56  $\cdot 9$  per cent of the total provision.

In the preceding year also, the saving under the grant was  $R_{B}$ . 9.92 lakhs (24.7 per cent. of the total provision).

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial exent :---

A—CINCHONA PLANTATIONS—

(In lakhs of rupees.)

Gross-

0.	••	• •	ן 35·07			
ъ			$-4 \cdot 85 \int$	$30 \cdot 22$	<b>29 · 39</b>	-0.83
R.	• •	••	-4·85 J			
					· · ·	

The total saving of Rs.  $5 \cdot 68$  lakhs in the original provision was mainly due to (a) voluntary retirement of labourers (Rs.  $3 \cdot 20$  lakhs), (b) non-payment of commission to selling agent owing to his failure to lift the requisite quota during the year (Rs.  $1 \cdot 00$  lakh), (c) less purchase of stores and coal for want of quota-certificate (Rs.  $0 \cdot 83$  lakh) and (d) non-receipt of departmental bills from Medical and Forest Departments (Rs.  $0 \cdot 34$  lakh).

B-WORKS-

О.	••	• •	ر 15·00 ک			
			γ.	1 · 48	$1 \cdot 24$	-0.24
R.	••	••	-13.52			

The total saving of Rs. 13.76 lakes in the original provision (91.7 per cent.) was stated to be due to construction of less number of labour quarters by the Public Works Department consequent on little response from the contractors to execute such work in the hilly area.

(iii) In the following case the recovery exceeded the original estimate to a substantial extent:

A-CINCHONA PLANTATIONS-DEDUC-

TIONS-

The net increase of Rs. 6.01 lakhs in recovery over the original estimate was explained as due to arrear adjustment of proportionate cost of overhead and common services for the years 1969-61 and 1961-62.

# Grant No. 29.-Community Development Projects.

		Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Heads "37—Commun Projects, National Extens Local Development Works" Debt and other Obljgation Outlay en other Works", India" and "Loans to Loca Parties, etc."	sion Service and ', "16—Interest on s'', "109—Capital "Debt raised in		Rs.	Rs.
Voted—	Rs.			
Gross—				
Original Supplementary	3,46,61,000	) ]	··3,54,43,043	+7,82,043
Supplementary	•• ••	}		
Deductions—				
Original	1,00,000	-1,00,000	- 25.862	+74,138
Supplementary	•• ••	}		,,
Net				
Original	3,45,61,000	3,45,61,000	3.54 17.181	+ 8,56,181
Supplementary	•• ••	٢ <b>٥</b> , <b>١</b>	0,02,11,101	1 0,00,101
Charged				
Original	46,70,000	46,70,000	46.22.464	- 47.536
Supplementary	•• ••	5		,
Amount surrendered (March, 1963).	duri <b>ng</b> the year			3,77,515

## Notes and Comments :----

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The expenditure in the voted grant exceeded the provision by Rs. 8,56,181 which requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $22 \cdot 02$  lakes over the provision of Rs.  $2,88 \cdot 24$  lakes under 31 sub-heads, partly counter-balanced by savings amounting to Rs.  $13 \cdot 46$  lakes in the provision of Rs.  $57 \cdot 36$  lakes made under 21 sub-heads.

(a) The excess was mainly attributed to inadequate original provisions under the sub-heads indicated below owing to restricted Plan allocation, though for completion of the scheduled target of the Blocks, the increased expenditure was found necessary.

(In lakhs of rupees.)

## Major Head "37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works"

G-Communication-Converted Blocks-

G-2-Katcha Road-

О.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}11\cdot00\\1\cdot00\end{array}\right\}$	1 <b>2</b> .00	13.78	+1.78
$\mathbf{R}.$		• •	1.00			

H—Rural Arts, Crafts and Industries—Converted Block—Training-cum-Production Céntres—

0.	••	••	13·54	1_	98.00	<b>2</b> 7 · 91	+1.91
R.	••	••	12.46	~	20.00	27.01	<b>TI UI</b>

$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{Major Head "109-Capital outlay on other works"} \\ \textbf{L-Community Development Projects} \\ \textbf{L}-2-Housing-Converted Blocks-Housing Schemes} \\ 0 15^{\cdot00} \\ R. ' 6^{\cdot25} \\ \textbf{M}-Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects \\ \textbf{M}-1-Reclamation of Waste Land \\ 0 11^{\cdot30} \\ R 1^{\cdot20} \\ \textbf{M} \\ $
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Schemes       0.        15.00       21.25       23.82 $+2.57$ M—Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects        6.25       21.25       23.82 $+2.57$ M—Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects        6.25       12.50       14.58 $+2.08$ M—Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects        1.20       12.50       14.58 $+2.08$ M.         1.20       12.50       14.58 $+2.08$ M.          7.00       7.00       10.28 $+3.23$ (b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads:       Major Head       "37—Community Development Projects, Mational Extension Service and Local Development Works".         I—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS         0.30       3.70       3.71 $+0.01$ R.         0.30       3.70       3.71 $+0.01$ B.       Project/Block Headquarters              O.                B.
R. $6 \cdot 25$ $21 \cdot 26$ $23 \cdot 82$ $+ 2 \cdot 57$ M—Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects—       M.—Loans and Advances under Community Development Projects—       M.—Loans and Advances under Community         M.—Loans and Advances $0$ $ 11 \cdot 30$ $12 \cdot 50$ $14 \cdot 58$ $+ 2 \cdot 08$ M.—Loans and Advances $ 1 \cdot 20$ $12 \cdot 50$ $14 \cdot 58$ $+ 2 \cdot 08$ M.—Loans and Advances $ 1 \cdot 20$ $12 \cdot 50$ $14 \cdot 58$ $+ 2 \cdot 08$ M.—Secondary and the secondary
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Development Projects M-1-Reclamation of Waste Land O 11.30 R 1.20 M-3-Productive Scheme for Promotion of Agriculture- R 7.00 T.00 M-3-Productive Scheme for Promotion of Agriculture- R 7.00 T.00 M-28 +3.28 (b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads: Major Head "37-Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works". ICOMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS- ASupervisionConverted BlocksHead- quarters O 3.40 R 0.30 BProject/Block Headquarters Converted Blocks B1Blocks O 52.14 R10.44 H1.70 A:3.48 +1.78 B2-Agriculture O 4.20 R0.32 B3-Co-operation O 2.50 L.18 L.23 +0.05
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
R.       1.20 $12.50$ $14.58$ $+2.08$ M3.—Productive Scheme for Promotion of Agriculture       R. $1.20$ $14.58$ $+2.08$ M.       M. $1.20$ $14.58$ $+2.08$ M.       M. $1.20$ $14.58$ $+2.08$ Agriculture       R. $1.20$ $10.28$ $+3.28$ (b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads: $Mainted interval interval$
R.1·20M-3-Productive Scheme for Promotion of Agriculture R.N.RRroot of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads:Major Head-''37-Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works''.ICOMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS A-Supervision-Converted Blocks-Head- quarters 0.000000000000000000010.4411.7011.1811.1811.2311.1811.2311.1811.2311.18
Agriculture— R.R7.00 $7.00$ $10.28$ $+3.28$ (b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads:Major Head—"37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works".Image: Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works".I—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS— A—Supervision—Converted Blocks—Head- quarters— 0. $3.40$ R. $3.70$ $3.71$ $+0.01$ B.—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— B.—1.—Blocks— 0. $0.$ $3.70$ $3.71$ $+0.01$ B.—2.—Agriculture— 0. $0.$ $1.16$ $41.70$ $-0.32$ $43.48$ $+1.78$ $-10.44$ B.—2.—Agriculture— 0. $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ B.—3.—Co-operation— 0. $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ B.—3.—Co-operation— 0. $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ B.—3.—Co-operation— 0. $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ B.—3.—Co-operation— 0. $0.$ $0.$ $0.$ B. $0.$ $0$
(b) Excesses of comparatively small amounts occurred under the following sub-heads: Major Head—"37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works". I—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS— A—Supervision—Converted Blocks—Hoad- quarters— 0 3.40 R 0.30 $\}$ 3.70 3.71 +0.01 R 0.30 $\}$ B—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— B1—Blocks— 0 52.14 R10.44 $\}$ 41.70 43.48 +1.78 B2—Agriculture— 0 4.20 R0.32 $\}$ 3.88 4.10 +0.22 B3—Co-operation— 0 2.50 $\}$ 1.18 1.23 +0.05
Major Head—"37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works".I—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS— A—Supervision—Converted Blocks—Hoad- quarters— 0 3·40 R 0·30 $\}$ 3·703·71+0·01B—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— B.—1—Blocks— 0 52·14 R10·44 $\}$ 41·7043·48+1·78B.—2—Agriculture— 0 4·20 R0·32 $\}$ 3·884·10+0·22B.—3—Co-operation— 0 2·50 $\}$ 1·181·23+0·05
Major Head—"37—Community Development Projects, National Extension Service and Local Development Works".I—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS— A—Supervision—Converted Blocks—Hoad- quarters— 0 3·40 R 0·30 $\}$ 3·703·71+0·01B—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— B.—1—Blocks— 0 52·14 R10·44 $\}$ 41·7043·48+1·78B.—2—Agriculture— 0 4·20 R0·32 $\}$ 3·884·10+0·22B.—3—Co-operation— 0 2·50 $\}$ 1·181·23+0·05
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
R. $0 \cdot 30$ $3 \cdot 70$ $3 \cdot 71$ $+0 \cdot 01$ B.—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— $0 \cdot 30$ $3 \cdot 70$ $3 \cdot 71$ $+0 \cdot 01$ B.—Project/Block Headquarters— Converted Blocks— $52 \cdot 14$ $41 \cdot 70$ $43 \cdot 48$ $+1 \cdot 78$ B.—1—Blocks— 0. $-10 \cdot 44$ $41 \cdot 70$ $43 \cdot 48$ $+1 \cdot 78$ B.—2—Agriculture— 0. $4 \cdot 20$ $3 \cdot 88$ $4 \cdot 10$ $+0 \cdot 22$ B.—3—Co-operation— 0. $2 \cdot 50$ $1 \cdot 18$ $1 \cdot 23$ $+0 \cdot 05$
R. $0 \cdot 30 \int$ B—Project/Block Headquarters—         Converted Blocks—         B.—1—Blocks—         O.          B.—2—Agriculture—         O.          B.—3—Co-operation—         O.          D.
Converted Blocks       B1Blocks         0. $52 \cdot 14$ R. $-10 \cdot 44$ B2Agriculture $-10 \cdot 44$ O. $4 \cdot 20$ R. $-0 \cdot 32$ B3Co-operation $0.$ $2 \cdot 50$ I \cdot 18 $1 \cdot 23$ $+0 \cdot 05$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
R. $-10 \cdot 44$ $41 \cdot 70$ $43 \cdot 48$ $+1 \cdot 78$ B. $-2$ Agriculture $4 \cdot 20$ O. $4 \cdot 20$ $4 \cdot 10$ $+0 \cdot 22$ R. $-0 \cdot 32$ $3 \cdot 88$ $4 \cdot 10$ $+0 \cdot 22$ B. $-3$ Co-operation $2 \cdot 50$ $1 \cdot 18$ $1 \cdot 23$ $+0 \cdot 05$
B2-Agriculture  0 4.20 R0.32  B3-Co-operation- 0 2.50  1.18 1.23 +0.05
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
B3Co-operation O $2 \cdot 50$ $1 \cdot 18$ $1 \cdot 23$ $+0 \cdot 05$
0 $2 \cdot 50$ $1 \cdot 18$ $1 \cdot 23$ $+ 0 \cdot 05$
ດ ເພື່ອງ ເພື່
R $-1 \cdot 32$
B.—4—Veterinary—
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\mathbf{R}. \qquad \mathbf{R}. \qquad \mathbf{H}. \qquad \mathbf{H}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
B.—6—Panchayat—
$0. \qquad \cdots \qquad 2 \cdot 25$
R $-0.70$ $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1.55 \\ 1.55 \\ 1.77 \\ +0.22 \end{array} \right\}$
C—Animal Husbandry and Agriculture Ex- tension—Converted Blocks—
tension       Converted Blocks         Distribution of improved poultry       0.70       0.85

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditur .	Excess+ Saving-
					(In lakhs	of rupees.)
D-Health a Converted		sanitation-	-			
Sanitatio						
0.		••	ך 1.30			
R.		•	0.15	1 • 45	1 · 85	+0.40
		•• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2			
EEducatio		t of Schools	••	9.00	9·38	+0.38
FSocial E	ducation—	-				
Converted	Blocks-					
Audio-v	isual Unit-	_				
0.	••	••	3·00 J	5.50	6.02	+0.52
R.	••	••	2·50 ∫	0.00	0.02	- U U2
GCommun G1Me			eks			
о.	••	••	3·00 J		0.04	
<b>R.</b>	••	••	£.75 €	7 · 75	8.30	+0· <b>55</b>
I-Suspense-						
Miscella	neous P. W	7. Advances	• •	0.10	0.31	+0.31
II-NATION	VAL EXT	ENSION SEI	RVICE			
J—Recurr retained Pattern-	on Natio	diture on p nal Extension	personnel Service			
J.—1—Blo	ocks					
0.	••	••	66·00 J	60 · 50	61 · 62	+1.12
<b>R.</b>	••	••	-5.50			,
J. 2—Agri	culture					
0.	••	• •	8·23	9.40	8.83	10.24
R.	••	••	0 ⋅ 26 ∫	8 • 49	- o- o-∂ # -	+0.34
J. 3-Co-c	peration-	-	_		-	
о.		••	( 5.70			
R.	••		-0.30	$5 \cdot 40$	5.68	+0.28
J. 4-Veto				<b>9 · 3</b> 0	9.94	+0.64
J. 5-Edu	-	••	••	5.76	6.15	+0.39
J. 6-Pan		••	••	0.10	0 10	
0.	•••••	••	ן 5⋅00			
R.			0.35	5.35	5.95	+0.60
J. 7—Dist	 rict	••	ر ۲۰۵۵ ز			
0. 1—Dist			ך 1.18			
в.	••	••	-0·33 }	0.85	0.87	+0.02
I—LOCAL D K—District e			79			
District						
0.	••	••	ן 1• <del>4</del> 0			
R.	••	• •	0.16	1.56	1.58	+0.02
<b>LV9</b>	••	• •	0.10 ]			

				Total Actual Grant. Expenditure.		Excess + Saving -	
Major Head "1	09Capital	outlay on (		(In iakhs	of rupeos.)		
L. I.—IRRIG	ATION-CO	ONVERTE	D BLOCKS-				
L. 1(a)Mir	nor Irrigatio	n Schemes-	_				
о.	••	••	7 6.00	8.00	8.86	+0.86	
R.	••	••	2.00 ∫	0.00	0.00		
L. l(b)—Ma pumps—C		of Bullo	ck driven				
0.	••	••	<b>4</b> ∙50	1 • 25	<b>1</b> .63	+0.38	
R.	••	••	<b>_3</b> ∙25 ∫	1.20	1-05	+0-36	
Deduct—Red Account—		ecoveries or	n Capital				
<b>R</b> .	••	••	-0.17	-0.17	• •	+0.17	
LOANS AND	ADVANCE OVERNMI		E STATE				
Major Head Parties, ef	"Leans t tc."	D Local F	unds, Private				
M—Loans a Developm	nd Advance ent Project	es un <b>der</b> C s	ommunity				
M. 2—Irriga	tion Schem	es					
О.	••	••	13·36 J	20.00	20.88	+0.88	
R.	• •	••	6.64 ∫		20 00	10.00	
M. 4—Loan	s for Rural	Housing—					
О.	• •	••	3·16 <b>]</b>	$2 \cdot 05$	2.14	+0.09	
R.	••	••	_1·11 ∫	2 00	2	1000	
(ii) Two c 30th March, 1	ases in wh 963 proved	ich additio excessive, a	nal funds pro are indicated	vided by rea below :—	appropriation as l	ato as on the	
C-ANIMAL I TURE EXT			GRICUL-				
О.	••	••	ך 12·50				

0.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}12\cdot 50\\\\2\cdot 55\end{array}\right\}$	15.05	$13 \cdot 29$	-1.76
R.	••	••	2 ⋅ 55 ∫			

D-HEALTH AND RURAL SANITATION-

О.	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 9\cdot 30\\ 4\cdot 32\end{array}\right\}$	13.62	11.04	-1.76
R.	••	••	<b>4</b> ·32	13.02	11.30	-1.10

In view of the final savings, the additional funds of Rs.  $2 \cdot 55$  lakes and Rs.  $4 \cdot 32$  lakes obtained by reappropriation proved excessive. The reasons for the savings were not furnished by the controlling officer.

0			67.04 7	· ·		
υ.	••	••	67.04	59.05	55·34	+2.39
R.			-14·09 ···	02.80	00.04	T 2 · 38
TA.	••	••	-14 00 )			

The net saving of Rs. 11.70 lakhs in the original provision (17.5 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to late starting of Blocks owing to the paucity of requisite number of technical staff.

# Grant No. 29.—Community Development Projects—concld.

Total Grant or	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
Appropriation.	Expenditure.	Saving -

(In lakhs of rupees).

L.—CENTRALLY-SPONSORED SCHEMES—

О.	••	••	ך 30⋅00			
			1	15.00	$12 \cdot 54$	$-2 \cdot 46$
R.	••	••	<i>—</i> 15·00 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 17.46 lakhs in the original provision (58.2 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to non-execution of the schemes in full for want of local contribution.

The names of the schemes which contributed the saving are given below :

- 1. Water Supply.
- 2. Road and Building including small Bridges and Culverts.
- 3. Rural Sanitation.
- 4. Improvement of Agriculture.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under this Group Head were Rs.  $17 \cdot 69$  lakhs (59 per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $8 \cdot 15$  lakhs (29  $\cdot 1$  per cent. of the provision).

## Major Head "109 Capital outlay on other works."

- O—COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PRO-JECTS—
  - 0. 1-Irrigation-(Gross)-

о.		• • -	[ 14.50			
			$-3 \cdot 25$	$11 \cdot 25$	10 • 49	-0.76
R.	• •	••	$-3 \cdot 25$ )			

The total saving of Rs. 4.01 lakhs in the original provision (26.7 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to slow progress of construction work owing to technical difficulties.

(iv) **Suspense**—The Group Head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction of roads, buildings and other works relating to the Community Development Projects. The nature and accounting procedure of the transaction under this head have been explained at page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts.

A sum of -Rs. 3.42 lakes was adjusted during the year against the original provision of -Rs. 0.90 lake.

#### Charged Appropriation.

A case where additional provision of fund made by reappropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963 proved unnecessary, is indicated below :---

Public Debt-

P.—LOANS FROM CENTRAL GOVERN-MENT—LOANS FOR COMMUNITY DEVE-LOPMENT PROJECTS—

<i>0</i> .	••	••	32 · 12			
			<u>۲</u>	29 · 87	33 • 27	+3.40
<b>R.</b>	• •	• •	-2·25 ∫			

The final excess of Rs.  $3 \cdot 40$  lakhs represents repayment to the Government of India of the excess amount of loan paid to the State Government by the Government of India during 1962-63. Instead of providing additional funds for the purpose, an amount of Rs.  $2 \cdot 25$  lakhs was withdrawn which was not justified.

			Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "38—Labour	and Empl	oyment".			
Gговя—		Rs.			
Original	3	5,93,400	)		
Supplementary	••	•• -	<b>35,93,4</b> 00	31,87,598	-4,05,802
Deductions					
Original	2	2 <b>,9</b> 4,400			
Supplementary	••	•• .	}	- 25,903	+2,68,497
Net—					
Original	3	2,99,000 ·			
Supplementary	••	••	> 32,99,000	31,61,695	-1,37,305
Amount surrendered dur 1963).	ing the year	(March,	••	••	2,20,200
Notes and Comments					
In the following Group extent.	Heads, the	provision	was not utilis	sod wholly or to	a substantial
				(In lakhs of ru	pees).
I-DEVELOPMENT SCH	EMES-				
(a) I(i)—Third Five-Yea	r Plan—				
0	••	<b>4</b> · 87	1 1 0 4	1.46	10.49
R	••	- 3 · 83	} 1.04	1.40	+0.42
The net saving of Rs. $3.41$ lakhs in the original provision ( $70.0$ per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) non-opening of several "Model Labour Welfare Centres" for want of suitable land (Rs. $0.87$ lakh), (ii) delay in sanctioning posts under the scheme for "Man- power and Employment" (Rs. $1.38$ lakhs), (iii) non-purchase of equipments for "Setting-up of a Testing Laboratory for examination of boilers" owing to non-availability of foreign exchange (Rs. $0.17$ lakh) and (iv) unfilled vacancies (Rs. $0.58$ lakh) and non-execution of certain schemes for want of sanction (Rs. $0.35$ lakh).					

(b) I(ii)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

0.	••	••	ן 1.33		
_			<u>}</u>	0.45	-0.45
R.	••	• •	<b>-0·88</b> ∫		

The entire provision remained unutilised due mainly to unfilled vacancies consequent on late receipt of sanction.

(e) J—Lump provision for increase of Pay ..  $2 \cdot 12$  ..  $-2 \cdot 12$ 

The provision was not surrendered nor was it transferred to other heads. It was stated by the Controlling Officer in September, 1963 that the provision remained totally unknown to them.

# Grant No. 31.—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations— 71 Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes.

		Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.,	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "39—Miscellaneous Developmental Organisations". Voted—	<b>Social and</b> Rs.	R <sub>s</sub> .	Rs.	Rs.
	1 01 10 000	2		
Original	1,31,10,000	} 1,31,16,000	1,06,24,412	-24,91,588
Supplementary	••	J		
Amount surrendered during the (March, 1963)	yebr		••	22,69,300
Charged—				
Original	••	]	1 500	
Supplementary	 1,589	} 1,589	1,588	-1

## Notes and Comments-

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The saving of Rs.  $24 \cdot 92$  lakes in the voted grant formed  $18 \cdot 9$  per cent. of the original provision.

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :----

**B**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

B(i)—Third Five-Year Plan—

B(i)(b)-Welfare of Scheduled Castes-

0.	••	••	23.41	14.46	14.08	-0.38
R. 、	••	••	-8·95	11 10	11 00	• ••

The total saving of Rs. 9.33 lakhs in the original provision (39.9 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to less payment of Scholarship as sufficient number of poor meritorious Scheduled Caste students reading in schools were not available. preliminaries.

B(v)-Centrally-Sponsored Schemes-

B(v)(a)-Scheduled Tribes-

0.	• •	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}12\cdot70\\-3\cdot46\end{array}\right\}$	9.24	8.76	-0.48
R.	••	••	<b>-3</b> ⋅46 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 3.94 lakhs in the original provision (31 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to partial execution of certain schemes owing to delay in completion of preliminaries.

The names of the schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below :

----

	Name of the Scheme.	<b>Provision</b> .	Amount of saving.	
		(In lakhs of :	rupees).	
1.	Scholarship to Scheduled Tribes students, reading in post-Secondary stage.	2.00	0·56 F	
2.	Water Supply and Leprosy Clinics, Housing, Roads, etc.	$2 \cdot 00$	1.63	
3.	Institute for research, planning, evaluation and cultural development.	0.80	0· <b>57</b>	
4.	Social Workers' Training Institute	0·80	0·57	

(In lakhs of rupees).

.

# 72 Grant No. 32.—Miscellaneous Social and Developmental Organisations— Excluding Welfare of Scheduled Tribes and Castes and other Backward Classes—(All Voted)

				Tota l Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "39—Miscell Developmental Organi						
		Rs.			4	
Gross—						
Original Supplementary	••	<b>98,28,</b> 000	Ĵ	98.28.000	95.96.937	-2,31,063
Supplementary	••	••	5	,,		_,_ ,,
Deductions						
Original Supplementary	••		٦.	- 19 00 000		+77,641
Supplementary	••	••	5	10,00,000	10,22,000	· · · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Net—						
Original	••	79,28,000	J	79 28 000	77,74,578	-1,53,422
Supplementary	••	••	ſ	10,20,000		-,,
Amount surrendered du	ring the	year (March,	1963)	)	••	13,42,082

#### Notes and comments --

(i) Against the amount of Rs.  $13 \cdot 42$  lakes surrondered the actual saving came up to only Rs.  $1 \cdot 53$  lakes.

(ii) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :---

(In lakhs of rupees)

## F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F(c)--Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

Adoption of Metric System of Weights and Measures--

0.	• •	••	ך 21.00			
			L L	<b>9·03</b>	<b>9 · 10</b>	+0.01
R.	••	• •	<b>—</b> 11·97 ∫			

The net saving of Rs. 11.90 lakhs in the original provision  $(56 \cdot 7 \text{ per cent.})$  was stated to be mainly due to (a) erroneous provision for construction of Buildings under this Group Head instead of under Grant No. 47—Capital Outlay on Public Works (Rs. 1.00 lakh), (b) non-receipt of suppliers' bills (Rs. 2.03 lakhs), (c) non-availability of tools and equipment of requisite specifications in the market as well as from the Mint (Rs. 1.53 lakhs), (d) postponement of certain works due to National Emergency (Rs. 4.65 lakhs) and (e) non-receipt or late receipt of Government sanction for purchases and to the estimates (Rs. 1.07 lakhs).

(iii) **Suspense**—The Group Head 'Suspense' accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of building materials, etc., for construction works of different departments of Government. The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at Page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts. A sum of Rs. 6.99 lakks was adjusted during the year against the original provision of Rs. 0.84 lakh which was subsequently augmented to Rs. 2.00 lakks by reappropriation of Rs. 1.16 lakks.

# Grant No. 33.—Irrigation.

			Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Heads "42—Mu Schemes", "43—Irrig Embankment and Dra mercial)", "44—Irrig Embankment and Dra Commercial)", "98— Multipurpose River Sch Outlay on Irrigation, ment and Drainage V and "100—Capital O Navigation, Embankm Works (non-Commercial)	gation, ainage ation, ainage Capital cemes", Navigati Vorks utlay o nent, a	Navigation, Works (Com- Navigation, Works (non- Outlay on "99—Capital on, Embank- (Commercial) n Irrigation,	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted—		Rs.			
Gross					
Original Supplementary	••	8,15,75,000	3.15.75.000	7.76.12.035	- 39.62 965
Supplementary	••	• •	5	.,,.,.,.,.	
Deductions					
Original Supplementary	••	-27,000	<b>}</b> −27.000	-1.28.279	-1.01.279
Supplementary	••	••	]		-,01,210
Net					
Original Supplementary	••	8,15,48,000	<b>}</b> 8,15,48,000	7,74,83,756	-40.64.244
Supplementary	••	•••	J	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Amount surrendered dur	ing tho	year (March, I	1963)		15,36,483
Charged—					
Original Supplementary	••	1,000	] 1,000	* 243	757
Supplementary	••	••	]		
Notes and Comments—					

#### Notes and Comments—

## Voted Grant.

(i) Lump surrender of saving—Out of the total sum of Rs. 15.36 lakhs surrendered, a sum of Rs. 4.21 lakhs was surrendered in lump without distributing the same over the primary units of appropriation concerned.

The requisite distribution though called for by Audit was not furnished by the controlling authority. There was thus no scope for conducting proper Appropriation Audit under the heads actually affected by the surrender.

				Total Grant	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving	
"44—Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works—(Non-Commercial)".							
A. IRRIGA MENT SCI		ORKS-DEV	VELOP-				
Third Five-	Year Plan-						
О.	••	••	ل 17·48		4 . 60		
R.	••	•• # **	-12.51	4.97	<b>4</b> · 69	-0.28	

The total saving of Rs. 12.79 lakhs in the original provision (75.2 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-implementation of certain schemes owing to non-completion of preliminaries (Rs. 4.15 lakhs) and non-receipt of approval of the Government in certain cases (Rs. 7.40 lakhs).

# Grant No. 33.—Irrigation—contd.

The names of the major schemes which remained un-implemented are given below :---

	Name of the Schemes- (In lakhs o	Provision.
(1)	Survey and Investigation for preparation of Irrigation Schemes	2.00
(2)	Construction of Office buildings and quarters for Engineers and staff under North Bengal Circle.	1.00
(3)	Construction of buildings for shifting of headquarters of East Suburban Division from Calcutta to Hashnabad	<b>2</b> ·00
(4)	Construction of buildings for shifting of headquarters of West Suburban Division from Calcutta to Kakdwip	2.00
(5)	Residential quarters of Engineers and staff in Sundarbans	2.81
	Total Grant. Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
	VIGATION, EMBANKMENT AND UNAGE WORKS—	of rupees).

(i)—Works—

(a)—New works and works in progress— O. ..  $3 \cdot 76$ R. ..  $-2 \cdot 35$ 1 · 41  $0 \cdot 74 - 0 \cdot 67$ 

The total saving of Rs.  $3 \cdot 02$  lakhs in the original provision ( $80 \cdot 3$  per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) non-finalisation of tenders (Rs.  $0 \cdot 44$  lakh), (ii) suspension of work for want of materials (Rs.  $1 \cdot 38$  lakhs) and (iii) non-execution of works for want of contractors (Rs.  $0 \cdot 64$  lakh).

**B**—NAVIGATION, EMBANKMENT AND DRAINAGE WORKS—

Third Five-Year Plan-

R

Ο	• •	••	ך 32·52			
			۶.	$18 \cdot 40$	15.87	-2.53
R		••	ر 14·12_			

The total saving of Rs. 16.65 lakhs in the original provision (51.2 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to smaller expenditure on certain schemes consequent on (i) non-sanction or non-finalisation of estimates (Rs. 5.00 lakhs), (ii) non-payment of land charges to the anticipated extent owing to delay in finalisation of land acquisition proceedings (Rs. 2.00 lakhs), and (iii) non-availability of possession of suitable land for earth-work (Rs 1.80 lakhs) and partial implementation of scheme No. (3) mentioned below (Rs. 1.18 lakhs) for want of survey data.

The names of the schemes which contributed to the bulk of the saving are given below :---

	Name of	the schemes.			Provision.	Amount of Saving.
					(In lakh	s of rupees.)
(1) (2) (3)	Investigation	tion Schemes in and model ex	Kaleghai and c periment for p n in the Rupna	reparation of	$\begin{array}{c} 15\cdot 25\\ 6\cdot 09\end{array}$	8 · 19 4 · 87 1 · 18
Major H		al Outlay on Mul chemes''.	tipurpose		v	
DEVEL	OPMENT SCH	IEMES-				
A—T	hird Five-Year	Plan-Suspense	)			
C	)	••	$20 \cdot 00$	1.50	0.25	$-1 \cdot 25$

The total saving of Rs. 19.75 lakhs in the original provision (98.75 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-allocation of the expenditure on land charges to the works concerned, for want of details.

-18.50

. .

## Grant No. 33.—Irrigation—contd.

Total	Actual	Excess+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

#### DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

II-Barrage and Irrigation Works-

0.	••	• •	ך <del>48</del> ·79			
			-32·74 <b>}</b>	16.05	13·90	$-2 \cdot 15$
R.	••	••	—32·74 J			

The total saving of Rs.  $34 \cdot 89$  lakhs in the original provision (71  $\cdot 5$  per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to inclusion of larger provision in the original budget despite lower estimate furnished by the local officer.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under the Group Head were Rs.  $12 \cdot 81$  lakhs (55.3 per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $35 \cdot 50$  lakhs (83.9 per cent. of the provision).

#### Major Head "99—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (Commercial)".

#### A-IRRIGATION WORKS-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Third Five-Year Plan—Irrigation and Power —Irrigation—

Spill over from the Second Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	••	ך 21.00			
			1	3.67	6·49	+2.82
R.	••	••	—17·33 ∫			•

The net saving of Rs. 14.51 lakhs in the original provision (69.1 per cent.) was attributed to (i) late starting of the work of the Saharajore Irrigation Scheme due to delay in finalisation of tender (Rs. 6.70 lakhs), and (ii) less expenditure on Karatowa Talma Irrigation Scheme on account of non-payment of land charges and non-taking up of the construction of the Railway Bridges by the Railway Authorities (Rs. 6.63 lakhs) which formed a component part for execution of the Scheme, and non-finalisaton of the Bandhu River Irrigation Scheme (Rs. 4.00 lakhs).

The reduction of the provision by Rs. 17.33 lakhs proved excessive as the same converted the saving into a final excess of Rs. 2.82 lakhs over the total provision.

#### B-NAVIGATION AND DRAINAGE WORKS -DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Third Five-Year Plan—Drainage and anti-Water logging Schemes—

Spill over from the Second Five-Year Plan-

0.	••	••	30·55 ]			
			Y	23.73	16.96	6.77
R.	••	• •	6·82 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 13.59 lakhs in the original provision (44.5 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to non-implementation of the (i) Northern Salt Lake Polder Scheme (Rs. 5.00 lakhs) and (ii) Closure of creeks in Sagar Islands in 24-Parganas District (Rs. 3.00 lakhs) for want of administrative approval and the Sealdah Basin Drainage Scheme for want of sanction (Rs. 5.00 lakhs).

Total	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving—

(In lakhs of rupees.)

▲—IRRIGATION WORKS—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

(a) Third Five-Year Plan—Irrigation and
 Power—
 A—Irrigation—Development of River

A—Irrigation—Development of Research Instituto—

The total saving of Rs 2.46 lakhs in the original provision (82.0 per cent.) was stated to be due to late receipt of administrative approval for the third phase of the work.

(iii) In the following case, the credits exceeded the original estimates to a substantial extent :---

## Major Head "98-Capital Outlay on Multi-

purpose River Schemes".

Suspenso----

О.	• •	• •	15·00 ך		
				-17.53	 $-20 \cdot 27$
R.	••	••	—2·53 ∫		

The reasons for the excess eredits of Rs.  $22 \cdot 80$  lakhs were not intimated by the controlling authority. The additional provision of—Rs.  $2 \cdot 53$  lakhs made by reappropriation on the 29th March, 1963, proved much inadequate to cover the excess oredits.

(iv) **Pro-rata distribution.**—In an Irrigation Division, works of different classes chargeable to Capital and Revenue and other heads of account are executed. The same establishment of the Division supervises the construction and maintenance of ell such works. It is not possible to calculate with any degree of accuracy the time spent by such establishment for the supervision of each class of works and apportion their pay, leave salary, etc., amongst the different heads of account according to the time spent. To arrive at the best approximation, the general principle for regulating establishment charges are—

- (a) that the entire charges of a Division are, in the first instance, booked under a single major head of account, and
- (b) that before closing the accounts of the year, the Audit Office apportions the net charges after deducting therefrom the percentage recoveries made for works done for other Governments, Departments, Local bodies, etc., in each branch of the Irrigation and Waterways Department amongst the major heads to which the cost of the works is chargeable in proportion to the works outlay, excluding outlay on works executed by the special establishments.

Similarly, Tools and Plant are purchased for the common use of all works and their cost is initially brought to account under one major head of account and then distributed *mutatis mutandis* like the establishment charges at the close of each year.

The gross charges on account of both Establishment and "Tools and Plant" of the Irrigation and Waterways Dopartment are initially booked under the Major Head "44. Irrigation Navigation, Embankment and Drainage Works (non-Commercial)".

The following is the pro-rata distribution of charges for the year 1962-63 :----

Major Head.		Establishment charges.	Tools and Plant.		
				(In lakhs of	rupees.)
43—Irrigation, etc. (Commercial)	••	••	••	<u>,</u> 5·34	0.66
44—Irrigation, etc. (non-Commercial)	••	••	••	20 · 91	3 · 29
99—Capital Outlay on Irrigation, etc.	(Comn	oercial)	••	$2 \cdot 45$	0.47
100Capital Outlay on Irrigation, etc.	(non-C	ommercial)	••	10.56	1.36
42-Multipurpose River Schemes	••	••	••	0 • 49	••
98—Capital Outlay on Multipurpose	River	Schemes	••	0 • 49	••
		Total	••	40.24	5.78

(v) Review of the Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Irrigation and Waterways **Department.**—The gross charges on account of establishment and Tools and Plant of the Department of Irrigation and Waterways during the year 1962-63, excluding those incurred on special Establishment entortained for River Research, (the Mayurakshi Reservoir Project and the Kangsabati Reservoir Project,) as well as for collection of Revenue, amounted to Rs.55.86 lakhs and Rs. 5.06 lakhs respectively which formed 19.2 per cent. and 1.7 per cent. respectively of the total works outlay of Rs. 2,91.06 lakhs.

During the year, Rs. 0.73 lakh was recovered on account of establishment charges for work done on behalf of Private Bodies, etc. The net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges amounted to Rs. 55.13 lakhs and 5.06 lakhs respectively and were 18 per cent. and 1.7 per cent. of the total works outlay.

The following table shows the figures of these charges for the years 1960-61, 1961-62 and 1962-63 and their percentages to the works outlay for these years :—

Seri No			Works Outlay.	Establish- ment charges.	Percent- age of establish- ment charges to works outlay.	age of and ag establish- Plant Too ment charges. P charges ch to works to		
(1)		(2)		(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
						(1	In lakhs of	rupees.)
1.	43—Irrigation, bankment an (Commercial	d Drainage Wo	Em- orks					
	1960-61	••	••	15.78	9.77	61 ·9	0 .77	<b>4 ·9</b>
	1961-62		••	17 •47	9 • 73	55 · <b>6</b>	0 • 48	2 • 7
	1962-63	••	••	$23 \cdot 15$	5.34	2 <b>3 · 1</b>	0.66	2 • 9
2.	44Irrigation, bankment an (Non-Comm	d Drainage W	Em- orks					
	1960-61	••	••	71 ·89	21 •46	29.8	<b>3</b> ∙28	<b>4 ∙6</b>
	1961-62	••	••	172.62	30 • 76	17.8	3 • 88	2 • 3
	1962-63	••	••	<b>190 ·72</b>	<b>36 ·29</b>	<b>19 ·0</b>	2.57	1 • 4
3.	99—Capital Ou Navigation, Drainage M cial)—	Embankment	and					
	1960-61	••	• •	11 ·2 <b>5</b>	2.04	18.2	0 • 40	3 •6
	1961-62	••	••	5 -98	1 • 22	20 • 5	0.12	2 • 0
	1962-63	••	••	20.97	2.93	<b>14 ·0</b>	0 • 47	2.2
4.	100Capital O Navigation, Drainage V mercial)	utlay on Irriga Embankment Vorks (Non-C	and					
	1960-61	••	•	52 · 23	<b>9</b> ∙87	18.9	1.97	3.8
	1961-62	••		60·98	$11 \cdot 20$	18.3	1.43	2 · 3
	1962-63	-10	-	$56 \cdot 22$	10.57	18.8	1.36	2.4

ß

(vi) Suspense—The Group Head ""Suspense" accommodates interim transactions in respect of which further operations (generally of payment or adjustment of value) are necessary before the transactions can be considered complete and finally accounted for. The operations in 1962-63 under this head were under the detailed heads: (1) Purchases, (2) Stock, (3) Miscellaneous Public Works Advances and (4) Workshop Suspense. The transactions under each of these detailed heads are explained below :—

(1) **Purchase**—When materials are received from a supplier, from another division or department for a specific work or for stock, their value is credited to "Purchase" so that *per* contra, the cost may be included at once in the accounts of the work or stock. When payment is made, the head "Purchases" is debited. The head "Purchase", therefore, shows a negative (credit) balance which represents the value of stores received but not paid for.

(2) **Stock**—The head is charged with all expenditure connected with the acquisition of stock materials and with all manufacture operations. It is credited with the value of materials issued to works or sold or otherwise disposed of and the balance of the accounts represents the book value of the materials in stock plus the unadjusted charges, etc., connected with manufacture.

#### (3) Miscellaneous Public Works Advances—These are of four kinds :---

- (a) Sales on Credit,
- (b) Expenditure incurred on deposit works in excess of deposits received,
- (c) Losses, retrenchments, errors, etc.,
- (d) Other items.

Broadly speaking, the head is debited with all the sums which are eventually to be recovered. The balance under this head represents recoverable amounts.

(4) Workshop Suspense—All charges in respect of jobs executed or other operations in the departmental workshops are initially debited to this head pending recovery or adjustment.

The transactions under each unit of Suspense for the year 1962-63 are exhibited below :---

Major head and Detailed Units.		tailed	Opening balance.	Dobits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
	(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
42—Multip Schemes Revenue	—Ć—Ot	her				(In lakhs	of rupees)
Purchaso	••	••	08	2.04	1.31	0.73	2•81
Miscollancou Advances		Works 	- <b>0</b> ·39		•••		0 • 39
Stock	••	••	-0·32	1 · 27	1.05	0 · 22	0 · 10
	Total	•••	1 · 37	3.31	2.36	0 · 95	2.32
44Irrigatio Embank Drainag Commer	ment e Works	and					
Purchase	••	••	-17.17	25.67	27 · 83	2·16	
Miscellaneou Advances		Works 		. <b>4·33</b>	<b>3</b> ·81	0 · 52	7•35
S.tock	••	••	11.73	13.04	14.63	-1·59	<b>10 · 14</b>
	Total	•••	1 · 39	<b>43</b> .04	46 · 27	<b>3</b> ·23	1.84

Major head U	÷ •,	ailed]	Opening balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
	(1)		(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
						(In lakhs	of rupees)
Multipu Schemes-	tal Outlay rpose Riv —Mayurak oir Projec	er (shi					
i	Dam.						
Purchase	••	••	7·40	3•94	<b>4 · 02</b>	<b>0</b> ·08	7 • 48
Miscellaneou Advances		Vorks	1,66•19	1,39•73	1,39•73	••	1,66 • 19
Stock	••	••	0•12	4.08	3 • 75	0.33	0 · 45
	Total	••	1,58•91	1,47.75	1,47.50	0 · 25	1,59 • 16
Barrage a	nd Irriga	tion.					
Purchase	••	••	-14.04	16•98	16·8 <b>5</b>	0•13	
Miscellaneou Advances		Vorks 	13•53	5•74	9•73	<b> 3 ·</b> 9 <b>9</b>	9•54
Stock	••	•••	1•83	2.08	1.57	0.51	2.34
	Total		1•32	24•80	28•15	3•35	
Kangsab P	ati Reserv roject.	voir					
Purchase	••	••	63•78	45•00	59•89		78•67
Miscellanco Advances		Vorks 	7•30	1•68	2•59	1•31	5•99
Stock	••	••	92•55	69•98 •	91.23	21·2 <b>5</b>	7 <b>1 · 3</b> 0
Workshop S	Suspense	••	0 · 35	0·35	••	0.35	• •
	Total	•••	36.42	1,16.31	1,54 · 11		1.38

Major Head "50—Pu	blic Works".	Total Grant or Appropriation. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. Rs.	Excess + Saving Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Gross	178.			
Original	5,99,34,000	}	E 00 EE E40	
Supplementary	5,99,34,000            20,00,000	6,19,34,000	5,22,57,549	96,76,451
Deductions				
Original		کے <u>مو</u> مع موں		+20,62,685
Supplementary		} —99,98,000		+20,62,685
Net—				
Original	4,99,36,000	5 19 36 000	4 43 99 934	
Supplementary	20,00,000	} <b>5,19,36</b> ,000 }	_,,,	10,20,100
Amount surrendered (March, 1963)	during the year	••	••	11,97,858
Charged				
Original	14,31,000			
_ Supplementary	14,31,000            1,78,000	} 16,09,000	14,73,211	—1,35,789
Amount surrendered (March, 1963)	during the year			4,954
Notes and comments—				
(i) In the falle-ing Corr	• - •	ed Grant.	lined to a multitude	
(i) In the following Grou	up Heads, the prov	ision was not util	lised to a substa	ntial extent.
B-ORIGINAL WORKS-			(In lakh	s of rupees.)
Communication—				
0	1,29.25	} 93.32	00 90	A 68
<b>R</b>		5 93.32	92.39	0 • 93

The total saving of Rs. 36.86 lakhs in the original provision (28.5 per cent.) was mainly due to (1) low tender rates, shortage of road rollers, etc. (Rs. 4.24 lakhs), and (ii) in adequate procurement of road materials owing to wagon difficulties and non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings, etc. (Rs. 29.97 lakhs).

J-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

•	nd Five-Yee ted expend —Public Wo	iture)—	••	36.00	7.51	
	ntrally-spor ə's share)	nsored Sche	mes			
	on of State te importa		economic or ver)—			
О.	••	• •	ړ 2⋅95	9.00	1 00	0.07
R.	••	••	0·89	► 2·06	1.99	0.07

The provision for maintenance cost is generally calculated on percentage basis on the total outlay on works. The savings under the Group Heads J(ii)-10 and J(v) in the original provisions of Rs. 36.00 lakhs (79.1 per cent.) and Rs. 2.95 lakhs (32.5 per cent.) respectively were

mainly due to non-utilisation of the provision for newly constructed roads and buildings. The reasons for non-surrender of the saving of Rs.  $28 \cdot 49$  lakhs under J(iii)-10 were not furnished by the controlling authority.

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the expenditure exceeded the provision to a substantial extent.

			Total	Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving—
D-REPAIRS	3				(In lakhs	of rupees.)
0.	••	••	2,12.92	2,07 · 46	2,39.04	+31.58
R.	••	••	— <b>5</b> ∙46 ∫	2,07.40	2,33.04	<b>491.00</b>

The excess was mainly due to payment of some outstanding railway claims and increased demand for repair works.

# J-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

J(1)—First Five-Year Plan (Committed expenditure)—

J(1)-7-Public Works-

0.	••	• •	68·75			
8.	••	• •	<b>4</b> ·00 7·71 }	80·46	96.69	+16.23
R.	••	••	7.71			

The excess was explained as due to increased expenditure on maintenance of roads constructed during the First Five-Year Plan period.

In view of the final excess of Rs.  $16 \cdot 23$  lakhs, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $4 \cdot 00$  lakhs and additional fund of Rs.  $7 \cdot 71$  lakhs provided by reappropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved inadequate.

(iii) In the following cases, the recoveries/credits exceeded the original estimates to a subs stantial extent :---

**E**—ESTABLISHMENT—

E-1—Deduct—Establishment charges recoverable from other Governments, Departments etc.

The increased recovery of Rs.  $15 \cdot 13$  lakes over the original estimate was due to execution of more work than anticipated on behalf of other Departments and Local Bodies.

F-TOOLS AND PLANT-

F-1-Deduct-Recoveries of Tools and Plant-

0.	••	••	ך 1⋅00 <u>−</u>			
				$-1 \cdot 20$	4.05	2 · 85
R.	••	• •	<b>0</b> ·20			•

The increased recovery was due to execution of more emergency work in the State.

# Grant No. 34.—Public Works—contd.

Total Grant	Actual	Excess+
	Expenditure.	Saving—

(In lakhs of rupees.)

# H-SUSPENSE-

Voted----

о.	• •	••	—0·50 ]		
R.	••	••	1 · 28	0.78	 

The excess credits amounted to Rs.  $74 \cdot 15$  lakhs. The reasons for this and for not providing these credits in the Budget and subsequently during the year have not been intimated by the department.

(iv) In the following case the recoveries fell largely short of original estimates :----

I-Deduct-Amount met from subvention from Central Road Fund-

0	••	••	90·64 }	60·66	51.88	+8.78
R.	••	••	29 • 98 ∫			10.0

The short-fall in recovery was due mainly to non-execution of works owing to shortage of rollers, inadequate procurement of road materials and non-finalisation of Land Acquisition proceedings.

In view of the final excess of Rs. 8.78 lakhs, the reduction of the provision by roappropriation of Rs. 29.98 lakhs as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved inadequate.

(v) Review of the Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Public Works Department :---

The gross Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Public Works Department during the year 1962-63 amounted to Rs. 79.67 lakhs and Rs. 17.16 lakhs respectively against the total works outlay of Rs. 8,88.01 lakhs, i.e., 9.0 and 1.9 per cent. respectively of the total works outlay.

Amounts of Rs.  $23 \cdot 29$  lakhs and Rs.  $4 \cdot 06$  lakhs were recovered on account of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges respectively for works done on behalf of Private Bodies and other Departments and Governments.

The percentages of net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges to Works Outlay for the years 1960-61, 1961-62 and 1962-63 are compared below :---

(In lakhs of rupees.)

						-	
	Year. *		Works Outl <b>a</b> y.	Establish- ment charges.	Percentage to Works Outlay.	Tools and Plant Charges.	Percentage to Works Outlay.
<b>196</b> 0-61	••	••	7,93 • 38	46•71	5.9	11.23	1.4
1961-62	••	••	8,56.19	58·51	6.8	15.88	1.9
1962-63	••	••	8,88·01	56·38	6 · 3	13.10	1.5

(vi) **Subvention from Central Road Fund**—This Fund is credited with subvention<sup>s</sup> made by the Central Government from Funds created from the additional revenue derived from enhanced duties on motor spirit as a result of the recommendations of the Indian Road Development Committee to the State Government for expenditure on substantial improvement of roads and bridges and construction of new roads and bridges. This Fund is debited with the expenditure met from these subventions. Full details of the Scheme appear in Note 4 below grant No. 32—Civil Works at pages 229-230 of the Appropriation Accounts for 1958-59. Certificate of acceptance of balance was not furnished by the Administrator of the Fund.

(vii) **Suspense**—The Group Head "Suspense" accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction and maintenance work of roads and buildings under Public Works Department. The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts. A sum of —Rs. 74.51 lakhs was adjusted during the year against the original provision of —Rs. 0.41 lakh which was subsequently modified to Rs. 0.93 lakh by obtaining a supplementary appropriation of Rs. 0.06 lakh and by reappropriation of Rs. 1.28 lakhs.

The transactions under each unit of suspense are exhibited below :----

Major Head and Detailed Units.	Opening balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balanco.
(1)	(2)	(3) -	(4)	(5)	(6)

50—Public Works.				/ <b>-</b> • • • •	
Voted				(In lakns	s of rupees.)
Purchase		4,06.04	$5,91 \cdot 55$	-1,85.51	
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances	6 <b>3</b> • 09	1,87 · 27	$91 \cdot 21$	96·06	1,59 · 15
Stock	$1,37 \cdot 35$	3,76.70	3,61 · 90	14.80	1,52.15
Total	-1,37.97	9,70.01	10,44.66	74.65	-2,12.62
 Charged					
Purchase	-0.30	1.06	1.03	0.03	-0·27
Miscellaneous Pub- lic Works Advances.	0 · 26	0 · 11	0.05	0.06	0 · 32
Stock	0.08	0.33	0.28	0.05	0 · 13
Total	0.04	1.50	1.36	0.14	0.18

#### **Charged Appropriation.**

In view of the final saving of  $R_8$ . 1.36 lakhs in the charged appropriation, the additional fund of Rs. 1.78 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963, by a supplementary appropriation proved excessive.

This was mainly under the following Group Head :--

Total Appro-	Actual Ex-	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
priation.	penditure.	Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

D-REPAIRS-

<i>0</i> .	• •	• •	<i>6 · 22</i> ]			
a			$\left.\begin{array}{c}6\cdot22\\1\cdot61\end{array}\right\}$	7 • 83	6 · 67	<i>1 · 16</i>
<i>S</i> .	••	••	1.61 j			

The reasons for the final saving of Rs.  $1 \cdot 16$  lakes were not intimated by the controlling officer.

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "53—Ports and	l Pilotage''	_		
Gross-	. Rs.	·		
Original	[ 12,96,000	10 00 000	15 79 400	4 17 404
Original Supplementary	6,94,900 }	19,90,900	10,73,490	4,17,404
Deductions-				
Original Supplementary	-17,000 ]			
Supplementary	∫			
Net				
Original Supplementary	12,79,000 ک	10 72 000	15 19 014	
Supplementary	<b>6,94,900</b> ∫	18,18,900	10,12,014	

## Notes and comments.

The saving of Rs.  $4 \cdot 61$  lakhs in the total provision (23 · 4 per cent.) occurred mainly under the Group Heads mentioned below :---

(In lakhs of rupees.)

(a) E-WORKS-

О.		••	0∙10 ך			
<b>S</b> .	••	•••	1.03	0 • 89	0·24	0 • 65
R.	••	••	-0.24			

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. 0.89 lakh in the provision (78.8 per cent.) were not furnished; the major portion of the saving (Rs. 0.65 lakh) romained unsurrendered.

The supplementary grant of Rs. 1.03 lakes obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1963 proved largely in excess of the requirement.

(b) F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

Third Five-Year Plan-

Contribution to the Ganga-Brahmaputra Water Transport Board—

s.	••	• •	$4 \cdot 00$	<b>4</b> · 00	• •	<b>4</b> · 00
----	----	-----	--------------	---------------	-----	---------------

The provision was obtained for payment of contribution to the Ganga-Brahmaputra Water Transport Board. The reasons for non-payment of contribution as well as non-surrendering the saving were not received from the controlling authority.

# Grant No. 36.—Famine Relief.

		Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess + Saving -
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "64—Famin	le Relief"			
Voted—	D.			
Gross—	Rs.			
Original	2,59,28,10	0 ]	5 67 70 090	• • 44 41 990
Original Supplementary	2,64,09,00	) 0 j	5,67,78,930	+44,41,830
Deductions				
Original	··· — 3,66,10			- 76 33 000
Supplementary	•• ••	<u>المجارعة المجارعة ا</u>		- 10,33,300
Net—				
Original	2,55,62,00            2,64,09,00	0 ]	4 97 79 030	
Supplementary	2,64,09,00	0 } ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,01,10,000	-31,92,070
Amount surrendere	d during the year (M	farch, 1963)	•••	18,80,955
Charged-				
Original		] 1.011	382	
Supplementary	1,81	1 5 1,811	00%	

# Notes and Comments-

## **Voted Grant**

(i) In view of the final saving of Rs.  $31 \cdot 92$  lakhs in the voted grant, the supplementary grant of Rs.  $2,64 \cdot 09$  lakhs obtained as late as on the 30th March, 1963 proved excessive.

(ii) In the following Group Head, the expenditure exceeded the provision by a substantial amount :---

A-FAMINE RELIEF-

A-2-Gratuitous Relief-

A-2(3)—At the houses of the people-

0	•			60·00 T			
					2,28.00	2,64 · 94	+36.94
8		••	• •	1,68.00	)	- <b>,</b>	•

(In lakhs of rupees).

The final excess was stated to be due to larger adjustment of departmental bills for supplies made by the Food Department.

In view of the final excess of Rs.  $36 \cdot 94$  lakhs, additional fund provided by supplementary grant under this Group Head as late as on 30th March, 1963 proved inadequate.

# Grant No. 36.—Famine Relief—contd.

(*iii*) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised wholly or to a substantial extent:

Total Grant.	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
	Expenditure.	Saving —

(In lakhs of rupees.)

#### A-FAMINE RELIEF-

A-1-Salaries and Establishment-

A-1(e)—Distribution of seeds—

0	••	••	5·09 Z	$2 \cdot 46$	2·23	
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	-2.63	- 10		

The total saving of Rs. 2.86 lakhs in the original provision was stated to be due to nonpurchase of estimated quantities of paddy and rabi seeds by local officers owing to nonavailability of stock in the local market.

#### A-2—Gratuitous Relief—

A2(7)—Free or concessional supply of seeds— O  $\cdots$   $3 \cdot 66$ S  $\cdots$   $0 \cdot 28$   $-3 \cdot 72$ S  $\cdots$   $0 \cdot 34$ 

The net saving of Rs. 3.38 lakhs in the original provision (91.3 per cent.) was due to less distribution of seeds during the year, consequent on smaller purchase of seeds under Group Head A1(e).

The final saving of Rs. 3.72 lakhs was not surrendered.

In the preceding two years also, the savings under this Group Head were Rs. 3.64 lakhs (99.5 per cent. of the original provision) and Rs. 3.68 lakhs (100 per cent. of the provision).

## A3-Miscellaneous-

A3(4)-Model Village Scheme

0	• •	 ך 52.98			
		ý	$35 \cdot 43$	$33 \cdot 26$	$-2 \cdot 17$
$\mathbf R$	• •	 ل 17·55 ل			

The total saving of Rs. 19.72 lakhs was explained as due to slow progress of work owing to non-availability of controlled commodities and failure of participants (local people) to go ahead with the construction work according to schedule (Rs. 2.17 lakhs) and curtailment of expenditure owing to National Emergency (Rs. 17.55 lakhs).

A7—	Lump provision	for increase of p	ау	••	1.70	••	-1.70
-----	----------------	-------------------	----	----	------	----	-------

The lump provision under this Group Head was made for eventual transfer to various other heads within the grant where there might be any excess due to increase of pay of the staff as a result of revision of pay scales. But the provision was neither re-appropriated nor surrendered. This was explained as due to some misapprehension amongst the controlling departments concerned.

(iv) In the following case, the anticipated Central assistance amounting to Rs. 3.66 lakhs was not received as the Government of India declined to allow such assistance to the State Government :

#### A-FAMINE RELIEF.

Al(e)(i) Deduct—Recoveries of cost of free distribution and concessional supply of seeds.

0	••	••	<u>— 3,66</u> ј			
				$-2 \cdot 38$	• •	+2.38
R	••	• •	≻ ل 1⋅28			

(v) Famine Insurance Fund—This fund was created by the Government of Bengal under the Bengal Famine Insurance Fund Act, 1937, with effect from the year 1938-39 with an initial contribution of Rs. 10 lakhs from the State revenues. Further contributions to the Fund from the State revenues amounted to Rs.  $2 \cdot 03$  lakhs in 1939-40 and Rs.  $2 \cdot 00$  lakhs in 1940-41.

The Fund is intended to meet the expenditure on the relief of famine and of distress caused by serious drought, flood, carthquake and other natural calamities. The corpus of the fund consists of the contributions made by Government from time to time and the interest accrued on the securities in which the sums at credit of the Fund are invested.

During 1962-63 an amount of Rs. 80.00 lakhs was paid by Government as contribution to the Fund and an equal amount was debited to it.

The balance at the Credit of the Fund as on the 31st March, 1963 was Rs. 21.13 lakhs.

An account of the Fund is given in Statement No. 16 of the Finance Accounts of West Bongal, 1962-63.

			Total Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Heads "65—Pensions Benefits" and "120—Pa value of Pensions."			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted—		Rs.			
Gross—		<b>I</b> V3.			
Original	••	1,85,87,000	} 1,85,87,000	1 07 19 907	11.00.907
Supplementary	••		۶ 1,85,87,000 J	1,97,13,307	+11,26,307
Deductions					
Original	••	-2,90,000	]	4 40 801	1 50 501
Supplementary	••	••	}	-4,48,791	1,58,791
Net—-					
Original	•••	1,82,97,000	] 1.82.07.000	1 00 04 510	
Original Supplementary	••	••	\$ 1,82,97,000 }	1,92,04,810	+9,67,516
Amount surrondered	during	g the year (Ma	rch, 1963)	••	6,38,500
Charged—					
Original	••	2,31,000		9 39 510	- 6 490
Supplementary	••	8,000	} 2,39,000	2,02,010	-6,490
Amount surrendered	during	the year (Ma	rch, 1963)	••	5,00 <b>0</b>

## Grant No. 37.—Pensions and Other Retirement Benefits.

#### Notes and Comments----

#### Voted Grant.

(i) The surrender of Rs. 6.39 lakhs in the voted grant made on the 30th March, 1963, did not prove justified since no amount was available for surrender and the actual expenditure exceeded the total grant by Rs. 9,67,516 which requires to be regularised.

(*ii*) The excess was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $18 \cdot 27$  lakhs over the provision of Rs.  $1,67 \cdot 21$  lakhs under 8 sub-heads, partly counter-balanced by savings amounting to Rs.  $2 \cdot 20$  lakhs in the provision of Rs.  $4 \cdot 65$  lakhs made under 5 sub-heads and surrender of Rs.  $6 \cdot 39$  lakhs within the grant.

(a) The excess was mainly due to adjustments towards the close of the year of unanticipated debits received from other State Governments for payments made on behalf of this State, under the sub-head indicated below :—

		Daved Delov	т.— Т	otal Grant	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
ASUPERAN RETIRED A					(In lakh	s of rupees.)
A(i)—Other						
0	••	••	<b>1,34</b> ·00			
R	••	••	-4.00	<b>1,3</b> 0 · 00	1,45.09	+15.09
(b) The othe	er sub-hea	ds under w	hich excesses oc	curred are inc	licated below :	-
A(ii)—Other East Pakis			from			
Undivided	Bengal Pe	nsion	••	1.60	1.61	+0.01
A( <i>iii</i> ) Undivi Pension—	ded Benga	l and East	Pakistan			
A( <b>iii</b> )(a)—	Pre-Partit	ion	••	$2 \cdot 60$	$2 \cdot 65$	+0.05
B-COMPASS	IONATE	ALLOWAN	(CE			
0	••	••	0·50 ]	0.60	0.61	+0.01
${f R}$	••	••	0 • 10 ∫	0 00	0.01	1002
C-DONATIO	NS TO PR	OVIDENT	FUNDS—			
Ο	••	••	$2 \cdot 91$	1 • 91	2.01	+0.10
R	••	••	<b>_1</b> ∙00 ∫	1 51	2.01	+0°10
<b>D</b> —GRATUIT	IES—					
$\mathbf{D}(i)$ —Death	Gratuity_	-				
0	••	••	25·00 ک	<b>94</b> 00	00 50	
R	••	••	-1.00	<b>24</b> · 00	26 · 70	+2.70
The excess the year.	was attrib	uted mainl	y to larger dra	wals of death	n gratuity towa	rds the end of
E-PENSION MERITORI			HED AND	<b>0 · 3</b> 0	0 · 33	+0.03
J—PAYMENT PENSIONS-						
0	••	••	7 .70 ک		<b>a</b>	
R	••	••	-1.50	6 · 20	6·48	+0.58
(iii) In th extent—		g case the	ecovery exce	oded the ori	ginal estimate to	) a substantial
	ensionary (		nsferred to			
0		• •	<u>-2</u> .90 )	• • •		
R	••	••	-0.40	$-3 \cdot 30$	-4.41	-1.11
<b>(17)</b>						C (1)

The total excess recovery of Rs. 1.51 lakhs representing 52.1 per cent. of the original provision was attributed mainly to transfer of larger pensionary charges to the Commercial Departments.

# Grant No. 38.—Privy Purses and Allowances of Indian Rulers 89 —(All Voted)

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "67- of	—Privy F Indian	urses and A Rulers.''	llowances	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
UI UI			Rs.			
Original	••	••	1,70,000 }	1 50 000	1 50 100	
Supplementary		• •	·· }	1,70,000	1,50,166	19,834
Amount surrend	ered dur	ing the year	r (March, 1963)	••	••	17,920

# Grant No. 39.—Stationery and Printing—(All Voted)

Rs.Rs.Rs.Rs.Gross-Rs.Original $\cdot$ $98,68,000$ $90,21,051$ $-8,46,949$ Supplementary $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $98,68,000$ $90,21,051$ $-8,46,949$ Deductions-Original $\cdot$ $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ Net-Original $\cdot$ $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $\cdot$ $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments-(In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent-(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent-D-PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES-(In lakhs of rupees.)D(i)-Purchase of Stationory Stores-Gross-O $\cdot$ $\cdot$ $0.644$ 44.14 $37.47$ $-6.67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6.03 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.(ii) In the following oase, the recovery fell short of the original estimate :D(i)-Deduct-Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departmente-O $\cdot$ $-6.49$ $-4.83$ A $\cdot$ $-6.49$ $-4.83$					Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Original $98,68,000$ $90,21,051$ $-8,46,949$ Supplementary $1$ $98,68,000$ $90,21,051$ $-8,46,949$ DeductionsOriginal $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ Supplementary $1$ $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ NetOriginal $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extentO $43\cdot50$ R $0.64$ H $44\cdot14$ $37\cdot47$ Gross $0$ O(ii) In the following oase, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : $D(ii)$ DeductValue of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying DepartmentsO $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$ $0$ $0.40$	Major Head "	68 Stati	onery and	d Printing".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Supplementary $98,68,000$ $90,21,051$ $-8,46,949$ Deductions Original $-6,01,000$ $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ Supplementary $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ Net Original $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments (i)(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent 	Gross			Rs.			
SupplementaryJAttractionAttractionDeductionsOriginal $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ SupplementaryJ $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ NetOriginal $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments(In lakhs of rupees.)D<-PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES	Original	••	••	ך 98,68,000			
Original $-6,01,000$ $-4,82,975$ $+1,18,025$ Supplementary $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Net-Original $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Supplementary $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent $D-PURCHASE$ OF STATIONERY STORES(In lakhs of rupees.) $D(i)$ Purchase of Stationory StoresGross $O$ $43 \cdot 50$ $R$ $0.64$ $A$ $44 \cdot 14$ $37 \cdot 47$ $-6.67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6.03$ lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.(ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : $D(ii)$ DeductValue of Stationery supplied to other Governments and Paying $O$ $-6.49$ $O$ <td< td=""><td>Suppleme</td><td>ntary</td><td>••</td><td> }</td><td>98,68,000</td><td>90,21,051</td><td>- 8,46,949</td></td<>	Suppleme	ntary	••	}	98,68,000	90,21,051	- 8,46,949
Supplementary	Deductions-						
Supplementary	Original	••	••	_ <b>6,01,0</b> 00 ک	8 01 000		
Original $\dots$ $92,67,000$ $92,67,000$ $85,38,076$ $-7,28,924$ Supplementary $\dots$ $\dots$ $1,08,768$ Motes and Comments—(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent—(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent—D—PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES—(In lakhs of rupees.)D(i)—Purchase of Stationory Stores—(In lakhs of rupees.)O $\dots$ $43\cdot50$ R $\dots$ $0.64$ 44·14 $37\cdot47$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6·03 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.(ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate :D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery supplied to other Governments and PayingDepartments— $0$ 0 $\dots$ <	Suppleme	ontary	••	}		-4,82,975	+1,18,025
Supplementary $(1,01,000)$ $(1,02,000)$ $(1,02,000)$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments— (i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent— (In lakhs of rupees.)D—PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES— Gross— Gross— $0$ $43 \cdot 50$ $1.0.64$ March 14 $37 \cdot 47$ $-6 \cdot 67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6 \cdot 03$ lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority $-6 \cdot 49$ $(ii)$ In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— $0$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-4 \cdot 83$ D $-6 \cdot 00$ $0$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-1 \cdot 83$ $+1 \cdot 66$	Net—						
Supplementary $(1,01,000)$ $(1,02,000)$ $(1,02,000)$ Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) $1,08,768$ Notes and Comments— (i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent— (In lakhs of rupees.)D—PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES— Gross— Gross— $0$ $43 \cdot 50$ $1.0.64$ March 14 $37 \cdot 47$ $-6 \cdot 67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6 \cdot 03$ lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority $-6 \cdot 49$ $(ii)$ In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— $0$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-4 \cdot 83$ D $-6 \cdot 00$ $0$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-1 \cdot 83$ $+1 \cdot 66$	Original	••	••	92,67,000 <sub>ک</sub>	09 67 000		
Notes and Comments— (i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent— (i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent— D—PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES— (In lakhs of rupees.) D(i)—Purchase of Stationory Stores— Gross— O 43.50 R 0.64 44.14 37.47 -6.67 The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6.03 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority. (ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— O6.00 P6.49 -4.83 +1.66	Suppleme	ntary	••	}	92,07,000	80,38,076	- 7,28,924
(i) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent— (In lakhs of rupees.) D_PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES— D(i)—Purchase of Stationory Stores— Gross— $O$ $43 \cdot 50$ $R$ $0 \cdot 64$ $free reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6 \cdot 03 lakhs were not furnished by the controllingauthority.(ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate :D(ii)$ —Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— $O$ $-6 \cdot 00$ $R$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-4 \cdot 83$ $+1 \cdot 66$	Amount s	urrendere	d during	the year (March	, 1963)	••	1,08,768
D-PURCHASE OF STATIONERY STORES D(i)-Purchase of Stationory Stores- Gross- $O$ $43 \cdot 50$ $R$ $0 \cdot 64$ $44 \cdot 14$ $37 \cdot 47$ $-6 \cdot 67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6 \cdot 03$ lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority. (ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)-Deduct-Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments- $O$ $-6 \cdot 00$ $R$ $-6 \cdot 49$ $-4 \cdot 83$ $+1 \cdot 66$			oup Head	l, the provision v	was not utilised	l to a substantia	l extent
$D(i) - Purchase of Stationery Stores - Gross - O \dots 43.50 R \dots 0.64 } 44.14 37.47 - 6.67 R \dots 0.64 } 44.14 37.47 - 6.67 The reasons for the net saving of Rs. 6.03 lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.(ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii) - Deduct - Value of Stationery supplied to other Governments and Paying Departments - O \dots - 6.00O - 6.49 - 4.83 + 1.66$	D-PURCHASE	E OF ST.	ATIONE	RY STORES		(In lakhs o	of rupees.)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				-			
R $0.64$ $44.14$ $37.47$ $-6.67$ The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6.03$ lakhs were not furnished by the controlling authority.(ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : $D(ii)$ —Deduct—Value of Stationery supplied to other Governments and Paying Departments— 0 $-6.49$ $-4.83$ $+1.66$	Gross-		-				
R $0.64$ } The reasons for the net saving of Rs. $6.03$ lakes were not furnished by the controlling authority. (ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— 0 $-6.00-6.49$ $-4.83$ $+1.66$	Ο	••	••	<b>43</b> ∙50	44.14	07 45	_
authority. (ii) In the following case, the recovery fell short of the original estimate : D(ii)—Deduct—Value of Stationery sup- plied to other Governments and Paying Departments— $O$ $-6\cdot00$ $-6\cdot49$ $-4\cdot83$ $+1\cdot66$			••	· · · · <b>/</b>			_
$ \begin{array}{c} D(ii) - Deduct - Value of Stationery supplied to other Governments and Paying Departments - 0 \cdots \qquad -6 \cdot 00 \\ 0 & \cdots & -6 \cdot 00 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} + 1 \cdot 66 \end{array} $	The reasons authority.	for the	net sav:	ing of Rs. 6.03	lakhs were no	t furnished by t	he controlling
plied to other Governments and Paying Departments O $\cdots = -6 \cdot 00$ D $-6 \cdot 49 = -4 \cdot 83 + 1 \cdot 66$	(ii) In the fol	lowing oa	se, the re	covery fell short	of the original	estimate :	
$-6 \cdot 49 - 4 \cdot 83 + 1 \cdot 66$	plied to	other Go	e of Stat vernment	ionery sup- ts and Paying			
	Ο	••	••	-6·00 ]	RA0	4 - 00	
	R	••	••	— 0·49 ∫	-0.49	- 4.83	+1.66

The reasons for the shortfall in recovery were not furnished by the controlling authority.

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head (170	Ecrost?	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "70- Gross—	Rs.			
Original	1,98,93,000		1 61 40 190	97 49 971
Supplementary	<b>1,98,93,</b> 000 	۲,98,93,000 J	1,01,49,129	- 37,43,871
Deductions-				
Original	··· — 28,72,000		17 64 400	1 11 07 501
Supplementary	•• ••	5 -28,72,000		+11,07,501
Not				
Original	1,70,21,000		1 49 94 890	00 00 070
Supplementary	•••	} 1,70,21,000	1,43,84,030	- 20,30,370
Amount surrendered	during the year (Ma	rch, 1963)		25,48,700

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) The saving of Rs.  $26 \cdot 36$  lakhs in the original provision ( $15 \cdot 5$  per cent.) was stated to be due to non-finalisation of the proposal for establishment of a Particle Board Plant at Siliguri owing to non-release of required foreign exchange by the Government of India.

(ii) In the following Group Head, the recovery fell short of the original estimate by Rs. 11.26 lakhs: (In lakhs of rupees.)

A-CONSERVANCY AND WORKS-

A-VIII-Deduct-Amount recoverable from

other	Governments,	Departme	nts, etc.—			
Ο	••	••	ر 28·26 _	-17.12	-17.00	+0.12
$\mathbf{R}$	• •	••	11.14			+0.12

The reasons for the shortfall in recovery were not furnished by the controlling officer.

(iii) In the following Group-Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent (

## A-CONSERVANCY AND WORKS-

A-V-Miscellaneous-

0	• •	••	ך 32·19			
			(	$23 \cdot 52$	$23 \cdot 62$	+0.10
$\mathbf{R}$	• •	••	-8.67			•

The net saving of Rs. 8.57 lakhs in the original provision (26.6 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to less purchase of forest produce owing to less demand of sleepers from Railway authorities.

F-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F(i)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0	• •	-	ן 8 <b>3</b> ⋅00			
			(	<b>48</b> ·11	47.65	-0.46
R	••	••	<b>34</b> ·89 ∫		•	

The total saving of Rs.  $35 \cdot 35$  lakhs in the original provision ( $42 \cdot 5$  per cent.) was stated to be due to non-finalisation of the proposal for (*i*) establishment of a Particle Board Plant at Siliguri due to non-release of the required foreign exchange by the Government of India (Rs.  $24 \cdot 24$ lakhs), (*ii*) non-finalisation of the Scheme for Construction of a Ropeway (Rs.  $5 \cdot 00$  lakhs) and (*iii*) non-implementation of a number of development schemes under the Third Five-Year Plan, e.g., Nature Conservation, Timber operation and Forest utilisation, Soil conservation in denuded Forests and Fire Protection Scheme, etc. (Rs.  $5 \cdot 65$  lakhs).

# Grant No. 41.—Miscellaneous—Contributions.

				al Grant or propriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "71	Miscellan	eous"		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
-		Rs.				
Voted—						
Original Supplementary	••	1,89,12,000	} ;	3.59.72.000	3.49.54.293	-10 17 707
Supplementary	••	1,70,60,000	ſ	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	0,10,01,200	- 10,17,707
Amount surrendered d	uring the ye	ar (March, 19	63)	••	• •	8,91,257
Oharged						
Original Supplementary	••	8,03,000	5	8 08 000	6 38 040	- 1,69,960
Supplementary	••	5,000	ſ	0,00,000	0,00,010	-1,03,300
Amount surrendered de	uring the yea	er (March, 196	3)	••	••	<i>99,<b>0</b>98</i>
Notes and Comments—						
		Charged /	App	ropriation		
In the charged app appropriation. This local officers (Rs. 0.75 local bodies (Rs. 0.79	vas stated to lakh) and les	o be due mainl ss realisation of	ly to f fine	onon-receipt	of accurate estin equent short pa low	nates from the syment to the
B-Miscellancous Co		-			(In lakhs	of rupees.)
Charged-						
		0.00	2			

e .ru, geu						
0	• •	• •	<i>8∙03</i> ]			
$\boldsymbol{S}$	••	••	0.05 }	7·09	6 · 38	-0.71
R	••	••	—0·99 J			
			-			

# Grant No. 42.—Miscellaneous—Other Miscellaneous Expenditure.

			Total Grant or Appropriation. Rs.	Actual Expenditure. Rs.	Excess + Saving Rs.	
Major Heads "71—Miscel Capital Outlay on other		' and "109—-				
Voted—		Rs.				
Gross—						
Original	••	17,20,44,000	<b>}17,20,44,000</b>	15.39.01.967	-1.81.42.033	
Supplementary	••	••	<b>J </b>			
Deductions—						
Original	••	- 27,65,000	)			
Original Supplementary	••		<b>}</b> -27,65,000	- 39,49,965	-11,84,965	
Net—						
Original Supplementary	••	16,92,79,000	<b>}</b> 16,92,79,000	14,99,52,002	-1,93,26,998	
$\mathbf{Supplementary}$	••	••	5			
Amount surrendored durin					1,62,64,298	
Oharged—						
Original Supplementary	••	2,03,000	<b>3.15.000</b>	34,606	<i>_2,80,394</i>	
Supplementary	••	1,12,000	j			
Amount surrendered during the year (March, 1963) 3,740						

# 92 Grant No. 42.—Miscellaneous—Other Miscellaneous Expenditure —contd

## Notes and Comments-

#### Voted Grant

(i) The saving of Rs.  $1,93 \cdot 27$  lakes in the voted grant formed  $11 \cdot 4$  per cent. of the original provision.

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :----

	Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
71—Miscellaneous—G—Miscellaneous a Unforeseen charges.	nđ	(In lakhs of	rupces.)	
G. 4—Expenditure in connection with W Bengal National Volunteer Force—				
G. 4(VII)(a)—District Battalions—				
-				

0	••	• •	ך 12 17			
			<u>۲</u>	5.81	6·04	+0.23
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	<b>-6·36</b> ∫			•

The net saving of Rs. 6.13 lakhs in the original provision (50.4 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to the following reasons :—

(a) Periodical parades of the District Battalion Volunteers were not hold since October, 1962 due to their mobilisation for National Emergency resulting in less expenditure on travelling allowance, honoraria, etc. (Rs.  $3 \cdot 92$  lakhs) and

(b) the Collective Training Camp of the volunteers was not organised due to National Emergency (Rs.  $2 \cdot 60$  lakhs).

#### N-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

N(1)—Third Five-Year Plan—

N(i)I— Village Panchayats—

0	••	••	35·00 <b>]</b>	5·58	E . 44	0.14
R	••	••	$-29 \cdot 42 $	0.08	$5 \cdot 44$	-0.14

The total saving of Rs. 29.56 lakhs in the original provision (84.5 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) non-payment of grants to the Anchal Panchayats due to postponement of all the Panchayat Elections consequent on National Emergency (Rs. 23.42 lakhs) and (ii) non-implementation of the training scheme due to National Emergency (Rs. 6.00 lakhs).

N(i)III—Miscellaneous—

0	••	••	50.00 ك	44.42	44 45	
R	••		—5·58	44.42	44 • 45	+0.03

The net saving of Rs. 3.55 lakhs in the original provision (11.1 per cent.) was stated to be due to curtailment of assistance to Municipalities for Improvement of Municipal Roads on account of National Emergency.

N(i)IV-Social Welfare-Social Defence-

0			ן 8⋅23			
			(	2.88	$2 \cdot 56$	-0.32
R	••	• •	5·35 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 5.67 lakhs in the original provision (68.9 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to (i) partial implementation of the Scheme of Establishment of District Shelters due to non-availability of suitable house and staff (Rs. 0.24 lakh), (ii) non-implementation of

# Grant No. 42.—Miscellaneous—Other Miscellaneous Expenditure—contd. 93

the scheme for the "Establishment of a Composite Reformatory Industrial and Borstal School (spill-over expenditure)" owing to non-availability of possession of the requisite building from the Development Department (Rs.  $2 \cdot 00$  lakhs) and (iii) non-availability of suitable accommodation as well as adoption of economy measures consequent on National Emergency (Rs.  $2 \cdot 42$  lakhs).

Total	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
Grant.	$\mathbf{Expenditure.}$	Saving_

(In lakhs of rupees.)

N. (V)-Centrally-sponsored Schemes-

N(v)(i)—Housing—

0	••	••	36·53 J	14 88		
R	••	••	-21.76	14.77	14.77	••

The saving of Rs.  $21 \cdot 76$  lakhs in the original provision ( $59 \cdot 5$  per cent.) was stated to be due to less expenditure on the scheme of slum clearance project owing to non-execution of the work of Calcutta Corporation's project for Harijan Workers under the Scheme (Rs.  $22 \cdot 16$  lakhs) partly off set by excess expenditure on "private employers' project" under Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme consequent on the decision of the Government of India to give financial assistance for such projects (Rs. 0.40 lakh).

#### 109-Capital Outlay on other works

P-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

P(a)—Third Five-Year Plan—

P.(a)(iii)—Miscollaneous—

The total saving of Rs.  $21 \cdot 86$  lakhs in the original provision (88 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to decision of the Government to reduce commitment on the Scheme for Food Grain Storage (Rs.  $4 \cdot 93$  lakhs) and for postponement of the scheme for "partition of the district of 24-Parganas" consequent on National Emergency (Rs.  $12 \cdot 75$  lakhs).

P.(a)(VI)-Labour and Labour Welfaro---

0	• •	••	ך 12.13			
				6 • 56	$6 \cdot 22$	-0·34
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	— 5·57 ∫			

The total saving of Rs. 5.91 lakhs in the original provision (48.7 per cont.) was stated to be due mainly to (i) less expenditure on Industrial Hygiene and setting up of a Testing Laboratory for examination of Boilers due to non-purchase of equipments for want of foreign exchange (Rs. 2.45 lakhs) and (ii) less expenditure on Model Labour Welfare Centres and Holiday Homes owing to non-availability of suitable land (Rs. 3.12 lakhs).

P.(a)(ix)	Water Sup and Corpore	oply and intion)—	Sanitation			
Ο	••	••	25⋅00 ك	9.13	8.13	-1.00
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	<b>-</b> 15·87 ∫	5.12	0.19	-1.00

The total saving of Rs. 16.87 lakhs in the original provision (67.5 per cent.) was stated to be due to (i) non-execution of the work of Chowbagha Pumping Station owing to non-finalisation of tender and imposition of stay order by the Court restraining excavation of Branch Channel, both pertaining to Tollygung-Panchannagram Drainage Scheme (Rs. 4.69 lakhs) and (ii) some items of important works relating to Silt Clearance and Completion of works of Calcutta Corporation outfall system, were left out owing to non-transfer of the outfall system to the Government of West Bengal (Rs. 11.18 lakhs).

# 94 Grant No. 42.—Miscellaneous—Other Miscellaneous Expenditure—contd.

Total	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

P.(d)—Centrally-sponsored Schemes—

P.(d)(i)-Housing-

The total saving of Rs. 87.69 lakhs in the original provision (89.0 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-completion of certain Land Acquisition and Development Projects (Rs. 59.10 lakhs) for non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings.

The reasons for non-surrender of the final saving were not furnished by the controlling officer.

P(e)-Other Schemes-

P.(e)(g)—Construction of houses under Middle Income Group Housing Scheme—

Q		••	ך 27.39			
			l	$29 \cdot 86$	19.53	$-10 \cdot 33$
R	••	••	2 · 47 ∫			

The net saving of Rs. 7.86 lakhs in the original provision (28.7 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to slow progress of work and also to non-payment of charges owing to non-receipt of bills in respect of construction of 100 houses at Kalyani under the Scheme.

In view of the final saving of Rs. 10.33 lakhs, the additional provision of Rs. 2.47 lakhs made by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963, did not prove justified.

P.(e)(h)—Construction of houses under the Rental Housing Scheme for State Government's employees—

Ο	••		ך 00·00			
			(	58.46	55.76	-2.70
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	<b>—31·54</b> ∫			

The total saving of Rs.  $34 \cdot 24$  lakhs in the original provision (38 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) non-construction of houses at Kidderpore owing to non-release of the requisite land by the Defence Department (Rs.  $6 \cdot 69$  lakhs), (ii) slow progress of works of construction of houses/flats at South Sinthee, Dum Dum (Rs.  $2 \cdot 20$  lakhs), (iii) non-construction of 27 flats out of 36 at Ironside Road, Ballygunj, as alternative accommodation could not be provided for the present occupants residing at the site (Rs.  $9 \cdot 70$  lakhs) and (iv) slow progress of construction of flats at Raigunj consequent on the postponement of the shifting of District Headquarters to that place in view of the National Emergency (Rs.  $12 \cdot 45$  lakhs).

(iii) In view of the final excess of Rs.  $30 \cdot 20$  lakhs additional provision of Rs.  $3 \cdot 95$  lakhs provided by re-appropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved largely inadequate in the following case.

#### 109-Capital Outlay on other works

**P**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

P(a)—Third Five-Year Plan—

P.(a)(i)—Housing—

0	••		ן 1,12.76			
			۲. E	1,16.71	1,46.91	+30.20
R	• •	••	3.95 ∫			

The final excess of Rs.  $30 \cdot 20$  lakhs in the original provision ( $26 \cdot 8$  per cent.) was mainly due to larger expenditure on Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme as a result of better progress of work.

## Grpnt No. 42.—Miscellaneous—Other Miscellaneous Expenditure—concld. 95

(iv) In the following case, the recoveries exceeded the original estimate to a substantial extent:

Total	Actual	$\mathbf{Excess} +$
Grant	Expenditure.	Saving —
	(In lakhs of	rupees.)

#### 109—Capital Outlay on other works

**P.**—DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES—

P(a)—Third Five-Year Plan—Housing—

P(a)(ii)—*Deduct*—Receipts and recoveries on capital account—

0		••	$-15.00$ }		
-			_5·52 ∫	-20.52	 $-12 \cdot 51$
R	••	••	-5.52 J		

The increased recovery of Rs. 18.03 lakhs was due to larger capital receipts and recoveries than anticipated in respect of the Kanchrapara Area Development Schemes (Kalyani Town) for which the original estimate was (t) Rs. 15.00 lakhs.

(v) **Suspense**—The Group Head "Housing" under "Third Five-Year Plan" subordinate to the Major Head "109—Capital Outlay on other works" includes a "Suspense" head which accommodates interim transactions for the purchase and supply of machinery in connection with the Kanchrapara Area Development Schemes (Kalyani Town). The nature and accounting procedure of the transactions under this head have been explained at page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts.

A sum of (-) Rs. 5.73 lakhs was adjusted during the year against the original provision of (-) Rs. 9.00 lakhs which was subsequently augmented to (-) Rs. 10.50 lakhs by a minus reappropriation of Rs. 1.50 lakhs.

(vi) **Subsidies**—Subsidies amounting to Rs.  $34 \cdot 45$  lakhs were paid to Calcutta Improvement Trust (Rs.  $33 \cdot 60$  lakhs) and two private limited companies (Rs.  $0 \cdot 85$  lakh) during the year for implementation of the Subsidised Industrial Housing Scheme and the Slum Clearance Project.

## **Charged Appropriation.**

(i) The saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 80$  lakhs in the charged appropriation formed  $88 \cdot 9$  per cent. of the total provision of Rs.  $3 \cdot 15$  lakhs.

In view of the final saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 80$  lakhs, the supplementary appropriation of Rs.  $1 \cdot 12$  lakhs taken as late as on the 30th March, 1963, for payment of decretal charges did not prove justified.

(ii) In the following Group Head, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent :

Total Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	$\mathbf{Excess} + \mathbf{Saving} -$		
	(In lakhs of rupees.)			

47

## 109-Capital Outlay on other works

**P-DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-**

P(a)(i)—Housing—

Ο	• •		<i>2</i> ∙00 ]			
			<u>}</u>	0 · 55	0.25	-0.30
R		• •	$-1 \cdot 45 \int$		-	• •

The reasons for the total saving of Rs. 1.75 lakhs in the original appropriation were not received from the controlling authorities.

(iii) A case where additional provision obtained by re-appropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved unnecessary is indicated below:

P----DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES---

P(e)(f)-Patipukur Township Scheme-

The reasons for the additional provision and the final saving were not received from the controlling authorities.

	-		-	-	
			Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess+ Saving-
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Heads "16—Intere Obligations", "71—N Capital Outlay on ( raised in India'' and ' Private Parties, etc."	liecellan	eous", "109			
Voted—		Rs.			
Gros <del>s</del>					
Original Supplementary	••	6,19,12,000	6 10 19 000	5 10 04 997	1 00 05 749
Supplementary	••	••	5 8,19,12,000	5,10,00,237	-1,00,00,700
Deductions-					
Original	••	- 2,91,21,000	<b>}</b> 2,81,35,000	. 1 41 69 704	1 1 20 71 908
Supplementary	••	9,86,000	۶2,81,30,000 ]	1,41,03,704	+1,39,71,290
Net—					
Original Supplementary	••	3,27,91,000	3 37 77 000	3 88 49 533	± 30 65 533
Supplementary	••	9,86,000	5	0,00,12,000	1 00,00,000
Charged					
Original Supplementary	••	35,41,000	16 49 000	AG A8 AAQ	- 551
Supplementary	•••	11,08,000	j <del>10,10,000</del>	¥V,20,227	-001

#### Notes and Comments :---

#### Voted Grant

(i) In view of the excess of Rs. 30.66 lakhs in the voted grant the supplementary grant of Rs. 9.86 lakhs obtained on the 30th March, 1963, proved largely inadequate.

(ii) The excess of Rs. 30,65,533 requires to be regularised. This was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $74 \cdot 92$  lakes over the provision of Rs.  $-6 \cdot 73$  lakes made under 22 sub-heads partly counter-balanced by savings amounting to Rs.  $44 \cdot 26$  lakes in the provision of Rs.  $3,43 \cdot 50$  lakes under 14 sub-heads.

(a) The excess was mainly due to short-fall in recovery from the Central Government consequent on the non-materialisation of purchase of buildings for the inmates of the women's eamp. The sub-head is indicated below :--

## 71—Miscellaneous—H—Deduct-Recoveries from the Union Government—

		•••••••		(In lakhs	of rupees.)
0	••	••	-2,84·50		0. 142008.)
8	• •	••	9.86	 -1,41·47	+48.75
R	••	••	84 · 42		

In view of the final excess of Rs. 48.75 lakhs under the Group Head, the reduction of the minus provision by taking supplementary grant of Rs. 9.86 lakhs and re-appropriation of Rs. 84.42 lakhs as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved inadequate.

# 96 Grant No. 43.—Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons.

# Grant No. 43.—Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons 97 —contd.

(b) The other sub-heads under which excesses of comparatively large amounts occurred are indicated below:

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -	
Major Head "71—Miscellaneous"					(In lakhs of rupees.)		
(iv) Expenditure	on Scl	heme <del>s -</del>					
B—Educational Expenses—	Grant	s—Other	Educational				
Ο	••	••	0.30 ک	3.10	9.85		
$\mathbf R$	••	••	2 ⋅ 80 ∫	3.10	9.00	+6.75	

The excess was stated to be due to a post-budget decision for payment of Educational Grants to displaced political sufferers.

In view of the final excess additional provision of Rs.  $2 \cdot 80$  lakes made by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963 proved inadequate.

M-SCHEME FOR COLONISATION-

О.		••	ן 1,00∙00			
				1,08.65	1,19.69	+11.04
R.	••	••	8.65 ∫	,		,

The final excess of Rs.  $11 \cdot 04$  lakes was explained as due to increase in the cost of acquisition of land.

In view of the final excess of Rs. 11.04 lakes the additional fund of Rs. 8.65 lakes provided by re-appropriation on the 30th March, 1963 proved largely inadequate. Although in the revised estimates prepared by the Department, the expenditure was estimated to be Rs. 1,20.00 lakes, adequate additional funds were not provided.

(c) The sub-hoads under which other excesses occurred are indicated below :

#### Major Head "71-Miscellaneous"

B-Rehabilitation-III-Establishment Charges-

• •

. .

R

III(a)—Refug Directorate	ee Relie Establishn	f and Rel nent—	nabilitation			
ο	••	••	[ 13⋅60	10 00	16.70	+0.02
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 13 \cdot 60 \\ 3 \cdot 08 \end{array}\right\}$	10.08		
(b) District	and subdiv	risional Esta	blishment—			
ο	• •	••	18.40	10 75	10.77	
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 18\cdot40\\ 1\cdot35\end{array}\right\}$	19.19	19.77	+0.02
(c) Establis Construct	hment une tion Board-		f Engineer,			
Ø	••	• •	0·55 ]	0.76	0.90	
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 55\\ 0\cdot 21\end{array}\right\}$	0.76	0.90	+0.04
IV-Expendit	ture on Sch	emes—				
(a) Vocatio	n <mark>al Tra</mark> inin	g and Work	Centres			
Ο	••	••	0.77	0.45	0.00	. 0.15
_				0·45	0.60	+0.15

**-0·32** ∫

# 98 Grant No. 43.—Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons —contd.

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Seving-	
	71 - Miscells	manue.			(In lakhs o	f rupces.)	
71-Miscellaneous. (d) Grants to Industries-Government Pro-							
duction	Centres-						
0	• •	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}5\cdot00\\3\cdot50\end{array}\right\}$	8.50	10· <b>3</b> 5	+1.85	
R	••	••	3·50 )				
The excess was explained as due to increased expenditure in several Government Production Centres as a result of entrusting them with the urgent works of manufacturing certain articles (or Defence Personnel.							
(e) Other	Rehabilitatio	n Schemes					
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 82\\ 0\cdot 64\end{array}\right\}$	1.46	1.67	+0.21	
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	0.64 ∫	1,40	1.07	<b>T</b> 0-21	
(V)—Miscel	lancous Expe	ns <del>os —</del>					
Ο	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 00 \\ 8 \cdot 50 \end{array} \right\}$	$12 \cdot 50$	13.50	1.00	
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	8.50 ∫	12.30	13.00	+1.00	
The excess was attributed mainly to a post-budget decision to undertake repairs and res sinking of derelict tube-wells in refugee colonics in the State.							
displace	inistration of ed persons ar uthorised occ	nd eviction o	f persons				
0	••	••	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 00 \\ 0 \cdot 05 \end{array} \right\}$	1.05	1.07	+0.02	
$\mathbf R$	••	••	0·05 ∫	1 00	1 01		
E-Revenu	e Earning Scł	neme					
0	••	• •	0∙90 ک	1 · 27	2.43	+1.16	
$\mathbf R$	••	••	0.37	1-27	2 10		
F—Scheme for dispersal of displaced college students from Calcutta—							
Ο	• •	••	10.00 ک	10.47	10.95	+0.48	
R	••	••	0·47 ∫	10 1.	10 00	1010	
bilitati	stration of Fu on of displace dministration	d persons—	) for reh <b>a</b> -				
Ο	••	••	[ 0∙21				
R			-0·03 }	0.18	0.20	+0.02	
H—2—A	dministration	Division	••		0.01	+0.01	
	lepairs and M		••	0.50	0.51		
H—4—Deduct—Recovery from the Union Government—							
0	•••	••	– 2∙11 ך				
R	••	••	0.15	<u> </u>	••	+1.96	

The anticipated recovery of certain charges on the Administration of Fulia Township for ehabilitation of displaced persons was not made from the Government of India. The reasons or this were not furnished by the controlling officer.

# Grant No. 43.---Miscellaneous---Expenditure on Displaced Persons 99

**-** d.

			_ (	μ.		
				Total Grant.	Actual Exponditure.	Excess+ Saving-
	<b>O</b> -11-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-				(In lakhs of a	rupeos.)
"109— Capita	-					
L—Direct buildin displaced person	g program 18Works	me for hou 	ising of			
0	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot10\\ 0\cdot70\end{array}\right\}$	0.80	0.97	10.17
R	••	••	0.70 ∫	0.90	0.97	+0.12
O(i)—Construction Fulia Towr		buildings	etc., for	0 · 20	1.09	+0.89
O(ii)— <i>Deduct</i> —R Capital Acc		nd Recover	ries on	-0.05	••	+0.05
O(iii)— <i>Deduct</i> —F Governmer		from the	Union	-0.20	••	+0.20
PRenovation of system in Fu	f the Pi lia Townsh	pe-Water ip—	Supply			
0	••	••	ر 88∙0		0.70	
${f R}$	••	••	-0.50	0.38	$0 \cdot 50$	+0.12
(iii) In the fol on the 30th Mar	lowing Gra ch, 1963 j	oup Heads, proved unn	additional ecossary.	fund provide	d by re-appropri	ation as late as
(a) B—Rehabilita	tion—					
B-1-Expend	iture on P.	L. Homes-				
0		••	ך 73·70 ך			
R			$\left.\begin{array}{c} 73\cdot70\\ 4\cdot30\end{array}\right\}$	<b>78</b> .00	$72 \cdot 49$	-5.51
The final sav receipt in time of of the camps.	ving of R suppliers'	s. 5·51 lak	hs in the to	otal provision	was explained stuff and clothin	as due to non- g to the inmates
(b)B.(iv)—Expen	diture on S	Schemes—				
B(iv)(b)—Educ	ation Gran	1t				
ο	••	••	( 1,02.30			
R	••		$\left.\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{1,02\cdot 30}\\5\cdot 80\end{array}\right\}$	1,08.10	1,00.65	- 7·45
The additional funds provided for expansion of non-official School Building, could not be utilised as a result of late receipt of the sanction from the Government of India.						
(iv) In the fo	lowing Gro	oup Heads,	the provisio	on was not u	tilised wholly or	to a substantial
Major Head—"7 B—Rehabilitatio		ancous''—				
B.—II—Exper Institutes—	nditure on	other Ho	mes and			
Ο	••		ړ 1,00∙00 ∫	_		

0	••	••	1,00.00	39.35	$37 \cdot 43$	$-1 \cdot 92$
R	• •		- 60 · 65			

A total sum of Rs. 62.57 lakhs (62.6 per cent. of the original provision) remained unutilised as buildings for the inmates of women's camp were not purchased consequent on a post-budget decision to this effect and the per capita expenditure on the inmates of the Home was reduced on the basis of the post-budget orders issued by the Government of India.

## 100 Grant No. 43.—iscellanus—Expenditurge on Displaced Persons—contd.

				Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess+ Saving-
-	penditure o		_		(In lakhs of r	upees.)
В—IV(С 0	C) Medical f	acilities—	ך 40·00			
R	••	••	-14.50	25.50	21.82	
The total	saving of ]	Rs. 18.18 la	khs in the origi	inal provision	n_(45·5 por cent	t.) was mainly

due to smaller requirements of medical facilities for Camp and Home inmates and non-setting up of non-T. B. beds in hospitals for the displaced persons for want of sanction from the Government of India.

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

. .

B-Rehabilitation-

B. VII—Irrecoverable loans and advances written off—

0	• •	••	ך 5.00
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}5\cdot00\\-5\cdot00\end{array}\right\}$

B(IX) Conversion of maintenance loans into grants—

0	••	••	ך 10.00
R	••	••	$\begin{bmatrix} 10.00 \\ -10.00 \end{bmatrix}$

B (X) Conversion of Educational loans into grants-

0	••	••	ړ 13.00 ک
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}13\cdot00\\-13\cdot00\end{array}\right\}$

The provision under the above three Group Heads remained unutilised for want of eanctions of the Government of India.

#### Major Head "109---capital Outlay on other Works".

N—Other Schemes for rehabilitation of displaced persons—

Gross-

0	••	••	ך 14.26			
m			$\left.\begin{array}{c}14\cdot26\\-4\cdot64\end{array}\right\}$	9.62	7.24	-2.38
R	••	••	-4.04			

The total saving of Rs. 7.02 lakhs in the original provision (49.2 per cent.) was attributed mainly to (i) wrong fixation of original budget estimate for want of accurate data and (ii) partial implementation of the scheme "Duck Multiplication Centre at Gobardanga".

#### Loans and Advances by State Government-

Major Head "Loans to Local Funds Private Parties etc."—

Q-Loans and Advances to Dispalced Persons-

0	••	• •	98·85 ]			
			(	80.85	65 • 16	-15·69
R	••	••	<b>—18</b> ·00 ∫			

The total saving of Rs.  $33 \cdot 69$  lakhs in the original provision ( $34 \cdot 1$  per cent.) had been explained as due to (i) non-utilisation of provision under several residuary schemes, (viz., purchase of additional agricultural lands, tools and implements, bullock carts, etc. for supply to displaced persons) for want of sanction of the Government of India (Rs.  $18 \cdot 00$  lakhs) and (ii) for certain loans owing to non-fulfilment of requisite conditions and requirements by the poances (Rs.  $15 \cdot 69$  lakhs).

# Grant No. 43.—Miscellaneous—Expenditure on Displaced Persons—concld. 101

(v) Expenditure on Relief and Rehabilitation of Displaced Persons :---

(a) During the year 1962-63, an expenditure of Rs.  $4 \cdot 48$  crores was incurred on rehabilitation of displaced persons and other schemes, the details of which together with the expenditure of the preceding four years are given below :—

		From 1958-59 to 1960-61	1961 -62	1962-63
(i)	Relief and Rehabilitation of displaced persons :—	(Expe	enditure in lakhs	of rupees).
	(a) Relief	. 1,391.00	176.00	
	(b) Rehabilitation .	. 702.00	199.00	<b>3</b> 00 · 0 <b>0</b>
(ii)	Revenue Earning Schemes .	. 1 · 86	1 · 28	2·43
(üi)	Scheme for dispersal of displaced colleg students from Calcutta.	o 36·12	10·8 <b>3</b>	10·95
(iv)	Administration of a Township for dis placed porsons.	- 4.96	1.58	1 • 92
(v)	Expenditure on Capital Account	<b>265 · 00</b>	118· <b>0</b> 0	129 • 49
(vi)	Expenditure on General Administration (Rehabilitation Programme).	n 16·32	<b>4</b> ·76	3.63
	Total .	. 2,417.26	511.45	448 · 42

Of the expenditure on Rehabilitation proper during 1962-63, a sum of Rs. 1.42 crores was reimbursed by the Government of India as grant.

(b) The expenditure incurred on pay and allowances of officers and other establishment during 1962-63 and the preceding four years is indicated below :---

		From 1958-59 to 1960-61		1961-62	1962-63
			(Expend	liture in lakhs of rupees).	
Staff at Heidquarters		••	64 • 54	19.97	20 · 26
Staff in Districts	••	••	75.07	19.78	18 · 21
Staff in Camps/Homes	••	••	139.00	20 · 44	17.05
Staff on Schemes		• •	86 • 97	32.89	4 · 43
Tota	ı	••	<b>36</b> 5 · <b>5</b> 8	93.08	59.95

With the closure of Relief Camps for displaced persons during 1961-62, no expenditure has been booked under the head "Relief"; expenditure on account of arrears relating to Camps and Homes incurred during 1962-63 (Rs. 3,08 lakhs) was treated and accounted for as expenditure on "Rehabilitation". The expenditure on staff during 1962-63 does not, however, include the pay and allowances of teachers under the Primary Education Scheme.

Y opt Bengel Secretariat Librar

## Grant No. 45.—Pre-partition Payments—(All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving
Major Head "78—Pre-partition	Payments".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	Rs.			
Original	50,000	<b>52 000</b>	F1 FF0	1 444
Supplementary	3,000	\$	51,556	1,444
Amount surrendered during the ye	ear (March, 1963	3)	••	303

## Grant No. 45A.—Expenditure connected with the National Emergency, 1962 ---(All Voted)

		Total Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "78-A—Expendi with the National Emerge	ture connected ncy, 1962''.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Gross	Rs.			
Original Supplementary	 69,65,000	69,65,000	53,96,286	- 15,68,714
Deductions-				
Original	 36 62 000	<b>-36,62,000</b>	- 13,80,000	+22,82,000
Net	00,02,000			
Original	••	2 22 02 000	AD 18 998	1 7 19 994
Supplementary	33,03,000	33,03,000	40,10,280	+7,13,286
Amount surrendered during the	year (March, 1	963)	••	1,38,461

### Notes and Comments-

(i) This grant provided for the expenditure on implementing Civil Defence measures taken to meet the National Emergency in 1962. The increase in ordinary expenditure of the Civil Departments owing to the work arising in connection with the National Emergency is, however, debited to the Departments concerned.

(ii) The surrender of Rs. 1.38 lakhs in the grant did not prove justified since no amount was available for surrender and the actual expenditure exceeded the grant by Rs. 7,13,286 which requires to be regularised.

(iii) The excess of Rs.  $7 \cdot 13$  lakhs was the result of excesses totalling Rs.  $23 \cdot 75$  lakhs over the provision of Rs.— $7 \cdot 31$  lakhs made under 4 sub-heads, partly counterbalanced by saving amounting to Rs.  $15 \cdot 24$  lakhs under other sub-heads and surrender of Rs.  $1 \cdot 38$  lakhs.

# Grant No. 45A.—Expenditure connected with the National 103: Emergency, **3962**—concld.

(a) The ex Government a	cess was ma s indicated b	inly due to elow :—	less receipt	on account o	of recoveries fr	om the Union		
			T	otal Grant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving		
B-OTHER I	XPENDITI	TRE			(In lakhs of rupe	es)		
(11) Miscella Centre S	neous— <i>Dedu</i>	<i>ct</i> Kecovei		<b>6</b> 0 0 <b>-</b>				
	•• • for the she	••	-32·27					
The reasons for the shortfall in the recovery from the Government of India are awaited from the Controlling Officer.								
(b) The ot	her sub-head	s under wh	ich excesses	occurred are	mentioned belo	w :		
A-CIVIL DE	EFENCE—							
(iii) Miscella Emergenc	neous—Film y—	Program	me for					
(iv) Conti	ingencies							
8	••	••	ך 1・20	<b>A</b>	<b>6</b>			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot20\\-0\cdot76\end{array}\right\}$	0.44	0.45	+0.01		
(iii)(a) Deduct—Recoveries from Centre—								
S	••	••	-4·35 ]					
${f R}$	••	••	$\left. egin{array}{c} -4\cdot 35 \\ 0\cdot 62 \end{array} \right\}$	-3.73	-3.72	+0.01		
B-OTHER 1	EXPENDIT	URE						
(ii) Miscella	neous—							
	tion of We Force in a							
(b)(iv) Cont	ingencies—							
s		••	[ 25.75 €					
R			$25 \cdot 75$ $2 \cdot 50$	$28 \cdot 25$	29.79	+1.54		
	s was due to	larger expe		result of ext	tensive mobilisat	ion of National		
(iv) In the	following G	roup Heads	, the provisio	on was not u	tilised to a subst	antial extent :		
A-CIVIL D	EFENCE—							
(iii) Miscella	aneous							
S	••	••	ך 1 א 1	<b>•</b> • • •	0.45			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}1\cdot20\\-0\cdot76\end{array}\right\}$	U•44	0.45	+0.01		
The net saving of Rs. $0.75$ lakh in the provision (62.5 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to execution of work through honorary volunteers.								
B-OTHER	EXPENDIT	URE						
(ii) Misce	llancous							
S	••	••	60·95 ]					

S	••	••	60·95	60·19	<b>46</b> •50	-13·69
R	• •	••	<b>_0.76</b> ∫	•••		

The total saving of Rs. 14.45 lakhs in the provision (23.7 per cent) was stated to be mainly due to less expenditure on extra Police Force appointed in connection with emergency (Rs. 14.90 lakhs) and transfer of most of the internees to outside West Bengal under orders of the Government of India (Rs. 1.26 lakhs) partly offset by increased expenditure on mobilisation of National Volunteer Force in aid of Police (Rs. 1.54 lakhs).

104	Grant No.	46.—M	lultipurpose	Ri	ver Sche	mes—(All \	/oted)
			•	Tota	l Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
	d ''98—Capital er Schemes''—						
Original	••	••	7,36,94,000	2 "	38 04 000	4,53,26,000	-2,83,68,000
Supplement	tary	••		<u>۲</u>	00,01,000		-2,03,00,000
Amount sur	rrendered duri	ng the ye	ar (March, 196	33)	• •	••	2,83,68,000

### Notes and Comments-

The saving formed 38.5 per cent. of the provision.

.

This was due to less share of expenditure being allocated to the State Government according to the Annual Report of the Damodar Valley Corporation.

# Grant No. 47.-Capital Outlay on Public Works,

			'otal Grant or Appropriation.	Actual Ex. penditure.	Excess + Saving
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Head "103—Capital Works".	Outiay on	Public			
Voted—	R	-			
Gross-	n	8.			
Original	10,87	,16,000		<b>F F0</b> 01 000	0.00.04.074
Original Supplementary	••••••	• -	5 10,87,10,000 J	7,78,81,026	- 3,08,34,974
Deductions-					
Original Supplementary	40	,79,000		18 00 168	1 92 70 824
Supplementary	•• •	• _	/ _ 40,79,000 }	- 10,99,100	+ 23,18,034
Net					
Original Supplementary	10,46	,37,000		7 61 91 960	- 9 94 55 140
Supplementary	•• •	• <u>-</u>	J	7,01,01,000	-2,04,00,140
Amount surrendered during					2,43,42,515
Charged—					
Original	1	,55,000 ·		1 86 540	- 20,460
Original Supplementary	• •	32,000	5 1,07,000	1,66,540	- 20,400

#### Notes and Comments----

#### Voted Grant

(i) The saving of Rs. 2,84.55 lakhs in the voted grant formed  $27 \cdot 2$  per cent. of the original provision.

In the preceding two years also the savings under the voted grant were Rs. 2,16.21 lakhs (22.8 per cent. of the provision) and Rs. 2,68.29 lakhs (29.4 per cent. of the provision.)

(ii) In the following Group Heads, the provision remained unutilised wholly or to a substantial extent :---

Total Grant Actual Expenditure. Saving-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

A-ORIGINAL WORKS-BUILDINGS-

A.5-Gonoral Administration-

Voted—

0	••	••	ל 42·87			
D			$-19 \cdot 20 $	$23 \cdot 67$	$22 \cdot 62$	-1.05
ĸ	••	• •	$-19 \cdot 20$ J			

The total saving of Rs.  $20 \cdot 25$  lakes in the original provision ( $47 \cdot 2$  per cent.) was mainly due to non-execution of works owing to (i) non-availability of building materials, (ii) delay in selection of sites and (iii) delay in the acceptance of contracts.

A.6—Administration of Justice—

0	••	• •	5·9 <b>3</b> ]			
			<u>}</u>	3.91	2 • 93	-0.98
$\mathbf R$	• •	••	-2.02			

A.8-Polico-

Ο			94·56 J			
			l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	69.14	$64 \cdot 25$	$-4 \cdot 89$
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	$-25 \cdot 42$			

The total savings under these two Group Hoads were attributed mainly to slow progress of works due to non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings and scarcity of building materials.

A.16-Miscellaneous Departments-

Ο	••	• •	4·26 ]			
				0.81	$1 \cdot 13$	+0.32
$\mathbf{R}$	••		3·45 }			

The net saving of Rs. 3.13 lakhs in the original provision (73.5 per cent.) was attributed mainly to non-execution of several construction works due to non-finalisation of land acquisition proceedings and late receipt of administrative approval.

#### F. DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

F.1. Development of State Roads (Gross)

F.1(b) Original Works—Communications—

0	••	• •	4,14.08	2,97 · 78	3,01.68	+3.80			
R	••	••	<b>_1,16·3</b> 0 ∫						
F1(c)—Es	tablishment-								
0	••	••	54·10 J	<b>48</b> •90	<b>46 · 18</b>	-2·72			
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	$-5 \cdot 20 \int$						
FI(e)—Too	FI(e)-Tools and Plant-								
Ο		••	57.42	39•08	38 • 47	- 0.81			
R	••	••	<b>—</b> 18.34 ∫			-0.61			

Grant No. 47.—Capital Outlay on Public Works—contd.

				Total Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess+ Saving-	
*1					(In lakhs	of rupees.)	
		lopment Sche	mes				
<b>F.</b> 11	(a) Educati	ion—					
0	••	••	78 · 18 - 6 · 92	<b>}</b> 71·26	66·04	$-5 \cdot 22$	
R	••	••	$-6 \cdot 92$	}			
F.II	(c) Public H	Iealth—					
Ο	••	• •	$17 \cdot 50$ $-10 \cdot 93$	} 6.57	7.02		
R	••	••	- 10 · 93	} 0.37	7.02	+0.45	
FII(	d) Agricult	ure					
ο	••	••	6 • 57	]	0.00		
R	••	••	6 · 57 5 · 61	} 0.96	0.66	-0.30	
FII(	e) Animal I	Husbandry—					
0	••	••	$\begin{array}{c} 22 \cdot 00 \\ - 15 \cdot 65 \end{array}$	} 6.35	5 07	1.00	
$\mathbf{R}$	••	••	-15.65	} 0.30	5.07	-1.28	
FII(	f) Industrie	sIndustries	<b> </b>				
0	••	••	35.81	]	10.10	0.01	
R	••	••	$35 \cdot 81$ - 19 \cdot 01	} 16.80	16.19	-0.61	
FII(	g) Industrie	os—Cottago I	ndustries—				
0	••	••	33.89	} 11.04	0.04	1 50	
R	••	••	- 22 · 85	} 11.04	9.34	-1.70	
	i) Miscellan ous Expend	eous—Other liture—	Miscella-				
0	••	• •	10.32	} 5.28	9.00	$-2 \cdot 20$	
R	••	••	10.32 $-5.04$	5 5.28	3.08	$-z \cdot z_0$	
FIII—Centrally-Sponsored Schemes—							
F.11	I(c) Indust	ries—Industri	ies				
ο	••	••	24 · 93	]			
R	• ••	••	$-22 \cdot 42$	$\left.\right\} \qquad 2\cdot 51$	2 · 35	0 · 16	

The savings of Rs.  $2,58 \cdot 72$  lakhs in the total provision of Rs.  $7,54 \cdot 80$  lakhs ( $34 \cdot 3$  per cent.) in respect of the above II Group Heads pertaining to Development Schemes were mainly due to (i) non-execution and partial execution of a large number of works owing to non-finalisation of estimates/proposals (Rs.  $1,66 \cdot 72$  lakhs), (ii) non-selection of sites (Rs.  $7 \cdot 59$  lakhs), (iii) non-availability of building materials (Rs.  $32 \cdot 36$  lakhs), (iv) curtailment of works programme in view of the National Emergency (Rs.  $23 \cdot 18$  lakhs), (v) non-receipt of supply of road rollers(Rs.  $20 \cdot 24$  lakhs) and (vi) non-receipt of departmental bills (Rs.  $8 \cdot 63$  lakhs).

(iii) In the following Group Head, additional funds provided by re-appropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1963, proved excessive.

			r	Fotal Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure.	Excess + Saving -
F-DEVELO	PMENT S	CHEMES-	-		(In lakhs o	f rupees.)
F(a)—Third	l Five-Yea	r Plan—				
F(a)II—Ot	her Develo	pment Sch	emes			
F.II(b) 1	Iedical—					
Ο		••	1,44·93 <b>]</b>	1,84.62	1,70,51	14 11
R	••	••	39·69 ∫	1,04-04	1, f U <sub>9</sub> -31	-14.11

The reason for the final saving was not furnished by the controlling authority.

(iv) Review of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges of the Development (Roads) Department-

The gross Establishment and Tools and Plant charges booked under this grant during the year 1962-63 amounted to Rs. 46.18 lakhs and Rs. 38.47 lakhs respectively, against the total works outlay of Rs. 3,04.43 lakhs which formed 15.2 per cent. and 12.6 per cent. respectively, of the total works outlay.

Rs. 8.38 lakhs and Rs. 2.77 lakhs were recovered during the period under review on account of Establishment and Tools and Plant charges respectively for works done on behalf of Private Bodies, other Departments and Governments. The net Establishment and Tools and Plant charges thus stood at Rs. 37.80 lakhs and Rs. 35.70 lakhs which formed 12.4 and 11.7 per cent. respectively, of the total works outlay.

The following table shows the figures of such charges for the years 1960-61, 1961-62 and 1962-63 and their percentages to the works outlay for the respective years :-

Years.		Works outlay.	Establishment charges.	Percentage to works outlay.	Tools and Plant charges.	Porcentage to works outlay.
				(In lakhs	of rupees.)	
1960-61	••	2,63·54	28.57	10.8	21.77	8.3
1961-62	••	<b>3,</b> 00 · 99	33.88	11.3	27 · 83	$9\cdot 2$
1962-63	••	3,04 · 43	37.80	$12 \cdot 4$	35.70	11.7

(v) Suspense—The Group Head "Suspense'' accommodates interim transactions for purchase and supply of materials for construction of roads, etc., under Public Works Department. The naturo and accounting procedure of the transactions under the head have been explained at page 78 of the Appropriation Accounts.

The transactions under each unit of suspense for the year 1962-63 are exhibited below :----

Major Head and Detailed Units.	Opening balance.	Debits during the year.	Credits during the year.	Net actuals.	Closing balance.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
103—Capital Outlay o Public Works Accoun				(In laki	ns of rupees.)
Purchase	-1,98·32	2,98·34	<b>3,4</b> 5 · 50	-47.16	-2,45.48
Miscellaneous Public Works Advances.	19.76	19·29	6 • 24	13.05	32.81
Stock	33.56	77.39	58·31	19.08	$52 \cdot 64$
Total	-1,45·00	<b>3,9</b> 5 · 02	4,10.05		-1,60,03

**Charged Appropriation** 

In the following Group Head, the provision remained wholly unutilised.

			А	Total ppropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving—
A-ORIGINA	L WORKS	-BUILDI	NGS		(In lakhs	of rupees).
A-5-Gener	al Adminis	tration—				
A-5(i)—H	leads of Sta	tes, etc.—				
Ο	••	••	1.55			
R	••	••	-1.55	• •	••	• •
The non-u	itilisation c	of provision	was due to	non-execution	n of work during	the year as

The non-utilisation of provision was due to non-execution of work during the year as a result of change in specification.

## Grant No. 48.—Capital Outlay on Road and Water Transport Schemes —(All Voted)

-			Total Grant.	Actual Exponditure.	Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "114—Capital Water Transport		n Road and s".	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
		Rs.			
Original	••	29,00,000 }	29,00,000	12,97,469	-16,02.531
Supplementary	••	J	,,		
Amount surrendered during	the year	(March, 1963)	••	••	15,82,829

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) The saving of Rs. 16.03 lakhs formed 55.3 per cent. of the original provision.

(ii) In the preceding two years also the savings under this grant were Rs. 20.71 lakes (73.8 per cent. of the provision.) and Rs. 3.38.00 lakes (77.4 per cent. of the provision).

(iii) The savings under the grant occurred mainly under the sub-heads indicated below :----

(In lakhs of rupees.)

1-ROAD TR	ANSPOR'	Г				
Ο	••	••	12·90 ک	6.69	6.70	+0.01
R	••	••	$-6 \cdot 21 \int$	0.05	0.10	

The net saving of Rs.6  $\cdot$ 20 lakhs in the original provision (48  $\cdot$ 1 per cent.) was stated to be due mainly to non-finalisation of plans and estimates for certain schemes of improvement of road transport (Rs. 5  $\cdot$ 00 lakhs); non-completion of the land acquisition formalities for improvement of the Sealdah Station area (Rs. 3  $\cdot$ 66 lakhs), postponement of the scheme for improvement of Chowringhee area on the advice of Calcutta Metropolitan Planning Organisation (Rs. 1  $\cdot$ 50 lakhs) and slow progress of work on certain Schemes (Rs. 1  $\cdot$ 26 lakhs). The saving was partly off-set by excess under the scheme for undertaking the State Transport Service at Durgapur (Rs. 2  $\cdot$ 73 lakhs) and investment in the share of State Transport Corporation (Rs. 2  $\cdot$ 50 lakhs) in pursuance of post-budget decisions.

2-TOURISM-

0	••	••	ر 13·25 <mark>)</mark>	<b>a</b> 40		
			<b>&gt;</b>	6·48	6 · 28	-0.20
R	• •	• •	<b>-6</b> ·77			

The total saving of Rs. 6.97 lakhs in the original provision (52.6 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) partial implementation of the scheme for 'Tourist Buses' owing to non-finalisation of purchase of the requisite number of vehicles (Rs. 4.27 lakhs), (ii) non-availability of land selected for construction of rest-house at Digha (Rs. 1.25 lakhs) and (iii) non-execution of some works due to delay in finalisation of estimates and completion of other formalities (Rs. 1.60 lakhs).

-	-		<b>449.</b> 100	
		Total Grant or Appropriation.		Excess+ Saving-
Major Head "124—Capital O Government Trad	utlay on Schemes of ing.''	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Voted	Rs.			
Gross				
Original Supplementary	4,21,13,000	<b>4,21,13,000</b>	11.72.81.211	
Supplementary	•• ••	)		11,01,00,211
Deductions				
Original		<b>4.62.07.000</b>		-7.09.89.040
Supplementary	•• ••	]	,-0,00,042	- 1.00,83,942
Net				
Original	1,50,00,001	] 1,50,00,001	1.90.269	-1 48 09 739
Supplementary	•• ••	j i	·····	-,10,00,702
Amount surrendered (March, 1963).		••	••	1.50,00,000
Charged—				
Original		} 1×,788	18,787	-
Supplementary	18,788	۲,۳00	49,101	-1

# Notes and Comments-

#### **Voted Grant.**

(i) The gross expenditure on purchase of foodgrains, estimated at Rs.  $4 \cdot 21$  crores in the budget, actually amounted to Rs. 11.73 erores. A supplementary vote of the Legislature was not, however, taken for the large extra expenditure involved since under the budgeting procedure followed by Government, all recoveries (by sale of foodgrains etc.) could be taken in reduction of the expenditure booked under the grant. The actual recoveries (Rs. 11.71 erores) were also considerably in excess of the budget estimate (Rs.  $4 \cdot 62$  erores). As a result, the net expenditure under the grant has been shown as only Rs. 1.90 lakhs.

(ii) The increase in expenditure occurred mainly under the following group heads. The increase was attributed to larger purchases of foodgrains owing to unsatisfactory crop position in the State.

No.	Name of Group Head.	Original provision.	Actual expenditure.	Amount of increase.
			(In lak	hs of rupees).
A(a)(1)	Purchase of foodgrains other than wheat—cost of purchase of grains.	51 · <b>73</b>	5 <b>,8</b> 4 · 22	5,32.49
A(b)(2)	Purchase of wheat and wheat pro- ducts—cost of purchase of grains.	<b>3,</b> 69 · 10	5,75 · 42	2 <b>,06 · 32</b>

(iii) The increase in receipts occurred mainly under the following group heads. The reason for the increased receipt was stated to be larger sale of foodgrains owing to unsatis-factory crop position in the State.

No.	Name of Group Head.	Original estimate.	Actual recoveries.	Amount of increase.
			(In lak	hs of rup <b>ees).</b>
A(a)(2)	—Purchase of foodgrains other than wheat—Deduct—Receipts and Re- coveries on Capital Account.	<b>90·8</b> 6	5,94 · 60	5,03·74
A(b)(2)	—Purchase of wheat and wheat products—Deduct—Receipts and Recoveries on Capital Account.	3,70-46	. 5,73 · 80	2 <b>,0</b> 3 · 34

# Grant No. 49.—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading. 109

### 110 Grant No. 49.—Capital Outlay on Schemes of Government Trading—concld.

	Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving-
		(In lakhs of 1	rupees.)
B(h)(1)—Manufacture of Bricks and Tiles under the Brick and Tile Board.	-0.45	12.18	+12.63

There was no provision for making advances for, manufacture of bricks and tiles under the Brick and Tile Board; however, advances amounting to Rs.  $12 \cdot 18$  lakhs were made during the year.

Although in the revised estimates prepared by Government, a requirement of Rs. 18.00 lakhs was estimated on this account, no funds were actually provided.

### **Public Debt** (All charged)

				Total Appropriation.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
Major Head "D	ebt rai	sed in Indi	a''.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
•			Re			
Original	••	••	14,56,24,000	}	11,59,86,684	-2.96.37.316
SupPlementary		••	• •	5		<i></i>
Amount surrende	red du	ring the y	ear (March, 19	63)	••	5,11,94,672

#### Notes and Comments -

(i) The saving of Rs 2,96.37 lakhs in the charged appropriation formed 20.4 per cent. of the original appropriation.

This was mainly due to provision for repayment of advances from the State Bank of India for financing procurement operation having remained unutilised since the situation did not demand drawal of such advances under the sub-head mentioned below :---

In the preceding two years also, the savings under the appropriation were Rs.  $4,54 \cdot 70$  lakhs (36.8 per cent. of the provision) and Rs.  $5,54 \cdot 11$  lakhs (51.2 per cent. of the provision).

A. 11—Floating Debt—Other Floating Loans ---Cash Credit Advances from the State Eark of India---

(ii) Against the amount of Rs. 5,11.95 lakhs surrendered the actual saving came up to only Rs. 2,96.37 lakhs.

(iii) The reduction of provision by surrender, as late as on the 30th March. 1963, in the following Group Head was not justified.

A. I-PERMANENT DEBT-

The surrender was attributed to less demand for repayment by the parties concerned on maturity of the scrips issued by the Government.

(iv) In the following Group Head, the expenditure exceeded the provision by a substantial extent-

				Total Actual Appropriation. Expenditure			Excess + Saving -
				<b>,</b>	(In	lakhs	of rupees.)
A. III—LOAN MENT (E2 MUNITY ETC., AND	XCLUDIN DEVELC	G LOANS	FOR COM- PROJECTS				
Ο	••	••	<b>8,67</b> ·75		•	<b>5</b> 0 00	
R	••	••	$-47 \cdot 29$	} 8,20·46	9,	70 · 96	+1,50.50

The reasons for the excess were not furnished by the controlling officer.

In view of the final excess of Rs.  $1,50\cdot 50$  lakes the reduction of provision to the extent of Rs.  $47\cdot 29$  lakes made by re-appropriation as late as on the 30th March, 1968, proved unjustified.

# Grant No. 51.-Loans and Advances by State Government -(All Voted)

				Total G <b>r</b> ant.	Actual Expenditure.	Excess + Saving -
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Major Heads " Parties etc.," and	Loar I "L	to Loca Dans to Gov	l Funds, Privernment Serva	vate ants" .		
			Rs.			
Original	••	••	13,93,83,0	00 }13,93,83,000	9,13,15,999	- 4,80,67,001
Supplementary		••		}	0,10,10,000	
Amount surrende	əred	during the	year (March,	1963)	••	1,50,32,638

#### Notes and Comments-

(i) The saving of Rs. 4,80.67 lakhs which formed 34.5 per cent. of the original provision occurred mainly due to non-utilisation of the provision under "Loans to State Electricity Board" under the Third Five-Year Plan.

				]	Provision.	Saving
					(In lakhs	of rupees.)
1957-58	••	••	••	••	4,61·90	63.05
1958-59	••	••	••	••	5,21·39	69 · 27
1959-60	••	••	••	• •	6,37·76	1,02.35
1960-61	••	••	••	••	9,47 • 19	<b>∶1,76·36</b>
1961-62	• #		-		9,36 · 27	97 · 85

## 112 Grant No. 51.—Loans and Advances by State Covernment —contd.

(iii) In the following Group Heads, the provision was not utilised to a substantial extent.

			Tot	al Grant.	Actual Ex- penditure	$\mathbf{Excess} + \mathbf{Saving} - \mathbf{S}$				
	Major Head "Loans to Local Funds, Private Parties, etc."—									
<b>B</b> —LOANS	TO MUNIC	PALITIE	:S							
0	••	••	3·00 J	0.40						
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}3\cdot00\\-2\cdot38\end{array}\right\}$	0.62	$0 \cdot 62$	••				
	m of D. 9	90 lateba in	the original succ	•		• · · ·				

The saving of Rs. 2.38 lakhs in the original provision (79.3 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-finalisation of certain loan proposals for want of sufficient details.

#### **D**-ADVANCES TO CULTIVATORS-

0	••	••	ړ ۱٫۵۱۰۵۵ ک			
			-15.62	85·38	86.38	+1.00
R	• •	• •	—15·62 J		•	

The net saving of Rs. 14.62 lakhs was explained as due to non-utilisation of the provision for fertiliser loan on account of less demand from the Cultivators.

E-ADVANCES UNDER SPECIAL LAWS-

0	••	• •	ן 5⋅00			
R	••	••	$\left.\begin{array}{c}5\cdot00\\-4\cdot96\end{array}\right\},$	0.04	0.04	••

The saving of Rs. 4.96 lakhs in the original provision (99.2 per cent.) was stated to be due to non-acceptance of the cost of repairs of the embankment cases on account of a stay order by the Court.

F-LOANS TO ARTISANS (REHABILITA-TION PROCRAMME)-

The net saving of Rs. 1.53 lakhs in the original provision (51 per cent.) was stated to be due to smaller demand for loans to distressed artisans.

H-LOANS AND ADVANCES UNDER DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES-

H(a)-Third Five-Year Plan-

0	••	• •	10,52·93 ግ			
_			-68.33	9,84 · 60	6,58 · 86	3,25 · 74
R	• •	••	-08·33 J			

The total saving of Rs. 3,94.07 lakhs in the original provision (37.4 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to non-utilisation of the provision under "Loans to State Electricity Board" to the extent of Rs. 3,36.45 lakhs. The reasons for the saving were not furnished by the controlling authority.

H(b)—Cent mitted ex	t <b>rally-Sponso</b> xpenditure)		hemes (Coi	<b>m-</b>		
ο	••	••	<b>20 · 00</b>	6.76	6.76	
R	••	••	-13·24	5 0.10	0.70	••

The saving of Rs. 13.24 lakhs in the original provision (66.2 per cent.) was stated to be due to smaller payment of loans to the Municipalities owing to non-completion of formalities required under the Sanitary Project Rules. ...

# Grant No. 51.—Loans and Advances by State Government—concld. 113

Total	Actual	Excess+
Grant.	Expenditure.	Saving

H(c)-Centrally-Sponsored Schemes-

(In lakhs of rupees.)

0	٠	••	••	ر 77 ,29,77		•	
R		••	••	,29·77 −54·60 }	75.17	75.73	+0.56

The net saving of Rs. 54.04 lakhs in the original provision (41.6 per cent.) was stated to be mainly due to (i) a decision of the Government of India not to finance new powerlooms under the scheme for 'Loans for installation of powerloom in Co-operative Sector' (Rs. 24.80lakhs) and (ii) non-execution of the Calcutta Corporation's Project for their Hamjan Workers under the Slum Clearance Scheme (Rs. 28.87 lakhs).

#### Major Head "Loans to Government Servants"

H—ADVANCES FOR PURCHASE OF MOTOR CONVEYANCES—

0	••	••	ז 5∙00			
R	••	••	—2·00 }	<b>3</b> ·00	274	- 0 · 26

The total saving of Rs.  $2 \cdot 26$  lakhs in the original provision (45.2 per cent.) was stated to be due to curtailment of leans gwing to the National Emergency.

